Uniform Residential Appraisal Report

File# 4082007

					price from \$ 45,000	10 \$ 79,		
					ale price from \$ 58,000		2,000	
FEATURE		SUBJECT COMPARABLE SALE # 1		COMPARABLE SALE # 2		COMPARABLE SALE # 3		
		716 PARKVIEW			2306 CIRCLE DRIVE		1219 SIMS	
JONESBORO, AR 72401-5726		JONESBORO		JONESBORO		JONESBORO		
Proximity to Subject		0.11 miles	9 	0.09 miles	. 2004	0.33 miles		
Sale Price			\$ 72,000		\$ 71,000	40		
Sale Price/Gross Liv. Area	\$ sq.ft.	\$ 52.71 sq.ft			sq.ft.	\$ 50.62 sq.ft.	a letter of the	
Data Source(s)		DEED BK 744/2	.9 <u>6</u>	DEED BK 73		MLS #10023630		
Verification Source(s)		PAR #01-14325		PAR #01-14		PAR # 01-14325		
VALUE ADJUSTMENTS	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTIO	N +(-) \$ Adjustment	DESCRIPTION	+(-) \$ Adjustment	
Sales or Financing				FHA		CONVEN		
Concessions		NONE KNOWN	<u></u>	\$5000 CONC	: <u> </u>	NONE KNOWN	Ĺ	
Date of Sale/Time		3/16/2007		9/6/2006		3/26/2007		
Location	URBAN	URBAN		URBAN		URBAN		
Leasehold/Fee Simple	FEE SIMPLE	FEE SIMPLE		FEE SIMPLE		FEE SIMPLE		
Site	.29 ACRE +/-	.23 ACRE/SUP	-1,500	.21 ACRE/SI	UP -2,000	.48 ACRE/SUP	-3,500	
View	RESIDENTIAL	RESIDENTIAL		RESIDENTIA	AL	RESIDENTIAL		
Design (Style)	RANCH	RANCH		RANCH		RANCH		
Quality of Construction	BRICK/A	METAL SID/A	+3,000	BRICK/VIN/A	A	VINYL SID/A	+3,000	
Actual Age	A53 E15-18	A47 E15-18		A45 E15-18		A43 E15-18	·	
Condition	AVERAGE	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		AVERAGE		
Above Grade	Total Borms. Baths	Total Borns. Baths		Total Borms. B	aths	Total Bdrms. Baths		
Room Count	5 2 1.5	5 3 2	-750		2 -750	 	-750	
Gross Living Area	1,450 sq.ft.			 _		 	-1,000	
Basement & Finished	NONE	NONE		NONE		NONE		
Rooms Below Grade	N/A	N/A		N/A		N/A		
Functional Utility	AVERAGE	AVERAGE	 	AVERAGE		AVERAGE		
		CENTRAL	2 000	CENTRAL	2 000	CENTRAL	2 000	
Heating/Cooling	WALLWINDW		-3,000				-3,000	
Energy Efficient Items	TYPICAL	TYPICAL		TYPICAL		TYPICAL		
Garage/Carport	2 GARAGE	1 CARPORT	+4,500	1 GARAGE	+3,000	ON SITE PRK	+6,000	
Porch/Patio/Deck		PORCH		PORCHES	_ -	PORCH/DECK		
		NONE			NONE	+1,500		
FENCE/STORAGE/ETC.	16X20 BLDG	FENCE	+1,500	FENCE	+1,500	NONE	+2,500	
EXTRAS	and a second							
Net Adjustment (Total)		⊠ + □ -	\$ 6,750		- \$ 5,750		\$ 4,750	
Adjusted Sale Price		Net Adj. 9.4 %			.1 %]	Net Adj. 6.2 %		
of Comparables		Gross Adj. 24.0 %				Gross Adj. 27.6 %	\$ 81,750	
i 🔀 did 🔲 did not research	the sale or transfer his	tory of the subject pro	perty and comparable	sales. If not, expl	lain			
My research 🔲 did 🔀 did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the subject property for the three years prior to the effective date of this appraisal.								
Data Source(s) TAX ASSESSMENT RECORD OF OWNERSHIP								
My research 🔲 did 🔀 did not reveal any prior sales or transfers of the comparable sales for the year prior to the date of sale of the comparable sale.								
Data Source(s) TAX ASSE	SSMENT RECOF	RD OF OWNERS	H <u>IP</u>					
Report the results of the research	and analysis of the p	rior sale or transfer hi	story of the subject pr	operty and comp	arable sales (report additio	onal prior sales on pag	e 3).	
ПЕМ					ILE #1 COMPARABLE SALE #2 COMPARABLE SALE #3			
Date of Prior Sale/Transfer	NONE WITHIN 36 MOS.		NONE WITHIN 12 MOS.		ONE WITHIN 12 MO	S. NONE WIT	. NONE WITHIN 12 MOS.	
Price of Prior Sale/Transfer	N/A		N/A	N/		N/A		
Data Source(s)	COUNTY RECORDS		COUNTY RECORDS		OUNTY RECORDS	COUNTY RECORDS		
Effective Date of Data Source(s)	4/20/2007		1/20/2007		20/2007	4/20/2007		
Analysis of prior sale or transfer h						1120/2001		
Printy sta or prior state or statistics i	matory or this subjust;	Noborty and earthpare	1477					
								
								
								
Summary of Sales Comparison Approach SALES USED ARE IN THE SAME SOUTHWEST JONESBORD HOUSING MARKET FOR OLDER HOMES.								
SITES ARE ADJUSTED ACCORDING TO VALUE, NOT SIZE. SITES ADJUSTED ACCORDING TO VALUE, NOT SIZE. EFFECTIVE AGE (E)								
REFLECTS MAINTENANCE, UPDATES AND REMODELINGS AND ADJUSTMENTS ARE MADE AT THE RATE OF 1% OF THE SALES PRICE								
FOR EACH YEAR OF EFFECTIVE AGE DIFFERENCE. AFTER ADJUSTMENTS FOR SIGNIFICANT VALUE INFLUENCING FACTORS, THE RESULTING VALUE RANGE IS BELIEVED TO BE A RELIABLE INDICATOR OF MARKET VALUE FOR SUBJECT PROPERTY. ALL SALES								
				OF MARKE	T VALUE FOR SUB.	LECT PROPERTY	. ALL SALES	
WERE CONSIDERED IN T	HE FINAL OPINI	ON OF MARKET	VALUE.					
								
Indicated Value by Sales Comparis	on Approach \$ 78,							
		^.	Annuach /W dass	aak 3 ihamali	naa Income Ann	roach (if developed):	ALIA 2	