



City of Jonesboro

Municipal Center
300 S. Church Street
Jonesboro, AR 72401

Meeting Agenda Public Safety Council Committee

Tuesday, April 18, 2017

5:00 PM

Municipal Center

1. Call To Order

2. Roll Call by City Clerk Donna Jackson

3. Approval of minutes

[MIN-17:034](#) Minutes for the Public Safety Committee Meeting on March 21, 2017

Attachments: [Minutes.pdf](#)

4. New Business

Ordinances To Be Introduced

[ORD-17:019](#) AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL AND ADOPT ORDINANCES RELATING TO DOWNTOWN PARKING IN THE CITY OF JONESBORO

Sponsors: Police Department

5. Pending Items

6. Other Business

7. Public Comments

8. Adjournment



City of Jonesboro

300 S. Church Street
Jonesboro, AR 72401

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: MIN-17:034 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Minutes for the Public Safety Committee Meeting on March 21, 2017
Type: Minutes **Status:** To Be Introduced
File created: 3/22/2017 **In control:** Public Safety Council Committee
On agenda: **Final action:**
Title: Minutes for the Public Safety Committee Meeting on March 21, 2017
Sponsors:
Indexes:
Code sections:
Attachments: [Minutes.pdf](#)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

Minutes for the Public Safety Committee Meeting on March 21, 2017



City of Jonesboro

Municipal Center
300 S. Church Street
Jonesboro, AR 72401

Meeting Minutes Public Safety Council Committee

Tuesday, March 21, 2017

5:00 PM

Municipal Center

1. Call To Order

2. Roll Call by City Clerk Donna Jackson

Present 3 - Chris Gibson; Mitch Johnson and David McClain

Absent 2 - Gene Vance and Chris Moore

3. Approval of minutes

[MIN-17:022](#)

Minutes for the Public Safety Committee meeting on February 21, 2017

Attachments: [Minutes](#)

A motion was made by Councilman Chris Gibson, seconded by Councilman David McClain, that this matter be Passed . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 3 - Chris Gibson; Mitch Johnson and David McClain

Absent: 2 - Gene Vance and Chris Moore

4. New Business

Ordinances To Be Introduced

[ORD-17:014](#)

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF JONESBORO TO AMEND THE 2017 GENERAL FUND TO CREATE A COURT SERVICES DEPARTMENT

Attachments: [Court Services Proposal](#)

Chief Elliott stated that the Police Department is going to take on the responsibilities for Court Services for the District Court for the City of Jonesboro and Craighead County. He said that in this ordinance, we are trying to amend it for salaries for these positions. We are going to start out with three positions and we have some construction costs for modifications to the building, the Justice Complex on West Washington. There is a breakdown in your PowerPoint. Chief Elliott said he believed they all received a copy of the PowerPoint prior to this meeting.

Chief Elliott said that prior to 2017, the Justice Network administered probation services handed out by the court. Our newly elected Judges Fowler and Boling made the decision to no longer use the Justice Network. Chief Elliott said they came to him

to ask if the City could take on such services. After speaking with the Mayor and others involved with this, we agreed to take on this project.

Chief Elliott said that the Jonesboro Police Department would administer probation services. Mostly what they will be dealing with will be Class A misdemeanors, which is the most serious of misdemeanors. In giving a history of what they would be handling, in 2016, there were approximately 6,000 Class A misdemeanors that were committed and run through the District Court system. We are looking at a fee of approximately \$30 per month for anyone that is on probation. Not every Class A will necessarily be placed on probation, but the Judges right now feel that most will be.

Chief Elliott stated they tried to be conservative on the number of people the Judges would be placing on probation. We are thinking a conservative number would be 1,500-2,000. As time goes on, we do believe those numbers will increase along with the whole project. We are starting out with a minimum of three staff. In 3-5 years from now, Chief Elliott thinks everything will probably double. This is a start. We are trying to get this service up and running by mid-summer. We would like to have it up by July if possible.

Chief Elliott stated that the PowerPoint shows some of the estimated costs of services. There are three positions, one of which is a supervisor, and the other two are assistant positions. These are full-time positions with full benefits with the City. The breakdown shows the starting salaries of the Supervisor and the assistants. We listed some other expenses within this project that includes uniforms, drug screening test kits, mandated training, office supplies, office equipment, minor equipment, utilities, vehicle, travel/training, and maintenance for building modifications. Some of these items are a one-time start up expense. The building will not be any expense to us other than having to make a few modifications to it. Starting this thing out at the current location is a very minimal expense to the city. If this thing continues to grow as we anticipate, we may have to look for another facility somewhere down the road. We think this will suffice for the next couple of years.

Chief Elliott said we are looking at fees of approximately \$30 per month. We are estimating that about 1,000 people will be paying monthly so you are looking at approximately \$360,000 in yearly income. You can see with the outlay, expenses starting this up, and the salaries; the net income will far exceed that. For the city, this will be a profitable venture to get into. He said it can more than sustain itself for the next few years.

Councilman McClain stated he had a few questions. How do we make sure that this is not something that just makes money and that we continue to burden people down and we continue to see people in that system? Are we doing things to help them get out of this? Chief Elliott said absolutely there are always concerns with the way things are managed. People get on the hamster wheel and get off of it. We are not getting into an environment where we are tacking fees on top of fees. This is a fee that they will pay per month that we anticipate will cover any services that they are required. Some of these things still have to be worked out. There may be some classes involved in that. There may be an additional fee because that will take additional personnel to teach those classes. That is part of the agreement that we have not worked out with the Judges yet on if there needs to be any more additional fees or not. Right now, we are just looking to allocate money. Chief Elliott stated he thinks that under the guidance of these two Judges, he doesn't think they will have the same problems. He said we do need a mechanism in place to monitor what is being handed down from the court. We are the only ones in the county that are willing to step up and take the service on. He said that it would be for the whole county.

Chief Financial Officer Suzanne Allen asked Chief Elliott if the reason why they wanted to do away with the Justice Network was the same concerns that Councilman McClain has. Chief Elliott said absolutely because they created problems where people just couldn't get out of the system. He said he thinks the Judges have some other mechanisms in place for people. They won't be assigning as much public service if a person has a job. Someone that is unemployed will have a chance to work their fees off at a reasonable rate. The Judges are very sympathetic to the community. Chief Elliott stated he does not think this will be a problem.

City Attorney Carol Duncan said that tended to be how people got caught in the system. They got assigned public service work hours that they could not complete and then they would get more public service work hours for not completing those. Public service work was what got them caught in the wheel they couldn't get off of. She asked Chief Elliott if the \$30 per month was probation including public service work if they are on public service work or is there some other fee for public service work. Chief Elliott said that it is his understanding that the \$30 fee would cover most of this. If there is a class, there may be an additional fee. We have not made a decision on whether they have to be drug tested and if there would be any additional fee to cover the cost of that test. That is the only thing that is not clear and that we are still in the process of working it out. We are trying to keep the fees as minimal as possible.

Councilman McClain asked if this fee was in addition to the court fees. Chief Elliott said that yes, if you are found guilty in court, you are responsible for the court costs and fees as well as any probation fees. Ms. Duncan said that this would be in addition to any court costs and fees. Mayor Perrin stated that we will be working with an intergovernmental agreement that Ms. Duncan is working on that will spell all of this out. We are just trying to get the money allocated to go ahead and start searching for personnel and getting it going. There will be a MOU with the city and the court system and the county. You will know exactly all of those deals. This system will not work the same as the one before.

City Attorney Carol Duncan said there are just two or three issues that we have left. We are waiting to see exactly what classes they are expecting us to offer because I think it will be different from what was offered under the Justice Network. Some of those we think we can teach in-house with police officers. Some of those, depending upon what they are asking us to offer might require a special skill set that we might have to hire someone outside to do depending on what the class is. I don't anticipate they will order as many classes as we had under the Justice Network, but we won't know that until the Judges tell us for sure. We also do not know what our level of involvement will be with DWI court if any. That is a specialty court that they run at night, one night a month. My office is not really involved in that, but the county is and there could be some probation involved in that. We need to know what they expect of us regarding that. The last question had to deal with drug testing. If they are going to require a specific type of drug testing, we want to understand what the cost is associated with that. If it is excessive, we will want to know if they are going to add on a cost for that when they are ordering drug testing. Not everyone will have drug testing, but if you are on a drug offense, you may have random drug testing. If it is a minimal cost, the Chief and I have discussed that might not be something you add a fee on for if it's just \$5, that may be part of the \$30. We need the Judges to weigh in on that. I don't see bringing it council before we find out if the county is on board. We need to get something drafted and get with County Judge Ed Hill to make sure everyone is on the same page and we are all in agreement and then we will try to bring it back. It is going to take a little bit, but the money shouldn't change is her understanding as far as our startup costs and employees and stuff.

Mayor Perrin said that if we don't go forward, we may end up in September trying to get out the job descriptions and posting it and all that stuff. Ms. Duncan stated and then we wouldn't know what to budget for next year. Chief Elliott said we are trying to get on board so we can get this thing up and running so when we get into budgeting in the fall, we hopefully will have a little bit better understanding on budgeting for this for 2018. He said that even when this is passed, by the time we get the advertisement on job positions and get someone to do the background checks on them; we are still going to be out 30-60 days minimum plus time to complete some building modifications. We will have the MOU long before that and it will come back before the council for final approval. Tonight, we are just trying to give an estimated cost of this project and get that pushed through so we can proceed on with the project. We did lay out there what potentially could be brought in as income to us so you can see that this is not necessarily going to be taxing the citizens of Jonesboro for any additional money. It will be somewhat profitable. Chairman Johnson said that at least it could be self-supporting. Ms. Duncan said self-supporting is a great goal.

Chairman Johnson said that they appreciate the Chief for taking on this project and it seems like the Judges are on board with it. He said he thinks it will be a good thing in the long run. Chief Elliott said that it will be a great collaborative effort. He said it has been a pleasure to work with the two new Judges and Ms. Duncan on this project. The citizens will see a huge benefit compared to what was in place.

Mayor Perrin asked if they wanted to walk on and forward to the full council RES-17:014. Chairman Johnson said it was his understanding that they were going to get their stuff together and bring it to the council by the next meeting.

City Attorney Carol Duncan stated that this resolution is to appropriate the money. The memorandum of understanding or the contract will be separate. Mayor Perrin said that it would be a separate deal. Councilman Gibson stated he would amend his motion to reflect that.

A motion was made by Councilman Chris Gibson, seconded by Councilman David McClain, that this matter be Recommended to Council . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 3 - Chris Gibson; Mitch Johnson and David McClain

Absent: 2 - Gene Vance and Chris Moore

Resolutions To Be Introduced

RES-17:026

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS to condemn property located at 2206 Una, Owner: Amber Tollison

Attachments: [photo 1](#)
[photo 2](#)
[photo 3](#)
[2206 Una County Data](#)
[inspection report](#)
[Title Report - Tollison](#)

Code Enforcement Officer Michael Tyner said the property had been inspected and the father of the owner lives in town. He was trying to get a grant to rehab it and I told him I would give him 90 days to get the paperwork submitted and at least get on the waiting list. Mr. Tyner said he hasn't heard anything and hasn't seen any paperwork come across his desk. In the meantime, they have gotten several complaints about

the condition of the property and open sewer lines. Given it's deteriorating condition, we need to move forward with the condemnation.

A motion was made by Councilman Chris Gibson, seconded by Councilman David McClain, that this matter be Recommended to Council . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 3 - Chris Gibson; Mitch Johnson and David McClain

Absent: 2 - Gene Vance and Chris Moore

5. Pending Items

6. Other Business

7. Public Comments

Bill Smith, 314 S. Main, stated he is making a request on behalf the NEA Bicycle Association. He is bringing this forward for that group's consideration of yours. He thinks many of the members of the council received emails from concerned citizens about a growing problem we are seeing on some of our streets, particularly our more narrow streets, even for some that are signed for "Share the Road" when dealing with cyclists and motorists. On behalf of NEABC, we need the city to start considering the usage of "May Use Full Lane" signage. This is the new trend nationwide. In particular, in places like Craighead Forest Park, we are seeing increasing cases of motorists harassing the cyclists. We see the same thing on Matthews. "Share the Road" signs may not be the solution because on an extremely narrow road, you don't have the space to share with the State passing law or common courtesy. We want the public to know the use of the road is still available for the cyclists.

Councilman McClain asked Mr. Smith to explain the "May Use Lane" sign. Councilman Moore asked Mr. Smith to explain what the signage looks like. Mr. Smith said it is a new white instead of yellow sign that you see nationwide. They are typically square with a bike on it and underneath the bike, it says "May Use Full Lane." This has become the new suggestion nationwide. The MPO folks have a copy of it as well. "Share the Lane" works great on a wider street, but when you are on a narrow road, especially one with a curb, there is nowhere to go. "Share the Lane" does not work when you have a narrow road. Councilman Moore asked if the State passing law was three feet. Mr. Smith said it is three feet from the side of the cyclist. He said that maybe the city could consider using the "May Use Full Lane" signage.

Councilman McClain asked if he wanted the signs in just Craighead Forest or everywhere. Mr. Smith said he thinks it needs to be in targeted places. He thinks this is just the start of the conversation. Mayor Perrin said they have a committee on Traffic Safety. He thinks that committee needs to take a look at that idea. We can work on that and bring it back to Public Safety. Chairman Johnson asked if they could put that on their agenda to review and ask them for their recommendation. Mayor Perrin said that they could ask the Traffic Safety Committee to do that.

Councilman Moore stated that State law gives a cyclist the right to use the full lane. Mr. Smith said it is getting in the minds of people to have them understand that you can use the full lane. When you say share, they think they can share you right off the edge.

Eric Kriner, 819 Amberwood Cove, voiced his concern about the intersection of Wood

Street and Alexander Drive. He said that it is a dangerous double blind intersection on a hill. He is asking that the city consider doing something to help draw attention to the area as being dangerous. Drivers speed down Alexander and putting up signage that warns drivers of a "Dangerous Intersection" would be helpful. Mayor Perrin stated that he has sent a letter to the State Highway Department regarding the matter of that intersection.

8. Adjournment

A motion was made by Councilman Chris Gibson, seconded by Councilman David McClain, that this meeting be Adjourned . The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 3 - Chris Gibson; Mitch Johnson and David McClain

Absent: 2 - Gene Vance and Chris Moore



Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: ORD-17:019 **Version:** 1 **Name:** Repeal and adopt ordinances relating to downtown parking

Type: Ordinance **Status:** To Be Introduced

File created: 4/13/2017 **In control:** Public Safety Council Committee

On agenda: **Final action:**

Title: AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL AND ADOPT ORDINANCES RELATING TO DOWNTOWN PARKING IN THE CITY OF JONESBORO

Sponsors: Police Department

Indexes: Code of Ordinances amendment

Code sections: Chapter 66 - Traffic & Vehicles

Attachments:

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
------	------	-----------	--------	--------

AN ORDINANCE TO REPEAL AND ADOPT ORDINANCES RELATING TO DOWNTOWN PARKING IN THE CITY OF JONESBORO

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Jonesboro, Arkansas desires to regulate downtown parking to alleviate parking conditions that endanger the health, safety, and general welfare of the citizens of Jonesboro.

WHEREAS, Chapter 66-221 through Chapter 66-235 is to be repealed and the following language of Chapter 66-221 through Chapter 66-237 is to be adopted to better conform to the current downtown parking needs

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS:

SECTION ONE: That Chapter 66-221 through 66-235 shall be repealed in its entirety and replaced with the following language:

Sec. 66-221. - Prohibited in rented spaces and handicap areas.

It shall be unlawful to park in any spaces labeled as handicap and designated for exclusive use of any person with a disability without a special license plate or certificate displayed.

Sec. 66-222. - Signs required.

Whenever any provision of this division or any traffic ordinance, a parking time limit is imposed or parking is prohibited on any street or public parking lot or part thereof or an area is designated for handicap parking, it shall be the duty of the chief of police or some person designated by him, to erect appropriate signs on such street or public parking lot or part thereof giving notice thereof and no such regulations shall be effective unless said signs are erected and in place on the street or public parking lot or part thereof where parking time is limited or prohibited at the time of any alleged offense.

Sec. 66-223. - Parking within marked lines.

Where diagonal or parallel parking is provided, the vehicle shall be wholly within the painted or marked parking lines and no part of any vehicle shall be allowed to project over or across said diagonal lines. It shall be unlawful to park in any location where diagonal and/or parallel parking lines are not clearly provided. Further,

all on street diagonal or parallel parking shall be designated as two-hour parking only.

Sec. 66-224. - Loading zones.

(a) It shall be unlawful for any person to park or leave standing any vehicle within any loading zone except for the purpose of loading or unloading persons or freight and then only for a period not exceeding 15 minutes. Further, it shall be unlawful to park or leave standing any vehicle for the purpose of loading and unloading other than in marked loading zones.

(b) Due to the need for uniform rules and regulations regarding loading and unloading, the following rules and regulations regarding delivery and loading shall apply to all such activities for the 200, 300, and 400 block of North Main:

(1) Deliveries shall be unlimited and may use any space available before 10:00 a.m., on Main Street.

(2) After 10:00 a.m., deliveries shall be made, and loading and unloading shall be had, only in the following three spaces provided as loading zones:

a. On southeast corner of Huntington and Main;

b. On northwest corner of Huntington and Main;

c. Two spaces directly in front of the Forum on Monroe Street;

d. After 6:00 p.m. deliveries on Main Street are again unrestricted.

(c) Deliveries may be made in the alley from Monroe to Cate Street in the alley between Main and Church at any time.

(d) Additional loading zones may be designated based upon future development and future needs.

Sec. 66-225. - Parking on private property prohibited.

It shall be unlawful for any person to park or place any vehicle upon private property without the consent of the owner.

Sec. 66-226. - Parking for certain purposes prohibited.

It shall be unlawful to park any vehicle of any kind or character upon any street or street right-of-way within the city or upon any city-owned parking lot for the purpose of displaying said vehicle for sale or for rent or hire or to park any vehicle upon any street or street right-of-way within the city for a period of longer than five minutes unless said vehicle is being loaded and/or unloaded on a continuous basis.

Sec. 66-227. - Parking within 15 feet of fire hydrant prohibited.

It shall be unlawful for any person to stop or park an automobile, motorcycle or vehicle of any kind whatsoever on any street, avenue or alley within a radius or distance of 15 feet of any fire hydrant within the corporate limits of the city.

Sec. 66-228. - Parking time limits designated.

(a) The following named and designated streets, public parking lots or parts thereof in the downtown improvement district to the city are hereby designated with parking time limits as follows:

(1) All on-street public parking in the area bounded by Matthews Avenue north to Cate/Burke Street and Union Avenue east to Church Street including both sides of the boundary streets shall be designated as two-hour limit public parking unless otherwise designated by ordinance, the chief of police, or his designee by the placement of the appropriate signs or markings.

(2) The following public parking lots or parts thereof shall have time limits as posted at each entrance:

a. The parking lot located at the southeast corner of Union and Huntington Avenue.

b. The public parking lot at the corner of the 300 block of South Main Street and the 100 block of West Monroe Street.

c. The public parking lot on Burke Street between Union and Main.

d. The Forum parking lot.

- e. The parking lot at South Church Street and connecting to Cate Street.
- f. The parking lot on the corner of West Monroe and Madison Street.
- g. The public parking lot in the 300 block of Union Street running westward to Madison Street.
- h. The public parking lot located in the 200 block of East Monroe street between East Street and Church Street.
- i. The public parking lot in the 300 block of South Church which encompasses the area of the said lot which runs from East Street 87 feet west, then 113.5 feet south, 87 feet east, then 113.5 feet north.
- j. The 700 block of South Main Street.

(b) Exemptions:

- (1) No judge or his court reporter of any court of this state shall be subject to a fine or other penalty for the offense of overtime parking incurred while the person was on duty as a judge or court reporter.
- (2) No person shall be subject to a fine or other penalty for the offense of overtime parking incurred while the person is engaged in actual service as a grand or petit juror in any court (federal, state or municipal) in the state. The person may evidence the fact of jury service by exhibiting to the appropriate official of the city or town offended by the violation a certificate of the clerk of the court similar to the form now in use to the effect that the person was engaged in jury service on the date of the violation and the hours of actual service.
- (3) Any person attempting to enforce any fine or other penalty notwithstanding the provisions of this section shall be subject to contempt proceedings before the judge of the court being served by the person so charged.
- (4) Nothing contained in this section shall be construed to give immunity from fine or penalty other than for the offenses of overtime parking.

(c) Moving of vehicle with the same area to circumvent timed parking limits. When any particular parking lot or space has been designated as a timed parking area or space, it shall be unlawful for any person to park or otherwise cause a vehicle to be parked for a total time exceeding the limit of that particular space by utilizing any combination of spaces located in that particular parking lot or with the same block of any city street located within the area covered by this section.

(d) All on-street parking time limits and any time limits posted for public parking lots will be enforced Monday through Friday between the times of 8:00 am and 5:00 pm.

Sec. 66-229. - Handicap spaces designated.

It shall be the duty of the chief of police, or his designees, to mark and designate appropriate parking for handicapped spaces in the downtown area. That these spaces may be modified and relocated as future needs develop and shall comply with state regulations.

Sec. 66-230. - Owner responsible for violation.

(a) It shall be unlawful and a violation of the provisions of the parking regulations of the city whether or not the owner was the operator of the vehicle at the time the parking violation occurred.

(b) In any hearing in the district court on a charge (violation or civil), of illegally parking a vehicle, testimony that a vehicle bearing a certain license plate was found unlawfully parked as prohibited by the parking regulations of the city and further testimony that the records of the registrar of the motor vehicles for the city or the state that said license plates were issued to the defendant shall be prima facie evidence that the vehicle was parked unlawfully and was so parked or permitted to be parked by the defendant.

Sec. 66-231. - Violations.

(a) Every person in violation of the parking regulations of the city within the downtown district, concerning parking time limits and/or parking in unauthorized locations, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$10.00 for each violation. The initial fine of \$10.00 for parking violations shall be increased to \$25.00 where

the party cited for a violation of any such ordinance shall fail to pay said fine of \$10.00 within fourteen of the date of issuance of the citation.

(b) If the space is marked as a two-hour or other time limit, then each additional two hour or marked time period in which the vehicle is parked in the space shall be a separate offense.

(c) Every person in violation of a second or subsequent offense within a 60-day period shall be fined \$25.00 for each such violation.

(d) Every person in violation of a fourth or subsequent offense within a six-month period shall be subject to being towed or mechanically disabled, i.e., booted, as authorized herein.

(e) In the event that a person in violation of the parking regulations within the downtown district shall fail to pay their fine as designated shall be subject to issuance of a summons to the county district court for adjudication.

(f) Every person in violation of the parking regulations of the city concerning spaces marked for handicapped persons shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$100.00.

(g) Every person in violation of the parking regulations of the city concerning spaces rented or unlawful to park shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$25.00 for said violation.

(h) Every person in violation of the parking regulations of the city concerning no parking zones or parking where no parking spaces are clearly marked shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50.00 for said violation.

(i) The parking enforcement officers previously under the supervision of the finance department shall be moved to the city police department subject to the supervision of the chief of police or his designee. It shall be the duty of the parking enforcement officers of the city acting in accordance with the instructions issued to them to report:

(1) The location where any vehicle has occupied a space in violation of the parking regulations of the city;

(2) The state license number on such vehicle;

(3) The date and time of day the officers detected such vehicle parked in violation of the parking regulations;

(4) Any other facts or knowledge of which is necessary to a thorough understanding of the circumstances attending such violation; and

(5) Name of the parking enforcement officer detecting such violation.

(j) Each parking enforcement officer observing such violation shall also attach to such vehicle a notice to the owner or operator thereof that such vehicle has been parked in violation of the parking regulations of the city.

(k) Every owner or operator of a vehicle found in violation of the parking regulations of the city shall within seven days of the time when notice of the parking violation was attached to such vehicle, pay to the city collector the sums required to satisfy such violation as set forth in the provisions of this section. The failure of such owner or operator to make such payment to the city collector with seven days shall render such owner or operator subject to the penalties currently provided for the violation of the parking regulations of the city.

(l) In addition to the penalties set forth herein, vehicles which accumulate unpaid parking fines in excess of \$100.00 shall be subject to being mechanically disabled, i.e., booted, and said device shall not be removed until such time as parking fines are paid in full.

(m) In addition to the penalties provided in this section, when any vehicle is found illegally parked as provided in section 66-83, the chief of police or his designee is authorized to contact a wrecker service for the purpose of towing such vehicle away. The wrecker may tow the vehicle to the place of business of the owner of the wrecker.

(n) The owner of the vehicle may reclaim it from the wrecker service by paying the standard and customary charge for such towing service plus any additional charges for storage.

(o) Every person in violation of parking regulations of the city concerning a vehicle parked with the left door to the curb, on two-way streets, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50.00.

(p) Every person in violation of parking regulations of the city concerning a vehicle parked in a fire lane on any

street, avenue or alley or within a radius or distance of 15 feet of any fire hydrant within the corporate limits of the city, shall be punished by a fine of not more than \$50.00.

Sec. 66-232. - No parking in alleys or on Sidewalks.

- (a) There shall be no parking in the alleys located between Main Street and Church Street in the 200 and 300 block of Main Street.
- (b) There shall be no parking in the alley between Main Street and Union Street in the 200 block and 400 block of Main Street.
- (c) It shall be unlawful to block and park in any public alleyway so as to create an obstruction of traffic as may be necessary for emergency or service vehicles.
- (d) It shall be unlawful to park a vehicle on sidewalks.

Sec. 66-233. - Nonconforming use of on-street parking spaces and parking lots.

There shall be no placing of dumpsters, other such containers or any other items or equipment in parking spaces on the streets or in the parking lots of the city without obtaining a permit.

- (1) Permits shall be for a period not to exceed 30 days and purchased from the city collectors office for the sum of \$100.00 per 30 days.
- (2) Parking dumpsters in these parking spaces without a permit shall subject the violator to the same violations and penalties as parking a vehicle over the time limit in section 66-231.
- (3) Monies collected for parking permit violations shall be placed in the general fund with a designated account number for downtown parking avenue.

Sec. 66-234. - Parked left door to curb or wrong way on a one-way.

No person shall park a vehicle with the left door to the curb on two-way streets, or the opposite direction on one-way streets.

Sec. 66-235. - Construction parking permits.

- (a) Individuals and companies involved in construction and renovation of buildings and properties in the city downtown improvement district may obtain a temporary parking permit to park their construction vehicles in two-hour parking long enough to conduct the business of that construction and renovation.
- (b) Permits shall be obtained from the Collector's Office at 300 South Church Street and shall be valid for five consecutive days and be vehicle specific.
 - (1) Construction vehicles shall be any vehicle used for the delivery of materials and containing tools for the construction and renovation
 - (2) These permits shall be obtained at the cost of \$10.00 per permit issued.

Sec. 66-236 Revenue

Monies collected for parking permit violations shall be placed in the general fund with a designated account number for downtown parking avenue.

Sec. 66-237

Any appeal of a parking ticket shall be directed to the chief of Jonesboro Police Department, or his designee. If the chief. Or his designee, denies appeal, a summons can be issued and charges under this ordinance can be brought in district court.