# Meeting Agenda Metropolitan Area Planning Commission 

## 1. Call to order

## 2. Roll Call

## 3. Approval of minutes

MIN-22:085
MINUTES: September 13, 2022 MAPC Minutes
Attachments: $\quad$ Sept. 13, 2022 MAPC Minutes

## 4. Miscellaneous Items

## 5. Preliminary Subdivisions

PP-22-11 PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION: Edgemont Park Phase II
Mark Morris of Mark Morris Homes is requesting MAPC Preliminary Subdivision Approval for Edgemont Park Phase II for 51 lots on $15+/-$ acres for property zoned R-1, Single-Family Medium Density District, located off of Edgemont Drive.
Attachments: Application
Edgemont Park Phase II - Staff Report
Subdivision Plans
6. Final Subdivisions

## 7. Conditional Use

CU-22-02 CONDITIONAL USE: 3411 \& 3413 E. Johnson Ave.
Sharada Madhuri on behalf of Quinn Family Limited is requesting Conditional Use approval to develop property located at $3411 \& 3413$ E. Johnson Ave. into a fast food restaurant (with drive-through) and a retail space. The property is currently zoned C-4, Neighborhood Commercial District, and requires Conditional Use approval.

## Commission

| Attachments: | $\underline{\text { Application }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\underline{\text { Cert. Mail }}$ |
|  | Site Plan |
|  | Staff Summary $-3411 \& 3413$ E Johnson Ave |

## 8. Rezonings

RZ-22-13 REZONING: Thompson Drive \& Southwest Drive
Jeremy Moore is requesting a Rezoning from R-1, Single-Family Medium Density District, to RM-16, Residential Multifamily 16 units per net acre. This Rezoning is for 4.41
+/- acres (2 tracts) located at Thompson Dr. and Southwest Dr.
Attachments: Application
Certified Receipt
Plat
Valley View Superintendent Letter
Staff Summary - Southwest Dr \& Thompson Dr
Apartments - Site Plan
Rezoning Sign

## 9. Staff Comments

## 10. Adjournment

City of Jonesboro

## Text File

File Number: MIN-22:085

| Agenda Date: | Version: 1 | Status: To Be Introduced |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In Control: Metropolitan Area Planning Commission | File Type: Minutes |  |

MINUTES: September 13, 2022 MAPC Minutes

City of Jonesboro

# Meeting Minutes Metropolitan Area Planning Commission 

## 1. Call to order

## 2. Roll Call

Present 7- Lonnie Roberts Jr.;Jimmy Cooper;Dennis Zolper;Kevin Bailey;Monroe Pointer;Jeff Steiling and Paul Ford
Absent 2- Jim Little and Stephanie Nelson

## 3. Approval of minutes

MIN-22:078 MINUTES: Aug. 23, 2022 MAPC Minutes

Attachments: $\quad$ Aug. 23, 2022 MAPC Minutes
A motion was made by Dennis Zolper, seconded by Jimmy Cooper, that this matter be Approved . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 6 - Jimmy Cooper;Dennis Zolper;Kevin Bailey;Monroe Pointer;Jeff Steiling and Paul Ford

Absent: 2- Jim Little and Stephanie Nelson

## 4. Miscellaneous Items

SP-22-04 SITE PLAN REVIEW: Savannah Hills Townhomes Phase V

Michael Boggs of Tralan Engineering is requesting MAPC Approval for a Final Site Plan for Savannah Hills Townhomes Phase V. This phase has a total of 14 units on $5.12+/-$ acres and is zoned PD-RM - Multifamily Residential Planned Development. This property is located West of Dena Jo Drive.

Attachments: Final Site Plan 2022
Site Plan 2020
Applicant - Michael Boggs: Explained it's 14 triplexes, 42 units total. Explained that they are bringing it back for final site plan approval as required. Once this is submitted and approved to, along with some other things they are working on with CWL, they will be resubmitting it to the city for final site development plans and permits. It will have all of the drainage and traffic information. Commission: Lonnie Roberts asked the City Planner if they had any staff comments.

Staff - Derrel Smith: Recommended getting approval with the requirements from the City Engineer for current storm water drainage design manual and floodplain regulations, final site plan illustrating the compliance of all parking, signage, landscaping, buffering, etc. It must be approved by staff at the plan review level, and all traffic study revisions must be approved before permitting.
Roberts: Asked if the commission had any questions after staff comments, and then opens the floor for public comments.
Public Comment - Patty Lack, 4108 Forrest Hill Rd: Explained she attended the pre-meeting the day prior with the traffic study revisions. She explained that about a month and a half earlier she had been told on the North side of the property on Russell Dr. and Becca Dr. is a one lane road that is not able to handle the traffic coming from the existing apartments. Said in her meeting with Craig Light and Derrel Smith that the city didn't know what they are going to do with that area as of yet. She is worried with 14 more units, the traffic will get worse. She doesn't know where traffic will be directed. Craig suggested they could block off the entrance. She doesn't know if they can do that because they legally need that exit/entrance. Would like for the city to take the traffic study into consideration for the situation. The city will know what to do with an already bad situation. It happened a couple of years ago, and if more units are added to the property it won't make it any better.
Public Comment - Rob Blackburn, 798 Brownwood: Explains Patty lack is his neighbor. Asked for confirmation that if traffic impact study isn't completed, they can't get a building permit.
Smith: Confirmed.
Blackburn: Said it's a duplicate of what was built five years ago. Asked if cars can be parked in front of the apartment on the street.
Smith: Explained that if it's not a public street, they can park in the street.
Staff - Michael Morris: Confirmed it is not a public street
Blackburn: Said when you take common space into consideration, there is going to be a median that they'll put two picnic tables on, and there will be traffic on both sides of the median that it doesn't fit. Asked if the design guidelines for the multi-family residential development has been looked at. Smith: Confirmed.
Blackburn: Asked if they're building the same thing as five years ago.
Smith: Explained they haven't seen the building plans yet, but assured him it will follow the guidelines
Blackburn: Asked when they would see the building plans.
Smith: Explained after this meeting, which is the Site Plan Review.
Blackburn: Asked if there will be a final review.
Smith: Confirmed there would be at the staff level.
Blackburn: Asked if it will have to be approved by the MAPC.
Smith: Explained this is the meeting for approval.
Blackburn: Asked if this was the only time it has to be approved.
Smith: Confirmed.
Blackburn: Said there can't be a common play area with streets running beside it. Expressed he doesn't believe the due diligence has been done.
Roberts: Asked if Mr. Bogg's has any comments.
Boggs: Explained that he spoke to a Traffic Engineer to have revisions done to the study. Explained when they should be done by early the following week.
Once the approvals are back from CWL on the water and sewer, they will be able to speak with the owner, and resubmit for final plans.

A motion was made by Dennis Zolper, seconded by Kevin Bailey, that this matter be Approved . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 6- Jimmy Cooper;Dennis Zolper;Kevin Bailey;Monroe Pointer;Jeff Steiling and Paul Ford

Absent: 2- Jim Little and Stephanie Nelson

SP-22-05
SITE PLAN: 9540 C.W. Post Road

Jeremy Bevill of Fisher Arnold on behalf of Delta Peanut is requesting Site Plan Approval for a new facility located at 9540 C.W. Post Road, located in I-2, General Industrial District. The proposed development exceeds 75,000 square feet and requires MAPC Site Plan Approval. Total square footage for the development is 112,000 .

Attachments: Application
Building Plan
Landscaping Plan
Site Plan
Site Location
Applicant - Jeremy Bevill: Prepared site plans, acknowledges development exceeds 75,000 requesting approval.
Staff - Derrel Smith: Recommended approval with stipulations. Sidewalk for the entire delta peanut must be built with this phase and there will be no temporary C.O. until the sidewalk is complete and there must be a variance for the hard surface drive as well as the curb and gutter around the warehouse. Commission - Dennis Zolper: Asked if there are other sidewalks that connect to this.
Smith: No, supposed to be a sidewalk across the street from the two other developments when they get the final C.O., but there are just gaps for now Zolper: Stated he doesn't have a question, just an opinion. There's not going to be a lot of walkers at the industrial park, would it be better to do what is being done downtown which is improve the sidewalks and let them pay for it. Not requesting it, but it seems more logical.
Smith: says they don't meet the criteria to pay for a fee and city council looked into waiving the industrial park from sidewalk requirements but they refused to do so at the time
Ford: Asked if this is where they added the railroad spurs where the other plants have already been built
Bevill: Confirmed it's on the north side of CW Post, the existing was south of CW Post, they are between Barnhill Rd and Quality Way.

A motion was made by Dennis Zolper, seconded by Jimmy Cooper, that this matter be Approved . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 6- Jimmy Cooper;Dennis Zolper;Kevin Bailey;Monroe Pointer;Jeff Steiling and Paul Ford

Absent: 2- Jim Little and Stephanie Nelson

## 5. Preliminary Subdivisions

## 6. Final Subdivisions

## PP-22-12

FINAL SUBDIVISION: Creekwood Addition Phase III

Jeremy Bevill of Fisher Arnold is requesting MAPC Final Subdivision Approval for Creekwood Addition Phase III for 32 lots on 9.95 +/- acres for property zoned R-1, Single-Family Medium Density District, located off of Roleson Lane.

Attachments: Application
Creekwood Add. Phase III - Staff Report
Creekwood Final Plat
Letter of Opposition
Applicant - Jeremy Bevill: Requested final plat approval. 32 lots have been completed, but we still have to install the last lift of asphalt and sidewalks, but there is a bond that will be in place to cover the infrastructure.
Staff - Derrel Smith: Stated the subdivision has been reviewed, meets all the requirements for the $\mathrm{R}-1$ zoning districts as far as minimum/maximum lot sizes, we recommend approval.
Commission -Dennis Zolper: Asked if the squiggly lines on the plan are the drainage lines.
Bevill: Explained it's the floodplain along Christian creek, the lines is the elevation of the floodplain. The plat also has the included the minimum finished floor required for each lot.
Zolper: Asked if the teepee like line from the development are elevations.
Bevill: Confirmed they are the base elevation for the floodplain depths.
Commission - Paul Ford: Asked when the preliminary subdivision was
approved.
Bevill: Stated he was unsure off hand.
Ford: Asked if any changes we made to these plans from the preliminary plans.
Bevill: Doesn't believe there were changes made.
Commission - Monroe Pointer: Asked if the owner had a chance to look at the
letter, and if it is something that can be addressed by Jeremy. Said it's a concern from a community member, and has it been addressed.
Smith: Explained they received the letter before they got to the meeting, so he hasn't had the chance.
Staff - Michael Morris: Stated the preliminary meeting was December 8, and the letter was at the meeting.
Roberts: Read the letter of opposition from Mike and Debbie Hottel, 3021 Quail Dr. and confirmed it was received July 13th 2020.
Zolper: Said before the meeting he was contacted by Mr. Hottel, who requested the letter be passed on.
Ford: Asked what engineering was done to address the issues of draining and flooding during the development to date.
Bevill: Explained the drainage in subdivision that meets the drainage manual.
They conveyed the storm water appropriately to code, kept the lots out of the floodway portion of the flood plain, and provided a detention facility between Christian Creek and the development.
Pointer: Said the letter isn't addressing behind the development, but more of what is happening to the water that will come off from Wood St., Quaill St., and Robin Ln. Explained the question is what has been addressed on what's the drainage that is coming down.

Bevill: Explained the surface drainage comes down to their development, then drains through curved inlets.
Roberts: Asked if the improvements were already in progress.
Bevill: Confirmed the drainage is installed.
A motion was made by Dennis Zolper, seconded by Jimmy Cooper, that this matter be Approved. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 6- Jimmy Cooper;Dennis Zolper;Kevin Bailey;Monroe Pointer;Jeff Steiling and Paul Ford

Absent: 2- Jim Little and Stephanie Nelson

## 7. Conditional Use

8. Rezonings
9. Staff Comments
10. Adjournment

## Text File

File Number: PP-22-11

## Agenda Date:

Version: 1

In Control: Metropolitan Area Planning Commission

Status: To Be Introduced

File Type: Subdivisions

PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION: Edgemont Park Phase II

Mark Morris of Mark Morris Homes is requesting MAPC Preliminary Subdivision
Approval for Edgemont Park Phase II for 51 lots on $15+/-$ acres for property zoned R-1, Single-Family Medium Density District, located off of Edgemont Drive.

Home Profile
Monica Pearcy | Admin | Logout
Application submitted on: August 25, 2022 by Joshua Hurd
Request Name: Edgemont Park Ph 2 Prelim

# SUBDIVISION AND PLATS APPLICATION <br> CITY OF JONESBORO 

300 S Church St Jonesboro, AR 72401

Step 1 - Project Information
Did you have a pre-application Yes meeting? * No

Project Description *
Single Family Subdivision
Application Type *
Preliminary Plat
Contact Planning Office if unknown.
Proposed Use * Commercial Residential

Subdivision Name / Phase No *
Edgemont Park / Phase 2
Property Address / Location *
Edgemont Drive / Nathan Drive
Property City
Jonesboro
Property State
Arkansas

Zoning Classification
R-1 - SINGLE-FAMILY MEDIUM DENSITY DISTRICT

Select total acreage range | $*$ | $<1$ Acre |
| ---: | :--- |
| $>$ | 1 and $<5$ Acres |
| $>5$ and $<20$ Acres |  |
|  | $>20$ and $<40$ Acres |
|  | $>40$ Acres |

Total Acres *
15

Total Number of Lots
51
Have you filled out and signed the Yes
Stormwater Pollution Prevention
Plan, and submitted it to ADEQ? *
Is the property located in a
floodplain? * No

Step 2: Applicant Information
Select if the Applicant is the currently logged in user.
Applicant First Name *
Mark
Applicant Last Name *
Morris
Applicant Address *
6011 Southwest Drive
Applicant Address Line 2
$\square$
Applicant City *
Jonesboro
Applicant State *
Arkansas
Applicant Zip Code *
72404
Applicant Phone Number *
(870) 919-7700

Applicant Email Address *
engineering@markmorrishomes.com

## Step 3: Owner Information (optional)

## Select if the Owner is the same as the Applicant.

Owner First Name
Mark
Owner Last Name
Morris
Owner Address
6011 Southwest Drive
Owner Address Line 2
$\square$
Owner City

```
Jonesboro
```


## Owner State

Arkansas $\vee$

## Owner Zip Code

## 72404

## Owner Phone Number

```
(870) 919-7700
```


## Owner Email Address

engineering@markmorrishomes.com

## Step 4: Submittal Requirements (optional)

## Preliminary Plat Requirements

The preliminary plat shall give the following information when possible:

1. A key map showing the tract and its relation to the subdivision area. Submission shall include overall vicinity map of nearest adjacent platted subdivisions at scale.
2. The proposed subdivision name and location, the bearings and distances of its boundaries, the name and address of the owner and the name of the designer.
3. The date, north arrow and the graphic scale.
4. The location of existing and platted property lines, streets, railroads, buildings, bridges, culverts, drain pipes, water mains, sewers, public utility easements, wooded areas, marshes and the zoning classification, of the proposed subdivision and of the adjacent area.
5. The proposed utility layouts, sewers, water, electricity, gas, etc., showing feasible connections to the existing or proposed utility systems. When such connections are not feasible, any individual water supply or sewage disposal system must meet the requirements of the state board of health.
6. Contour intervals of two feet or as required by the city planning commission and the city engineer.
7. The names, rights-of-way and surface widths, approximate grades and locations of all proposed streets and alleys. The location and dimensions and use of proposed easements, lots, parks, reservations and other open spaces.
8. The acreage of the land to be divided.
9. A draft or form of any protective covenants proposed by the subdivider.
10. Proposed profile of street grades.
11. Acreage of each lot in the subdivision.
12. Within 30 days after submission of the preliminary plat, the city planning commission shall indicate its approval, disapproval or approval with conditions. If disapproved, the reasons for disapproved shall be stated in writing. If approved with conditions, these conditions shall be stated in writing. In its review, the city planning commission shall submit the proposed plat to the city water and light plat for consideration and report and may further submit copies of the plat to the state health department or any other interested city, county, state or federal independent agency for consultation and advice.
13. Approval, conditional approval or disapproval of the preliminary plat by the planning commission shall be so noted, both on the preliminary plat and planning commission records.
14. Failure of the planning commission to act on the preliminary plat within thirty 30 days will be deemed approval of the preliminary plat.
15. The approval of the preliminary plat shall lapse unless a final plat based thereon is submitted within one year of such approval, unless an extension of time is applied for and granted by the planning commission.
16. A receipt from the city acknowledging payment of a filing fee. The city shall collect a fee per lot in the amount established by the city. The plat will not be reviewed until the fee has been collected.

I hereby declare that I am the owner or the applicant acting on behalf of the owner with their permission to proceed forward with this application. I hereby declare that I have read and understood the above, and the information contained in this application, attached schedules, attached plans and specifications, and other documentation is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant: Joshua Hurd Signature date: $\underline{\text { 2022-08-25 06:37 PM }}$

## Payment Details

Home | Profile

## Preliminary Subdivision: Edgemont Park Phase II

For consideration by Metropolitan Planning Commission on September 27, 2022.
Applicant/Agent/ Owner: Mark Morris, Mark Morris Construction, LLC
Engineer: Mark Morris
Surveyor: H\&S Hime Professional Surveying

## Property Location:

Total Acres: 15
Proposed Lots: 51

## Zoning:

District: R-1
Required Min. Lot Size: 8,000 sq. ft., Min. Lot Width: 60 ft., Min. Lot Depth: 100 ft .
Proposed Min. Lot Size: 0.18 acres, 8,000 sq. ft.
Proposed Max. Lot Size: 0.32 acres, 14,126.85 sq. ft.
Special Conditions: N/A
Water/Sanitary Sewerage: Public
Sidewalks: Yes
Public Streets: Edgemont Drive, Edgemont Circle \& Nathan Drive.
Compliance with Address Policy: In Progress
Other Departmental Reviews: Pending

## Findings:

The subdivision complies with all requirements for Preliminary Subdivision Plan approval, Chapter 113, Subdivisions of the City of Jonesboro, Code of Ordinances.

The preliminary plan complies with the purposes, standards, and criteria for subdivision design and site protection. Setbacks and minimum square footage requirements are properly depicted and satisfied by the applicant as required in the R-1, Residential District.

# EDGEMONT PARK <br> EDGEMONT PARK PHASE II - SUBDIVISION PLANS <br> JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS 

## LOCAL CONTACTS

CITY OF JONESBORO ENGINEERING DEPT 870-932-2438

CITY OF JONESBORO
PLANNING AND ZONING G 870-932-0406
CITY, WATER, \& LIGHT 870-935-5581
AT\&T 1-800-464-7928

RITTER COMMUNICATIONS 870-336-3400

SUDDENLINK
COMMUNICATIONS
870-935-3615
CENTER POINT ENERGY 870-972-6682


| INDEX OF SHEETS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| PAGE | DESCRIPTION |
| C-1 | COVER PAGE |
| P-1 | PLAT |
| OV-1 | KEY MAP |
| OV-2 | OVERALL UTILITY |
| E-1 | EROSION CONTROL |
| WT-1 | WATER PLAN |
| SW-1 | SEWER PLAN |
| SW-2 | SEWER PLAN\&PROFILE |
| GR-1 | GRADING\&DRAINAGE PLAN |
| ST-1 | STREET PLAN\&PROFILE |
| ST-2 | STREET PLAN \& PROFILE |
| ST-3 | STREET PLAN\&PROFILE |
| DT-1 | EROSION CONTROL DETAILS |
| DT-2 | WATER DETAILS |
| DT-3 | SEWER DETAILS |
| DT-4 | STREET DETAILS |
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CITY WATER \& LIGHT IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY SETTLEMENT OVER WATER
\& SEWER TRENCHES THAT OCCURS BEFORE OR AFTER THE WARRANTY PERIOD.
ALL SEWER WYES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 10' FOOT AWAY FROM
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ANHOLE DETAILS




EXISTING STREET LOCATIONS

PVC TRENCH DETAIL


ENCASEMENT FOR SEWER MAINS AT WATER CROSSINGS
NOTE: WHEN $18^{"}$ VERTICAL SEPERATION CANNOT BE MAINTAINED
 PERMITS REQUIRED BEFORE INSTALLATION

MANHOLE

side elevation
 ONSTRUCTION DETAIL




## Text File

File Number: CU-22-02

| Agenda Date: | Version: 1 | Status: To Be Introduced |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In Control: Metropolitan Area Planning Commission | File Type: Conditional Use |  |

CONDITIONAL USE: 3411 \& 3413 E. Johnson Ave.

Sharada Madhuri on behalf of Quinn Family Limited is requesting Conditional Use approval to develop property located at 3411 \& 3413 E. Johnson Ave. into a fast food restaurant (with drive-through) and a retail space. The property is currently zoned C-4, Neighborhood Commercial District, and requires Conditional Use approval.

CITY OF JONESBORO CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION

## Case Number <br> Date Submitted

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { CU 22-02 } \\
& 8 / 31 / 2022
\end{aligned}
$$

MAPC Deadline MAPC Meeting Date $\qquad$
OWNER/APPLICANT INFORMATION


## PARCEL INFORMATION

address/Location 3411 tho 3413 EAST Johnson Ave. Jonesboro, AR 12401 Current Zoning Adjacent Zoning C-4 Existing Land Use VAcant E-3 East $R-1$ South $R-1$ West $C-3$

## REQUESTED CONDITIONAL USE

Describe the proposed use, explain why it is appropriate for this location, and describe any precautions to be taken to minimize adverse impacts on neighboring properties.
Property is zovien C. 4. Applicant would like to dautlos into Retail And Rostarmat - Fist Food. I Compitionar Use Permit is Required wi th drive-thru

## GENERAL SUBMITTAL INFORMATION

- Submit a narrative letter explaining your request along with ten (10) copies of an accurate site plan drawn at a scale that clearly illustrates the requested use, the subject property, and surrounding properties, streets and easements, etc.
- Provide confirmation receipts to our office that adjoining owners of all properties within $200^{\prime}$ of subject property have been notified.
Pay fee according to fee schedule.


## CITY OF JONESBORO CONDITIONAL USE APPLICATION

| Case Number | CV 22-02 | MAPC Deadline |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Date Submitted | $8 / 31 / 2022$ | $8 / 31 / 2022$ |
|  | MAPC Meeting Date $9 / 27 / 2022$ |  |

OWNER/APPLICANT INFORMATION


## PARCEL INFORMATION

address/Location 3411 And 3413 East Johnson Ave. Jonesboro, Ar 72401 Current Zoning $\mathrm{C}-4$ Existing Land Use VAcant
Adjacent Zoning North C-3 East R-1 South R-1 West C-3

## REQUESTED CONDITIONAL USE

Describe the proposed use, explain why it is appropriate for this location, and describe any precautions to be taken to minimize adverse impacts on neighboring properties.

## Property is Zones C. 4. Applicant would like to dautop into Rethicil

 And Restaurant- East Food. Compitionar Use Pormit is Required
## GENERAL SUBMITTAL INFORMATION

- Submit a narrative letter explaining your request along with ten (10) copies of an accurate site plan drawn at a scale that clearly illustrates the requested use, the subject property, and surrounding properties, streets and easements, etc.
- Provide confirmation receipts to our office that adjoining owners of all properties within $200^{\prime}$ of subject property have been notified.
- Pay fee according to fee schedule.
U.S. Postal Service

CERTIFIED MAILO RECEIPT
Bomestic Mail Oniy









## REQUEST:

## APPLICANT OWNER:

LOCATION:
SITE
DESCRIPTION:

## SURROUNDING CONDITIONS:

Applicant proposes a Conditional Use to allow for a retail space and fast food establishment with drive through in a C-4 Neighborhood Commercial District.

Sharada Madhuri, 42 CR 7612 Brookland
Quinn Family Limited, 4506 Mt. Carmel Rd

## 3411 \& 3413 E. Johnson Ave.

Tract Size: $1.35+/-$ Acres
Frontage: Approx. 250' along E. Johnson Ave. Topography: Flat Lot. Existing Development: Vacant

|  | ZONE | LAND USE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| North: | C-3 | Commercial |
| South: | R-1 | Residential |
| East: | R-1/C-4 | Residential/Commercial |
| West: | C-3 | Commercial |

## Residential Use

## HISTORY:

## Zoning Code Analysis:

In carrying out the purpose of this section, the following development standards and design specifics shall be subject to review and approval. The appropriateness of these standards shall be determined for each specific conditional use location.
(1) The proposed use is within the provision of conditional uses as set out in this chapter.
(2) The proposed use conforms to all applicable provisions herein set out for the district in which it is to be located.
(3) The proposed use is so designated, located and proposed to be operated that the public health, safety and welfare will be protected.
(4) The proposed land use is compatible with and will not adversely affect other property in the area where it is proposed to be located.
(5) The size and shape of the site, including the size, shape and arrangement of proposed structures, as well as signage related thereto, is in keeping with the intent of this chapter.
(6) The proposed ingress and egress, internal circulation system, location and amount of off-street parking, loading and pedestrian-ways are sufficiently adequate, and not inconsistent with requirements of this chapter.
(7) The proposed landscaping and screening of the proposed use are in accordance with provisions of this chapter.
(8) Safeguards proposed to limit noxious or offensive emissions, including lighting, noise, glare, dust and odor, are addressed. (Zoning Ord., § 14.24.02)


Aerial View


Zoning Map

## Applicant's Proposal:

The applicant would like to open a retail space and a fast food restaurant with a drive through at the subject location. The proposed use must be approved under the Conditional Use process under the functions of the MAPC.

Restaurant, fast-food, means an establishment where the principal business is the sale of food and nonalcoholic beverages in a ready-to-consume state and where the design or principal method of operation is that of a fastfood or drive-in-style restaurant offering quick food service, where orders are generally not taken at the customers table, where food is generally served in disposable wrapping or containers and where food and beverages may be served directly to the customer in an automobile.

Retail/service means the sale or rental of commonly used goods and merchandise for personal or household use or the provision of services to consumers, excluding those retail and service uses classified more specifically herein. Typical uses include grocery stores, department stores, furniture stores, clothing stores and establishments providing the following products or services: household electronic equipment, sporting goods, bicycles, office supplies, home furnishings, electronics repair, shoe repair, household appliances, wallpaper, carpeting and floor covering, art supplies, kitchen utensils, jewelry, drugs, laundromat, dry cleaners, cosmetics, books, antiques, or automotive parts and accessories.

## Conclusion:

The Planning Staff has reviewed the request and feel that all issues regarding impacts on the surrounding area have been considered. Staff recommends approval to Planning Commission for retail space and fast food restaurant located within the C-4 Neighborhood Commercial District with the following stipulations:

1. That upon issuance of the Conditional Use Approval, all other permits and licenses required locally and statewide be applied for and obtained by the applicant.
2. This lot is included in the Overlay District and will be required to follow all Overlay Distrust guidelines.

Respectfully Submitted for Commission Consideration, The Planning Department

## Sample Motion:

I move that we place Case: CU-22-02 on the floor for consideration of recommended approval by the MAPC with the noted conditions, and we, the MAPC find that the proposed conditional use will be compatible and suitable within the zoning, uses, and character of the surrounding area, subject to the Final Permit review and approval by the Planning, Engineering and Inspection Departments in the future.

City of Jonesboro

## Text File

File Number: RZ-22-13

| Agenda Date: | Version: 1 |
| :--- | :--- | Status: To Be Introduced

REZONING: Thompson Drive \& Southwest Drive

Jeremy Moore is requesting a Rezoning from R-1, Single-Family Medium Density District, to RM-16, Residential Multifamily 16 units per net acre. This Rezoning is for 4.41 +/- acres (2 tracts) located at Thompson Dr. and Southwest Dr.

Application
for a
Zoning Ordinance Map Amendment

METROPOLITAN AREA
PLANNING COMMISSION Jonesboro, Arkansas

Meeting Date: $\qquad$ $9 / 27 / 22$

Date Received:
Meeting Deadline: $\qquad$

LOCATION:
Site Address:
Side of Street: $\qquad$ between $\qquad$ Section: $\qquad$ 02
$\qquad$ Township: $\qquad$ 13 Range: 03
Quarter:
Attach a survey plat and legal description of the property proposed for rezoning. A Registered Land Surveyor must prepare this plat.
-
$\qquad$
Attach a survey plat and legal description of the property proposed for rezoning. A Registered Land Surveyor must prepare this plat.
SITE INFORMATION:
Existing Zoning: $\qquad$
RI
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Size of site (square feet and acres):


Street frontage (feet):


Existing Use of the Site: $\qquad$ woods / vacant land

Character and adequacy of adjoining streets:
Does public water serve the site?
 woods/ vacant land/Tesidential losing

If not, how would water service be provided?
Does public sanitary sewer serve the site?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

If not, how would sewer service be provided? $\qquad$
Use of adjoining properties:

Physical characteristics of the site:

war Vacant lot
The site consists of vacant land/Hees

Characteristics of the neighborhood:
The adjoint property is zoned RM/6 W/ the remaining property nearby being vacant land / Field and woods. A natural barrier
From a gas line easement sits between it and W
Applications will not be considered complete wit all items have beensupplied. Incomplete applications will not be placed on the Aetropoktan Area
Planing Commission agenda and will be retumed to the applicant. The decline for submittal of on application is on the public meeting schedule. use ln 5 .
The Planning staff must determine that the application is complete and adequate before it will be placed on the MAPC agenda.
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## REZONING INFORMATION:

The applicant is responsible for explaining and justifying the proposed rezoning. Please prepare an attachment to this application answering each of the following questions in detail:
(1). How was the property zoned when the current owner purchased it?
(2). What is the purpose of the proposed rezoning? Why is the rezoning necessary?
(3). If rezoned, how would the property be developed and used?
(4). What would be the density or intensity of development (e.g. number of residential units; square footage of commercial, institutional, or industrial buildings)?
(5). Is the proposed rezoning consistent with the Jonesboro Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Plan?
(6). How would the proposed rezoning be the public interest and benefit the community?
(7). How would the proposed rezoning be compatible with the zoning, uses, and character of the surrounding area?
(8). Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot be used in accordance with existing zoning?
(9). How would the proposed rezoning affect nearby property including impact on property value, traffic, drainage, visual appearance, odor, noise, light, vibration, hours of use or operation and any restriction to the normal and customary use of the affected property.
(10). How long has the property remained vacant?
(11). What impact would the proposed rezoning and resulting development have on utilities, streets, drainage, parks, open space, fire, police, and emergency inedical services?
(12). If the rezoning is approved, when would development or redevelopment begin?
(13). How do neighbors feel about the proposed rezoning? Please attach minutes of the neighborhood meeting held to discuss the proposed rezoning or notes from individual discussions. If the proposal has not been discussed with neighbors, please attach a statement explaining the reason. Failure to consult with neighbors may result in delay in hearing the application.
(14). If this application is for a Limited Use Overlay (LUO), the applicant must specify all uses desired to be permitted.

## OWNERSHIP INFORMATION:

All parties to this application understand that the burden of proof in justifying and demonstrating the need for the proposed rezoning rests with the applicant named below.

Owner of Record:
I certify that I am the owner of the property that is the subject of this rezoning application and that I represent all owners, including spouses, of the property to be rezoned. I further certify that all information in this application is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

## Applicant:

If you are not the Owner of Record, please describe your relationship to the rezoning proposal:

Name: Jeremy More
Address: 2013 Jamestown Drive
city, state: $\frac{\text { Jonesboro, AR }}{870-919-3369}$ z2404
Telephone:



Facsimile:
Signature:

$\qquad$ Telephone: $\qquad$
Facsimile:
Signature: $\qquad$
Deed: Please attach a copy of the deed for the subject property.

[^0]
## REZONING INFORMATION:

1) The property was R1 when purchased
2) The purpose of rezoning is to match the RM16 designation of the adjoining property in order to expand the development.
3) The property would be developed and used as a gated, retirement style community consisting of townhomes/brownstones/apartments as well as a community center, manager's office, swimming pool, dog park, community garden and walking trail.
4) The density/intensity of the development would be RM16 to match the adjoining property but would be limited to
5) Yes
6) There is a lack of senior housing in Jonesboro and this would fit that need
7) The rezoning would match the adjoining property which would then be platted into one lot
8) Yes. It doesn't fit the scope of work as it currently sits
9) There would be no negative impact to the surrounding property owners. Trees and buffers would be left to lower noise and light level. Ingress/Egress off of Southwest Drive and Thompson would help eliminate traffic concerns. The planned senior living development would also lower the hours of use, noise, vibrations and odor. An onsite property manager will also be present to address any issues and to maintain a clean visual appearance.
10) N/A. Since dinosaurs roamed the ridge
11) There would be no significant impact on utilities, streets, drainage, parks open space or first responders.
12) January 2023
13) The only adjoining neighbor is the owner who sold us this piece of property. He is behind us $100 \%$ and feels like it will make a great addition to the area.
14) $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$

PAK MAIL 0487
361 Southwest Dr JONESBORO, AR 72401
870-931-5151 Store: 487

```
    Shipment
        USPS First Class Mall
        Ship To:
            OLL IE HALL
            5110 SOUTHWEST DR
            JONESBORO, AR 72404-8982
    Package ID: 395592 12.90
    Tracking #: 9414711108036456839596
    Actual Wt: 0.05 lbs
    Rating Wt: 0.06 lbs
    Certified
    Return Receipt
                                [$6.70]
                                [$5.45]
        95909402750720985/1559
Shipment
    USPS First Class Mall
    Ship To:
        CLALID SMITH
        5002 SOUTHWEST DR
        JONESBORO, AR 72404-8935
    Package ID: 395594 12.90
    Tracking #: 9414711108036456833174
    Actual Wt: 0.05 lbs
    Rating Wt: 0.06 lbs
    Certified
    Return Receipt
                                $6.70]
                            [$5.45]
        9590940275072098571573
**VOID**Shipinlefit
```



```
    CH/ PROPERTIIS
```




Valley View School District<br>Office of the Superintendent<br>2131 Valley View Drive Jonesboro, Ar. 72404

September 6, 2022
To Commission Members and Other Officials:
Mr. Jeremy Moore spoke with me in my capacity as superintendent of Valley View School District prior to the initial rezoning request of approximately 2.5 acres where Thompson Drive and Southwest Drive meet. As I understand the current status, the initial rezoning request was approved by the MAPC on August 9 , 2022 and is on the agenda for the City Council meeting on September 6, 2022. I was contacted by Mr. Moore on August 31, 2022 to inform me that he and his business partner have bought an additional 4.5 acres adjoining the original 2.5 -acre parcel. Mr. Moore informed me that a rezoning request is now being submitted for this additional 4.5 -acre parcel to match the requested RM-16 zoning of the original 2.5 -acre parcel.

Based on the information provided by Mr. Moore, the additional 4.5 acres bounded by an existing ditch was purchased to enable the planned variety of townhomes, brownstones, and apartments to be spread out and still have room for a community center/manager's office, swimming pool, community garden, dog park, and walking trail in order to meet the different needs and desires of future residents. The additional space in the development will also allow the developers to include enclosed garages, carports, safe rooms, and handicap accessibility in units to align with requested amenities and the primary focus of the up-scale, gated residential development serving residents 55+ years of age.

This parcel of land is bounded by Valley View School district property to the south. Traffic on school days is always a concern that we must consider since Thompson Drive is an entrance and exit for parents at dropoff and pick-up times, and the larger acreage with more residents and traffic is something that must be accounted for in the plans for the development. Based on the initial application, Mr. Moore intends to have both ingress and egress from Southwest Drive as well as Thompson Drive, which we feel is paramount to address such traffic concerns. Drainage was also noted as a concern by our school board members at the July 14 board meeting, and Mr. Moore assured me that these such concerns would be addressed by the project engineer and architect. In my initial conversations with Mr. Moore, he stated that the existing tree buffer would be retained, and it is our district's hope that a tree buffer will continue to be in the revised plan with the expanded size of the development.

The Valley View School Board's next scheduled board meeting is tonight, September 6, 2022, and I plan to discuss the latest requested rezoning with board members. I am writing this letter to meet the established deadline for submission and will contact Mr. Moore with any additional concerns shared by the board when presented with this revised plan.

If you require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 870-935-6200.


Valley View School District

## City of

## ARKANSAS

City of Jonesboro City Council Staff Report RZ 22-13 Southwest Dr. \& Thompson Dr.

Municipal Center-300 S. Church St.
For Consideration by the MAPC September 27, 2022

REQUEST: $\quad$ To consider a rezoning of two tracts of land containing $4.41+/-$ acres.

PURPOSE: A request to consider recommendation to Council for a rezoning from "R-1" Single-Family Medium Density District to "RM-16" Residential Multifamily; 16 units per net acre.

OWNER Jeremy Moore, 2013 Jamestown Drive, Jonesboro, AR 72404
LOCATION: Thompson Drive and Southwest Drive, Jonesboro, AR 72401

## SITE

DESCRIPTION: Tract 1 Size: Approx. 1.78 Acres
Tract 2 Size: Approx. 2.63 Acres
Street Frontage: 130.12 ft .
Topography: Flat
Existing Development: Vacant/Woods

## SURROUNDING CONDITIONS:

| ZONE | LAND USE |
| :--- | :---: |
| North | RM-16 Residential Multifamily |
|  |  |
| South | R-1 Single-Family Medium Density District |
|  |  |
| East | R-1 Single-Family Medium Density District |
|  |  |
| West | R-1 Single-Family Medium Density District |

HISTORY: Land has been a vacant lot for 5+ years.

ZONING ANALYSIS:
City Planning Staff has reviewed the proposed Zone Change and offers the following findings:

## COMPREHENSIVE PLAN LAND USE MAP:

The Current/Future Land Use Map recommends this location as High Intensity Growth Sector. A wider mix of land uses is appropriate in the High Intensity Growth Sector. From Multi-Family to fast food to Class A office space to outdoor display/highway oriented businesses like automotive dealerships, because they will be located in areas where sewer service is readily available and transportation facilities are equipped to handle the traffic. The proposed rezoning is consistent with the adopted Land Use Plan for the proposed zoning.

## HIGH INTENSITY GROWTH SECTORS - RECOMMENDED USE TYPES INCLUDE:

- Regional Shopping Centers
- Automotive Dealerships
- Outdoor Display Retail
- Fast Food Restaurants
- Multi-Family
- Service Stations
- Commercial and Office
- Call Centers
- Research and Development
- Medical
- Banks
- Big Box Commercial
- Hotel


## DENSITY: Multi-Family 8-14 Dwelling Units Per Acre

Multi-Family should only be allowed on collector and above streets that have been improved or scheduled to be improved in the next construction cycle of city projects unless the developer is willing to build the roads to Master Street Plan standers that serve the development.

HEIGHT: 150 Feet
TRAFFIC: $\quad$ This will be located along arterial streets with high traffic volume.

## EXAMPLES:




Land Use Plan


Master Street Plan

## Master Street Plan/Transportation

The subject property will be served by Southwest Drive or Thompson Drive.
Southwest Drive is classified as a Principal Arterial on the Master Street Plan. The Principal Arterial's function is to provide both long distance connections through the urban area and to major traffic generators within the community. Roadways are designated principal arterials to imply the need to focus more on moving traffic rather than providing direct access to adjacent land. Traffic management techniques used to maintain a high level of traffic capacity on these roadways include the use of medians, restricting curb cuts per some spacing policy, and limiting the use of traffic signals to the intersection with other significant roadways.

Thompson Drive is classified as a Local Street on the Master Street Plan. Local Streets serve the lowest traffic volumes. Low traffic volumes combined with slow travel speeds help to create a good residential setting. New developments should be reviewed to avoid creating cut-through streets that become commuter routes that generally lower quality of life for residents. The Local Street function is to provide access to adjacent property. The movement of traffic is a secondary purpose. The use of a Local Street in a residential area by heavy trucks and buses should be minimized.


## Approval Criteria- Chapter 117 - Amendments:

The criteria for approval of a rezoning are set out below. Not all of the criteria must be given equal consideration by the MAPC or City Council in reaching a decision. The criteria to be considered shall include, but not be limited to the following list on the next page.

| Criteria | Explanations and Findings | Comply $\mathbf{Y} / \mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Consistency of the proposal with the Comprehensive Plan/Land Use Map | The proposed district rezoning is consistent with the Adopted Land Use Plan, which was categorized as a High Intensity Growth Sector. |  |
| (b) Consistency of the proposal with the purpose of Chapter 117-Zoning. | The proposal will achieve consistency with the purpose of Chapter 117, with compliance of all District standards. |  |
| (c) Compatibility of the proposal with the zoning, uses and character of the surrounding area. | Compatibility is achieved with this rezoning considering the site is surrounded by Residential Zoning. |  |
| (d) Suitability of the subject property for the uses to which it has been restricted without the proposed zoning map amendment; | The property could not be developed as multifamily under its current zoning, rezoning provides for more consistent facilities and would be an efficient method for developing the property. |  |
| (e) Extent to which approval of the proposed rezoning will detrimentally affect nearby property including, but not limited to, any impact on property value, traffic, drainage, visual, odor, noise, light, vibration, hours of use/operation and any restriction to the normal and customary use of the affected property; | No detrimental or adverse impacts are predicted, if proper planning is implemented. Residential surrounds this property. |  |
| (f) Impact of the proposed development on community facilities and services, including those related to utilities, streets, drainage, parks, open space, fire, police, and emergency medical services | Minimal impact if rezoned due to the fact that residential currently exist in this area. |  |

## Staff Findings:

## Applicant's Purpose

The proposed area is currently classified as "R-1" Single-Family Medium Density District. The applicant wants to rezone the property to "RM-16" Residential Multifamily; 16 units per net acre. The owner anticipates to use this site as a 55+ multi-family development if rezoned successfully.

Rezoning this property is consistent with the Jonesboro Comprehensive Plan and the Future Land Use Plan.

## Chapter 117 of the City Code of Ordinances/Zoning defines RM-16 Residential District as follows:

RM-16-Residential multifamily classification; 16 units per net acre, includes all forms of units, duplexes, triplexes, quads, and higher.

## Departmental/Agency Reviews:

The following departments and agencies were contacted for review and comments. Note that this table will be updated at the hearing due to reporting information that will be updated in the coming days:

| Department/Agency | Reports/ Comments | Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Engineering | No issues were reported |  |
| Streets/Sanitation | No issues were reported |  |
| Police | No issues were reported |  |
| Fire Department | No issues were reported |  |
| MPO | No issues were reported | CWL |
| Jets | No issues were reported |  |
| Utility Companies | No issues were reported |  |
| Code Enforcement | No issues were reported |  |

## Conclusion:

The Planning Department Staff finds that the requested Zone Change submitted for subject parcel, should be evaluated based on the above observations and criteria of Case RZ 22-13 a request to rezone property "R-1" Single-Family Medium Density District to "RM-16" Residential Multifamily; the following conditions are recommended:

1. That the proposed site shall satisfy all requirements of the City Engineer, all requirements of the current Stormwater Drainage Design Manual and Flood Plain Regulations regarding any new construction.
2. Any change of use shall be subject to Planning Department approval in the future.
3. A final site plan illustrating compliance with site requirements for parking, signage, landscaping, fencing, buffering, outdoor storage, dumpster enclosure, sidewalks, and all other ordinance requirements shall be submitted to the Planning Department prior to any redevelopment of this property.
4. The site shall comply with all Overlay District guidelines.

Respectfully Submitted for City Council Consideration, The Planning and Zoning Department

## Sample Motion:

I move that we place Case: RZ 22-13 on the floor for consideration of recommendation by MAPC to the City Council with the noted conditions, and we, the MAPC find that to rezone property from "R-1" Single-Family Medium Density District to "RM-16" Residential Multifamily will be compatible and suitable with the zoning, uses, and character of the surrounding area.



[^0]:    Applications will hot be considered complete will all items have been supple. Incomplete applications will not be placed on the Metropolitan Area Planning Commission agenda and will be returned to the applicant. The deadline for submittal of an application is on the public meeting schedule. The Planing staff must determine that the application is complete and adequate before it will be placed on the MAP CC agenda.

    Page 2 of 2

