No.	Evaluation Factors for RFPs	Maximum Points Possible
1	Quality and thoroughnes of response to the project scope of work	20
2	Comprehensive, coherent, and detailed work plan	20
3	Realistic work schedule when applicable	10
4	Proposed working office location, need for a local office, and any other representative*	5
5	Identification of sub-consultants and responsiveness to DBE goals and opportunities	5
6	Total Points Possible for LOIs	40
	Total points for Proposals	100

^{*}Locality perference may be used on a project basis where it is not based on political boudaries.

• rank each factor

Company Name	Reviewer 1	Reviewer 2	Reviewer 3	Reviewer 4	Total for RFP
Associated Engineering	87	72	69	72	300
Fisher Arnold	75	83	81	86	325
Pickering Firm	66	93	91	99	349

No.	Evaluation Factors for RFPs	Maximum Points Possible
1	Quality and thoroughnes of response to the project scope of work	20
2	Comprehensive, coherent, and detailed work plan	20
3	Realistic work schedule when applicable	10
4	Proposed working office location, need for a local office, and any other representative*	5
5	Identification of sub-consultants and responsiveness to DBE goals and opportunities	5
6	Total Points Possible for LOIs	40
	Total points for Proposals	100

^{*}Locality perference may be used on a project basis where it is not based on political boudaries.

Company Name		No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6	Total for RFP
	Associated Engineering	17	15	8	4	5	38	87
	Fisher Arnold	17	15	9	4	4	26	75
	Pickering Firm	17	13	5	2	3	26	66

No.	Evaluation Factors for RFPs	Maximum Points Possible
1	Quality and thoroughnes of response to the project scope of work	20
2	Comprehensive, coherent, and detailed work plan	20
3	Realistic work schedule when applicable	10
4	Proposed working office location, need for a local office, and any other representative*	5
5	Identification of sub-consultants and responsiveness to DBE goals and opportunities	5
6	Total Points Possible for LOIs	40
	Total points for Proposals	100

^{*}Locality perference may be used on a project basis where it is not based on political boudaries.

Company Name		No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6	Total for RFP
Associated Engineering		10	14	6	5	5	32	72
Fisher Arnold		15	16	6	5	5	36	83
Pickering Firm		17	18	8	5	5	40	93

No.	Evaluation Factors for RFPs	Maximum Points Possible
1	Quality and thoroughnes of response to the project scope of work	20
2	Comprehensive, coherent, and detailed work plan	20
3	Realistic work schedule when applicable	10
4	Proposed working office location, need for a local office, and any other representative*	5
5	Identification of sub-consultants and responsiveness to DBE goals and opportunities	5
6	Total Points Possible for LOIs	40
	Total points for Proposals	100

^{*}Locality perference may be used on a project basis where it is not based on political boudaries.

Company Name		No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6	Total for RFP
Assoc	ciated Engineering	10	12	5	5	4	33	69
Fisher	r Arnold	16	14	8	5	4	34	81
Picker	ring Firm	18	18	8	5	5	37	91

No.	Evaluation Factors for RFPs	Maximum Points Possible
1	Quality and thoroughnes of response to the project scope of work	20
2	Comprehensive, coherent, and detailed work plan	20
3	Realistic work schedule when applicable	10
4	Proposed working office location, need for a local office, and any other representative*	5
5	Identification of sub-consultants and responsiveness to DBE goals and opportunities	5
6	Total Points Possible for LOIs	40
	Total points for Proposals	100

^{*}Locality perference may be used on a project basis where it is not based on political boudaries.

Company Name		No. 1	No. 2	No. 3	No. 4	No. 5	No. 6	Total for RFP
	Associated Engineering	10	16	7	5	5	29	72
	Fisher Arnold	15	18	5	5	5	38	86
	Pickering Firm	20	20	9	5	5	40	99