AGREEMENT

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone

hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part" and the City of Jonesboro, MATA

Department, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 906 West Nettleton Avenue,

Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 55.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the

first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$ 998.00
- Remove existing concrete driveways east and west side of property back to new 2. R.O.W. line.
- Provide cuts in new curb and gutter for driveway. 3.
- Construct concrete drives on east and west sides of property to new R.O.W. line. 4.
- Solid sod area disturbed by construction. 5.
- Construct 5' sidewalk width of property. 6.

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and

the exception of

This agreement is executed on this the 23 day of \underline{A}

Darrell Stone

Hazel

OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS CRAIGHEAD COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 02-14 02-14-2010

DATE DONNA

CITY

MATA DEPT. CIT

BY: **DIRECTOR - M** ſΓA

906 West Nettleton Аургир ВООК 627 РАGE 411 Parcel #55

Right-of-Way

Whereas, Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, is the owner of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, and city on day of <u>Cepril</u>, 2002 June

1. Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:

DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

PART OF LOTS 18 AND 19 OF BLOCK "B" OF STUCK BROTHER'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #28, PAGE'S #238 & #239 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 18 OF BLOCK "B" OF STUCK BROTHER'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE ALONG A 0.359° CURVE TO THE LEFT WITH A RADIUS OF 1116.28 FEET A DISTANCE OF 7.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE NORTH 3°00'01" EAST 3.99 FEET; THENCE NORTH 88°38'52" EAST 85.91 FEET; THENCE NORTH 88°40'59" EAST 13.81 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 0°35'11" EAST 7.25 FEET TO THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 19, AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 89°06'00" WEST ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 19, AFORESAID, 25.37 FEET; THENCE CONTINUE ALONG THE SOUTH LINES OF LOTS 12 AND 19, AFORESAID, ALONG A 3.834° CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 116.28 FEET A DISTANCE OF 74.70 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER CONTAINING 0.014 ACRES, (623.55 SQUARE FEET).

DONNA K. JACK DATE -CITY CLERK

#55

2. Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.

3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.

4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone.

Darrell Steves ~ Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone Store

STATE OF ARKANSAS COUNTY OF Craighla


* . i

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Darrell Stone and Hazel Stone, to me well known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that she had executed the same for the purposes therein stated and set forth.

WITNESS april	my	hand	and	seal	this	Z3rd_day	of
april		, 2001					
		20028	WH-				

OTARY PUBLIC OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS CRAIGHEAD COUNTY MY COMMISSION FXPIRES: 02-14-2010



APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY

1

, 1³, 1, 1, **6**

. 4 y

LOCATED AT:

906 W Nettleton Pt of Lots 18 and 19 Stuck Bro 3rd Addition Jonesboro, AR 72401

FOR:

City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington, Jonesboro, AR 72401

AS OF:

June 20, 2001

BY: Bob Gibson, CG0247 **BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES**

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607

June 20, 2001

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 906 W Nettleton Avenue Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of June 20, 2001, and find the market value to be \$25,344. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening W Nettleton Avenue. The remaining value is \$24,346 or a difference of \$998 which is the just compensation due the owner.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

MUSAS APPRA Sincerely, CLERTIF STATE CERTIFIED GENERAL No. CG0247 Bob Gibson, CG0247

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Avenue. The subject at 906 W Nettleton will lose a tract of land: 623.55 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of June 20, 2001

*

Value Before Taking: Improvements: Land:	15,840 sq ft x \$1.60 = \$25,344 NA <u>\$25,344</u> \$25,344
Value After Taking: Improvements: Land:	15,840-623.55 sq ft x \$1.60 = \$24,346 NA <u>\$24,346</u> \$24,346

Difference is the just compensation or \$998

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

-

	Subject Address	906 W Nettleton
	Legal Description	Pt of Lots 18 and 19 Stuck Bro 3rd Addition
÷	City	Jonesboro
	County	Craighead
5.EC 1.EC	State	AR
ណ្ដី ់	Zip Code	72401
	Census Tract	NA
	Map Reference	NA
₽		
8 8	Sale Price	\$ NA
	Date of Sale	NA
1 111	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
Ś	Lender	City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott
	Size (Square Feet)	
	Price per Square Foot	;
	Location	Urban-Avg
	Age	
	Condition	
012 2730	Total Rooms	
끔	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
	Appraiser	Bob Gibson, CG0247
a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Date of Appraised Value	June 20, 2001
ų,		
	Final Estimate of Value	998 - Just Compensation

LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

Borrower CLIENT	City of Jonesbor	ro		<u> </u>	Censu	s Tract NA	M	File No. ap Reference _N/	
Property Address 9				Projeband					
City <u>Jonesboro</u> Legal Description P	t of Lots 18 and 19	Stuck		Craighead	SI	ate <u>AR</u>		Zip Code <u>724</u>	+01
Sale Price \$ NA	Date of	Sale <u>NA</u>	Loan Terr			Rights Apprais	sed 🛛 Fe	e 🗌 Leasehol	ld 🔲 De Mi
Actual Real Estate Ta		yr) A u brasi	Loan charges to be pa			es concessio		AD 70404	
Ciccupant Darrell S	of Jonesboro - Mr Stone A		Bob Gibson, CG02		ss <u>314 W Wa</u> uctions to Appraies				
Location Built Up	⊠ Urt	oan Dr 75%	Suburban	Ru ∠ (In	ral der 25%	Employmer	e Chahille	G	Good Avg. Fai
	🗌 Fully Dev. 🔲 Raj		Steady				e to Employm	ent	
Property Values	= .	reasing	🛛 Stable	🔲 De	clining		e to Shopping		
Demand/Supply		ortage	🛛 In Balance		ersupply		e to Schools		
Marketing Time Present Land Use		der 3 Mos. 6 2-4 Fami	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0w % Condo 109	er 6 Mos. Commercial	Adequacy of Recreational	f Public Trans	portation	님凝님
Proseni Lanu Use _		6 Z-4 ram 6 Vacant	ну <u>5</u> ж мроз Ч			Adequacy of			버렸는
Change in Present La			Likely (*)	Ta	ding Place (*)	Property Co			
13 I	(*) From			o			rom Detrimeni		
Predominant Occupar	•			5 % Va			Fire Protection		닏찛닏
Single Family Price Ra Single Family Age	•	180)_yrrs.to_		dominant Value \$ _ ninant Age	50 yrs.	Appeal to N	earance of Pri larket	operaes	
ongo ranny rigo		<u>, 110. m</u>	<u></u>		110.				
			rable, affecting marketal					ound by Matth	ews to the I
Highland to the s	outh, Main to the e	east, and	d Gee Street to the	west. No neg	ative influence	s are note	<u>d</u>		
			·					<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	160' (Tax Records					Sq. Ft. or Ac			omer Lot
	R-1 Single Famil				Present Impro	vernents [🛛 do 🗌 (do not conform to	zoning regulati
Highest and best use	Present use	U Othe	or (specify) OFF SITE IMPROVEMI						<u> </u>
Public Elec. 🕅	Other (Describe)	Street A		Private Size	<u>Level</u>	·			
Elec. X Gas X Water X	<u> </u>		Asphalt		Rectangular				
Water 🛛		Mainten	ance 🛛 Public	Private View	Average-Res				
San. Sewer 🖾		🛛 🖾 St	torm Sewer 🛛 🖾 Cu	nt/Gutter Drai	nage <u>Average</u>				
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to	recited three recent sale	is of prope	erties most similar and p	proximate to subject a	nd comparable pro	red these in t	IA Map No.	in the comparable	C
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable to	recited three recent sale market reaction to those	es of prope		xoximate to subject a thus reducing the	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of s	red these in t perties. If a s	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable	C
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE	is of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustmi RTY	erties most similar and p significant variation bety (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of value of the subject COMF	red these in t porties. If a s subject, if a s <u>'ARABLE NO</u>	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable COMP/	C
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable the favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton	is of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustmi RTY	erties most similar and p significant variation betv s (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of s value of the subject	red these in t porties. If a s subject, if a s <u>'ARABLE NO</u>	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton	is of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustmi RTY	erties most similar and p significant variation bety (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of value of the subject COMF	red these in t porties. If a s subject, if a s <u>'ARABLE NO</u>	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable COMP/	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton	is of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustmi RTY	erties most similar and p significant variation bety (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of value of the subject COMF	red these in t porties. If a s subject, if a s <u>'ARABLE NO</u>	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable COMP/	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ <u>SUBJECT PROPE</u> ettleton 70 \$ \$	is of prope e items of y, a minus -) adjustm RTY \$	erties most similar and p significant variation bety (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of value of the subject COMF	red these in t porties. If a s subject, if a s <u>'ARABLE NO</u>	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable COMP/	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property off property, a plus (+ <u>SUBJECT PROPE</u> ettieton fo \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	s of prope terms of y, a minus) adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property oject property, a plus (+ <u>SUBJECT PROPE</u> ettleton to SUBJECT PROPE ettleton s <u>S</u> <u>S</u> <u>Inspection</u> <u>DESCRIPTION</u>	s of prope terms of y, a minus) adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation bety (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI	proximate to subject a ween the subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	he market ana ignificant item ignificant item	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable COMP/	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o \$ \$ \$ 1nspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o SUBJECT PROPE ettleton S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o \$ \$ \$ 1nspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o \$ \$ \$ 1nspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o \$ \$ \$ 1nspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton o \$ \$ \$ 1nspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP</u> / SALES	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property officer property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton to S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO. 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in t perties. If a s subject; If a s <u>ARABLE NO</u> LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S N +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total)	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property officer property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton to S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas <u>COMPARABLI</u> SEE <u>DESCRIPTION</u>	proximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$. \$.	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB	red these in the perties. If a soubject, if a soubj	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property officer property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton to S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data Source Data of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton ro \$ \$ Inspection NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	ertles most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO. 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in t perties. If a s subject; If a s <u>ARABLE NO</u> LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S N +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton ro \$ \$ Inspection NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 VV N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton 'o \$ \$ Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable t favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton 'o \$ \$ Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 VV N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton 'o \$ \$ Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 VV N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property ject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton 'o \$ \$ Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustm adjustm RTY S NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 VV N Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property of property, a plus (+ <u>SUBJECT PROPE</u> ettleton ro <u>S</u> <u>S</u> Inspection <u>DESCRIPTION</u> NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res NA NA Data:	ss of prope terms of y, a minus) adjustmu RTY S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	erties most similar and p significant variation betw (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N. Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments and Condition	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton co S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res Data:	ss of prope e items of y, a minus) adjustmu RTY NA NA	erties most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increase COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions) ct and has conside nd comparable pro indicated value of indicated value of ralue of the subject COMPARAB DESCRIPTI	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	IA Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has adjustment reflecting to or more favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N. Jonesbor Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property of property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettleton ro \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ \$ 10 \$ \$ \$ \$	ss of prope s terms of y, a minus) adjustmu RTY S NA NA S NA S NA S S S S S S S S S S S	erties most similar and p significant variation betw (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increase COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xcoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions)	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s <u>ARABLE NO</u> LE <u>S</u> S ON +	A Map No.	Aysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable 	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)
The undersigned has a adjustment reflecting i to or more favorable the favorable than the sub ITEM Address 906 W N. Jonesbor Prodmity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments and Condit Final Reconciliation:	recited three recent sale market reaction to those than the subject property office property, a plus (+ SUBJECT PROPE ettileton ro S S Inspection DESCRIPTION NA Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res Data:	ss of prope s terms of y, a minus) adjustmu RTY S NA NA S NA S NA S S S S S S S S S S S	ertles most similar and p significant variation betv (-) adjustment is made ent is made thus increas COMPARABLI SEE DESCRIPTION	xcoximate to subject a thus reducing the sing the indicated v E NO, 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	adverse conditions)	red these in 1 porties. If a s subject; if a s ARABLE NO LE S S ON +	A Map No.	lysis. The descript in the comparable in the comparable <u>COMP/</u> SALES DESCRIPTIO	C. tion includes a property is su is inferior to c ARABLE NO. 3 S S DN +(-)

Form LND TOTAL 2000 for Windows" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. - 1-800-ALAMODE

COMPARABLE SALES

CLUB MANOR

Sale #1	
Seller/Buyer:	Troutt to Hill
Sales Price:	\$116,000
Date:	4/3/92
Record:	420/267
Size:	1.0 acre
Price/Sq Ft:	\$2.66
Legal:	Lot 5
Sale #2	

Troutt to McKee
\$85,000
4/8/95
483/323
1.0 acre
\$ 1.95
Lot 2

IVY GREEN Sale #1

Sale #1	
Seller/Buyer:	Henry to Elrod
Sales Price:	\$50,000
Date:	5/13/98
Record:	558/774
Size:	.70 acre/30,492 sq ft
Price/Sq Ft:	\$1.63
Legal:	Lot 9
-	

Sale #2 Seller/Buyer: Sales Price: Date: Record: Size: Price/Sq Ft: Legal:

Mercantile Bank to Parkey \$45,000 6/26/92 425/021 1.05acre/43,560 sq ft \$1.03 Lot 17

Sale #3 Seller/Buyer: Sales Price: Date: Record: Size: Price/Sq Ft: Legal: Mantooth to Corcoran \$50,000 1/30/97 528/217 .73 acre \$1.57 Lot 16

Other Sales

• " :


(ł +

SALE #1: Grantor/Grantee: Record: Date: Sale Price: Price/sq.ft. Location: Sq.Ft.: Comments:	Roy Shepherd/Ric Miles Parcel 27330 10-99 \$28,000.00 \$1.85 715-717 W Monroe 117' x 130' or 15,210 sq ft House removed. Multi-family zoned.
SALE #2: Grantor/Grantee: Record: Date: Sale Price: Price/sq.ft. Location: Sq.Ft.:	M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Guy Barksdale Bk/Pg 557/535 4-98 \$13,500.00 \$1.99 620 Elm 42.5' x 160'
SALE #3: Grantor/Grantee: Record: Date: Sale Price: Price/sq.ft. Location: Sq.Ft.: Comments:	M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Wayne Nichols Bk/Pg 557/533 4-98 \$13,500 \$1.99 620 Elm 42.5' x 160' Sale #9 is the other half of this same lot.

After adjustments for time of sale, size, and location, a value of \$1.60/square foot has been determined. Therefore, the amount of the taking or the just compensation is $1.60 \times 623.55 \text{ sq ft} = \997.68 . Rounded \$998.00

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of	Jonesboro		
Property Address 906 W Nettleton	n		
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code_ 72401
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr	Aubrev Scott		



......


Subject

906 W NettletonSales PriceNAGross Living AreaTotal RoomsTotal BedroomsTotal BathroomsLocationUrbView15,8SiteQualityAge

a Urban-Avg 15,840 sq ft/Res



Subject Street



ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM

APPARENT* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Borrow	er/Clic	ent C	LIEN	: City of Jo	nesboro						 	
Address	;	906 V	V Nett	eton								
City _	Jone	sbord)		County	Craighead	State	AR	Zip code	72401		
Lender				sboro - Mr	Aubrey Scott				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

*Apparent is defined as that which is visible, obvious, evident or manifest to the appraiser.

This universal Environmental Addendum is for use with any real estate appraisal. Only the statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.

This addendum reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumptions were made about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions. <u>The appraiser is not an expert environmental inspector</u> and therefore might be unaware of existing hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety and value of the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.

PRINKING WATER PRINKING WATER PRINKING WATER

Drinking Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meets published standards is to have it tested at all discharge points.

_____Orinking Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate pure water.

Lead can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing focures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does not contain an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points.

x ____ The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, isad-free Drinking Water.

Comments .

•,

A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY WATTE DISCURATE AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY

- x Sanitary Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer system.
- _____Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate and in good working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
- The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sankary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alternate treatment system in good condition.

Comments _

- There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and testing by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
- x The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.

Comments

ASBESTOS AND ASBESTOS

<u>NA</u>All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of friable and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector.

NA __The improvements were constructed after 1979. No apparent friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below).

NA _____ The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hazardous Asbestos material on the property.

Comments

A CONTRACT OF A CHILDRING TED STRATED ST

× There were no apparent leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below).

x There was no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except


- as reported in Comments below).
- x The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.


Comments

	RADEN RADEN RECEIPTION RECEIPTION RECEIPTION RECEIPTION
x	The appraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below).
x	The appraiser is not aware of any indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or Radium.
x	The appraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction
	or phosphate processing.
X	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Radon level is at or below EPA recommended levels.

Comments

, 	A CONTRACT OF A
~~~~	
<u>X</u>	There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would likely have had USTs.
x	There are no apparent petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (excep
	as reported in Comments below).
	_There are apparent signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended that an inspector be obtained in the subject property. It is recommended to the subject property obtained in the subject property. It is recommended to the subject property obtained in the subject propere
	determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
x	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned U
	free from contamination and were properly drained, filled and esaled.
Comr	nents
	_There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Site
	search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.
<u>x</u>	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Siles on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect
	value or safety of the property.
Comn	ients
	A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL OF HYDE (LEFT) INSULATEON AS A REAL PROPERTY OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF T
<b>NIA</b>	
	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.
NA	_The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property
Comn	ents
NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. _The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). _The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
<u>NA</u> NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
<u>NA</u> NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, waits or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
<u>NA</u> NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
<u>NA</u> NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
<u>NA</u> NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below)The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property
NA NA Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below)The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property
NA NA Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below)The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property
NA NA Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below)The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property
NA NA Comm x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIN POLEDITION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the sted. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. ents
NA NA Comm x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector
NA NA Comm x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector
NA NA comm x x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector
NA NA Comm x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the group is to have it inspected on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta below). The value estimated in thi
NA NA Comm x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Commerts below). The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of oplintion is to have it tested. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of oplintion is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. erts
NA NA Comm x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. arts
NA NA Comm x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the says to be certain that the property is free of Air Pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraleal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. ents
NA NA Comm x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walk or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector
NA NA Comm x x x x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walk or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apprent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is the of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualited inspector
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walk or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apprent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to heave It inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property . The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the is is free of poliution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta professional. The value estimated in the appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta professional. The value estimated in the appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta professional. The value estimated in the appraisel is based on the aseumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plai
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of peeling of flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is fine of <i>surface</i> or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection on were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below: Excess Noise
NA NA Comm X Comm	evidence of peeling or fisking Laad Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subservance Laad Paint is to have 8 inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fisking or peeling Laad Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fisking or peeling Laad Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fisking or peeling Laad Paint on the property. There are no apparts signs of Air Polition at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of polition is to have 8 tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polition. The value estimated in this appartant action that the property is free of Air Polition. The value estimated in this apparent Wetlande/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Vetlande/Flood Plains in the inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this apparent Wetlande/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this apparent wetlande/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this apparent wetlande substances and/or detrimental environmental confilions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Readation + Electromagnetic Radation Light Polition Waste Heat Acti Mine Dariage Approximal Polition Kaster Heat Read Plaint on the assumption that there are no Wetlande/Flood Plains on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Readation + Electromagnetic Radation Light Polition Kaster Heat Readation + Electromagnetic Radation
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of poeling of faiting Lead Pairt in the floors, wals or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of subsurface Lead Pairt in to have it inspectod by a qualified inspector. The reprovements were constructed after 1980. No aggannt Lead Pairt was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fisking or peeling Lead Pairt on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fisking or peeling Lead Pairt on the property. The value estimated is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the all is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of ALP Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of ALP Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of ALP Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of ALP Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of ALP Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plaine on the property (except as indicated below: Excess Noise There are no other apparent miscelaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on o
NA NA Comm x x x x x comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Laad Paint on the floors, walls or collings (except as reported in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have 8 inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparts signs of Air Polation at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the sits is free of polation is to have 8 tasted. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polation. The value estimated in this apparted with an easumption that the property is free of Air Polation. The value estimated in this apparted with an easumption that the property is free of Air Polation. The value estimated in this apparted with an easumption that the property is free of Air Polation. The value estimated in this apparted with an easumption that the property is free of Air Polation. The value estimated in this apparted with an easumption that the resonand in Commerts below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commerts below). The value estimated in this apparted with the starting professional. The value estimated in this apparted with the starting professional. The value estimated in this apparted with the starting substances and/or detrimental environmental confilions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Readation + Electromagnetic Radation Up Probation Waste Heat Acti Mine Danage Approximate Polation Kate Heat Read Polation Kate Heat Read Polat





**DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions regulsite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of the from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

* Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

#### STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.

5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.

8. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.

8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanilike manner.

10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the iender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgage or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

4 6 2 1

1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment item in a comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable property. I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.

2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.

3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.

4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and i have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.

5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.

6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.

7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promutgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.

8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.

9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED: 906, W Nettleton, Jonesboro, AR 72401

APPRAISER:	STATE S CENTIFIED	SUPERVISORY A	PPRAISER (only if required):
Signature: Name: Bob Gloson, CG0247 Date Signed: June 20, 2001 State Certification #: CG0247 or State License #: State: AR	CERTIFIED GENERAL No. CG0247	Name:            Date Signed:            State Certification #:	
Expiration Date of Certification or License:	<u>6/30/01</u>	Expiration Date of Certificat	ion or License:
Freddie Mac Form 439 8-93		Page 2 of 2	Fannie Mae Form 10048 6-93

Borgower CLIENT; City of Jonesboro			File No.	
Property Address 906 W Nettleton				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Sco	tt			


# **APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION**

This Appraisal conforms to <u>one</u> of the following definitions:
Complete Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision.
Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision.
This Report is <u>one</u> of the following types:
Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
<b>Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification</b> Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.





Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401 Telephone: (870) 932-5206. POSITION:

#### **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:**

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1985 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and real estate concerns, 1985 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990.

EDUCATION:

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkanses, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkanses.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkanaas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants. Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers. CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION:

State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991, State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS.

CLIEN I S.: Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer I one Star Criffin Petroleum Co. Caldwell Construction Co. First Financial Mortgage, Ecoder Ecoder Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringe Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, Midsourh Bank, Matthews Oll Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Rank, Nationshane Mortgage, Fowler Foods, Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Lo., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Fowler Foods, Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Rank.