#### AGREEMENT

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between Vonda K. Gleghorn hereinafter

referred to as "party of the first part" and the City of Jonesboro, MATA Department,

hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

#### WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 1401 Brookhaven Road,

Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 88.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the

first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$862.00
- 2. Remove old sidewalk
- 3. Construct 5' sidewalk at back of curb for width of proper
- 4. Remove driveway to back side of new R.O.W. line
- 5. Replace driveway to back side of new R.O.W. line
- 6. Landscape and resod all area disturbed
- NOTE: Do not disturb crepe myrtle unless absolutely necessary

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all emcumbrance with

the exception of Union Planters Bank.

This agreement is executed on this the <u>lette</u> day of <u>levelent</u>

2002 MATA DEPT. CIT/Y ONESBO RV: PIRES: 02-14-2010

tifi<sub>0d</sub>

## DEED BOOK BE HAGE 501

#### 1401 Brookhaven Road Parcel #88

#### Right-of-Way

Whereas, Vonda K. Gleghorn, is the owner of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Vonda K. Gleghorn, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Vonda K. Gleghorn, in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between Vonda K. Gleghorn, and city on <u>6 th</u> day of <u>1114111</u>, 2002. 1. Vonda K. Gleghorn, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city,

1. Vonda K. Gleghorn, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land Vonda K. Gleghorn, in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:

#### DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

.


PART OF LOT 10 IN BLOCK (E) OF BROOKHAVEN NORTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #92 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 10 IN BLOCK (E) OF BROOKHAVEN NORTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 89°32'08" EAST, ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 10 AFORESAID, 70.90 FEET; THENCE SOUTHEASTERLY ALONG A 261.88° CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 21.88 FEET A DISTANCE OF 13.59 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°08'01" WEST 49.71 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°09'13" WEST 38.48 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 10 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTH 29°05'08" EAST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE 6.56 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 0.010 ACRES, (445.27 SQUARE FEET).

DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT

PART OF LOT 10 IN BLOCK (E) OF BROOKHAVEN NORTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #92 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 10 IN BLOCK (E) OF BROOKHAVEN NORTH ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE SOUTH 29°05'08" WEST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOT 10 AFORESAID, 6.56 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°09'13" EAST 38.48 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°08'01" EAST 21.22 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE CONTINUE NORTH 89°08'01" EAST 12.27 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 36°08'46" WEST 2.10 FEET; THENCE WEST 10.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 34°22'46" WEST 1.83 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 17.87 SQUARE FEET.




2. Vonda K. Gleghorn, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.

3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.

4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Vonda K. Gleghorn.

Bleghon Certified STATE OF ARKANSAS 'opy ACKSON ACKNOWLEDGEMENT On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Vonda K. Gleghorn, to me well known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that she had executed the same for the purposes therein

stated and set forth. of **WITNESS** day 🖉 my hand this and seal ,2002. 1111 RY PUBL OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS CRAIGHEAD COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 02-14-2010



Vanda Heghow

### LOCATED AT:

<u>ب</u> ا

4

•

1401 Brookhaven Rd Lot 10 Block E Brookhaven North Add Jonesboro, AR 72401-3712

#### FOR:

City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington

#### AS OF:

February 26, 2002

#### BY: Bob Gibson

Form GA3 - "TOTAL for Windows" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. --- 1-800-ALAMODE

**BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES** 

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Bessie V. Richmond, SL1786

7

4

February 26, 2002

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 1401 Brookhaven Rd Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of February 26, 2002, and find the market value to be \$16,720. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening Nettleton Avenue. The remaining value is \$16,008 or a difference of \$712 which is the just compensation due the owner. In addition, a temporary easement in the amount of 17.87 sq ft is being used. A fee of \$150 is paid for this inconvenience bringing total compensation to \$862.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

SAS APPT Sincerely, STATE CERTIFIED NERAL Bob Gibson C CG0247 

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Avenue. The subject at 1401 Brookhaven Rd will lose a tract of land: 445.27 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of February 26, 2002

•

4 4

4

Value Before Taking: Improvements: Land:	10,450 sq ft x \$1.60 = \$16,720 NA <u>\$16,720</u> \$16,720
Value After Taking: Improvements: Land:	10,450 - 445.27 sq ft x \$1.60 = \$16,008 NA <u>\$16,008</u> \$16,008

Difference is the just compensation or \$712

In addition, a temporary easement in the amount of 17.87 sq ft is being used. A fee of \$150 is being paid for this incovenience.

Total compensation \$712 + \$150 = \$862

## SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

70 **1** 1 4

**.** .

÷.

	Subject Address	1401 Brookhaven Rd
	Legal Description	Lot 10 Block E Brookhaven North Add
	City	Jonesboro
	County	Craighead
12.4 2 1 1 1 1 1	State	AR
uč T	Zip Cod <del>e</del>	72401-3712
	Census Tract	0002.00
	Map Reference	N/A
u u u	Sale Price \$	ы N/А
	Date of Sale	N/A
E .	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
ļu C	Lender	City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
	Size (Square Feet) Price per Square Foot \$	
	Location	Urban-Avg
10 	Age	ologimay g
	Condition	
	Total Rooms	
- 3 - 3 - 1 - 11 - 1 - 1	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
	·	
14 11 -	Appraiser	Bob Gibson
	Date of Appraised Value	February 26, 2002
1 1 1	Final Estimate of Value \$	862 - Just Compensation

.

່ຼ່ວ	•		
		L	
	Annualaal	Donard	

## LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

Borrower <u>CLIENT</u> Property Address <u>1</u>	sal Report						<u> </u>	
Property Address 14	City of Jonesboro				Census T	ract 0002.00	Map Reference <u>N//</u>	A
City Jonesboro	401 Brooknaven Ro	<u>u</u>	County Craig	head	Chate	AR	7in Code 724	01-3712
	ot 10 Block E Brool	khaven North 4			State	<u> </u>	Zip Code <u>724</u>	01-3/12
Sale Price \$ N/A		Sale <u>N/A</u>	Loan Term N//	A yrs	. Property Righ	ts Appraised 🛛	Fee Leasehol	d De Minimis PUD
Actual Real Estate Ta	axes \$ N/A (y	r) Loan ch	narges to be paid by			concessions <u>N/A</u>		
	of Jonesboro-Mr. A				ss <u>314 W Washir</u>			
Occupant Gleghor	n Ap	ppraiser <u>BobGit</u>	<u> 0500</u>	Instr	uctions to Appraiser <u>E</u>	efore Value/Afte	r Value	
Loction		20	Suburban		Rural			and Ave Fair Dear
Built Up		an r 75%	300010an 25% to 75%			nployment Stability	G	ood Avg. Fair Poor
Growth Rate	🗌 Fully Dev. 🔲 Rap		Steady	Ska		privenience to Employ	/ment	
Property Values		easing 🛛 🗋	Stable	Der Der		mvenience to Shopp		
Demand/Supply		rtage 🗋	In Balance			wvenience to School		
Marketing Time Present Land Use		er 3 Mos. 🛛 🗋				lequacy of Public Tra	insportation	
		2-4 Family Vacant 9				creational Facilities lequacy of Utilities	Ĺ	
Change in Present La			Likely (*)	Tal		operty Compatibility	L	
-	( <u>*)</u> From		To		Pr	otection from Detrim	ental Conditions	
Predominant Occupa	•		Tenant	<u> </u>		lice and Fire Protecti	L	
Single Family Price R		to \$ <u>_100</u>		inant Value \$		neral Appearance of	Properties	
Single Family Age		_yrs. to75_	yrs. Predominant	t Age	<u>50</u> yrs. Ap	peal to Market	{	
Comments including	those factors, favorable	or unfavorable, at	ffecting marketabilit	tv (e.a. public	parks, schools, view	noise):Subject is	bound by Matthe	ews to the North
Southwest Drive	to the South, Main	to the East, ar	nd Highway 63 t	o the Wes	t. The new Kinde	ergarten Center i	s located due ea	st of the subject
	gative influences ar							
Discourse in the second								
Dimensions 95x11				= _	<u> </u>			orner Lot
Zohing classification Highest and best use	R-1 Multi Family F	Other (specify			Present Improven	nents 🖂 do 🗌	do not conform to :	zoning regulations
Public	Other (Describe)		TE IMPROVEMENTS	Тор	Level			
Elec. 🛛 🖂 Gas		Street Access	🛛 Public 🔲		Average			
		Surface Aspha			e <u>Rectangular</u>			
Water		Maintenance			Average-Reside	ential		
San. Sewer 🔀	nderground Elect. & Tel.	Storm Sew	/er 🛛 Curb/Gi 📉 Street L		nage Average			a? No Yee
	r unfavorable including any				e property located in a adverse conditions):		<u>0. 05031C01310</u>	
	e. It is hard to dete							
	recited three recent sales narket reaction to those							
to or more favorable t	han the subject property,	a minus (-) adjust	tment is made thus i	reducing the i	ndicated value of subje			
favorable than the sul	bject property, a plus (+	∟) adjuctment ic m	nade thus increasing					is interior to or less
-					·			
ITEM	SUBJECT PROPER		COMPARABLE NO.		COMPAR		COMPA	RABLE NO. 3
ITEM Address 1401 Bro					·			
					COMPAR		COMPA	
Address 1401 Bro	okhaven Rd		COMPARABLE NO.		COMPAR		COMPA	
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price	okhaven Rd	SEE	COMPARABLE NO.		COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source	okhaven Rd \$\$	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and	okhaven Rd \$\$ 	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.		COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable NO. 3           Imable NO. 3
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A Urban-Avg	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A Urban-Avg	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A Urban-Avg	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A Urban-Avg	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	okhaven Rd \$\$ <u>DESCRIPTION</u> N/A Urban-Avg	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMP/ SALES	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing	okhaven Rd \$\$ DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 10,450 sq ft	N/A	COMPARABLE NO.	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	Imable NO. 3           Imable S           Image: S
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value	okhaven Rd \$\$ DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 10,450 sq ft	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	okhaven Rd \$\$ DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 10,450 sq ft	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value	okhaven Rd \$\$ DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 10,450 sq ft	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	okhaven Rd \$\$ DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 10,450 sq ft	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	okhaven Rd  \$	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	okhaven Rd  \$	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	okhaven Rd  \$	RTY SEE N/A DES	COMPARABLE NO.  SCRIPTION +	.1	COMPARABLE	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	bokhaven Rd	RTYSEE	COMPARABLE NO.	. 1		ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	bokhaven Rd	RTYSEE	COMPARABLE NO.	. 1		ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market	bokhaven Rd	RTYSEE	COMPARABLE NO.	. 1		ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Final Reconciliation:	bokhaven Rd	RTYSEE N/A   _	COMPARABLE NO.	.1 		ABLE NO. 2		\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Final Reconciliation:	bokhaven Rd	RTYSEE N/A  	COMPARABLE NO.	.1 		ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	\$           \$
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Final Reconciliation:	bokhaven Rd	N/A DES DES DES DES DES DES DES DES DES DES	COMPARABLE NO.	.1 		ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	Image: Second
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments and Cond Final Reconciliation: I ESTIMATE THE MARKED	bokhaven Rd		COMPARABLE NO.	.1 -(-)\$ Adjust 	COMPARABLE COMPARABLE DESCRIPTION	ABLE NO. 2		Image: Second
Address 1401 Bro Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Final Reconciliation:	bokhaven Rd		COMPARABLE NO.	.1 -(-)\$ Adjust 	COMPARABLE COMPARABLE DESCRIPTION	ABLE NO. 2	COMPA SALES DESCRIPTIO	Image: Second

Form LND — "TOTAL for Windows" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. — 1-800-ALAMODE

## **COMPARABLE SALES**

.

## **CLUB MANOR**

· · · · ·

-

: .

Sale #1	
Seller/Buyer:	Troutt to Hill
Sales Price:	\$116,000
Date:	4/3/92
Record:	420/267
Size:	1.0 acre
Price/Sq Ft:	\$2.66
Legal:	Lot 5

Sale #2	
Seller/Buyer:	Troutt to McKee
Sales Price:	\$85,000
Date:	4/8/95
Record:	483/323
Size:	1.0 acre
Price/Sq Ft:	\$1.95
Legal:	Lot 2

## **IVY GREEN**

Sale #1	
Seller/Buyer:	Henry to Elrod
Sales Price:	\$50,000
Date:	5/13/98
Record:	558/774
Size:	.70 acre/30,492 sq ft
Price/Sq Ft:	\$1.63
Legal:	Lot 9
-	

Sale #2 Seller/Buyer: Sales Price: Date: Record: Size: Price/Sq Ft: Legal:

Mercantile Bank to Parkey \$45,000 6/26/92 425/021 1.05acre/43,560 sq ft \$1.03 Lot 17

Sale #3 Seller/Buyer: Sales Price: Date: Record: Size: Price/Sq Ft: Legal: Mantooth to Corcoran \$50,000 1/30/97 528/217 .73 acre \$1.57 Lot 16

#### Other Sales

· · · · ·

-

: .

SALE #1:	
Grantor/Grantee:	Roy Shepherd/Ric Miles
Record:	Parcel 27330
Date:	10-99
Sale Price:	\$28,000.00
Price/sq.ft.	\$1.85
Location:	715-717 W Monroe
Sq.Ft.:	117' x 130' or 15,210 sq ft
Comments:	House removed. Multi-family zoned.

SALE #2:

Grantor/Grantee:	M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Guy Barksdale
Record:	Bk/Pg 557/535
Date:	4-98
Sale Price:	\$13,500.00
Price/sq.ft.	\$1.99
Location:	620 Elm
Sq.Ft.:	42.5' x 160'

SALE #3:

Grantor/Grantee:	M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Wayne Nichols
Record:	Bk/Pg 557/533
Date:	4-98
Sale Price:	\$13,500
Price/sq.ft.	\$1.99
Location:	620 Elm
Sq.Ft.:	42.5' x 160'
Comments:	Sale $#2$ is the other half of this same lot.

After adjustments for time of sale, size, and location, a value of 1.60/square foot has been determined. Therefore, the amount of the taking or the just compensation is  $1.60 \times 445.27 \text{ sq ft}=$712.43$ 

Rounded to \$712.00

## **Subject Photo Page**

	Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of Jon	nesboro		
	Property Address 1401 Brookhaven	Rd		
	City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401-3712
•	Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aut	prey Scott		



... r


• '

## Subject Front


1401 Brookhaven Rd Sales Price N/A Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bedrooms Location Urban-Avg View 10,450 sq ft Site Quality Age




## **Subject Street**



#### BK14024S DWG 2.6 2002 C 51 38 PM Scaled to fit



\$ P) \$



## ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM

n <sup>10</sup> m<sup>a (1)</sup> €., 1 € 1 €

• • • •

**APPARENT\* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS** 

Bon	ower/Client CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
Add	
Len	Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip code 72401-371
	*Apparent is defined as that which is visible, obvious, evident or manifest to the appraiser.
Γ	This universal Environmental Addendum is for use with any real estate appraisal. Only the statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.
were I <b>nsp</b> e value	addendum reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumptions made about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser is not an expert environmental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety and of the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental on the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental on the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental on the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
	DRUIKAND WATER
×	Drinking Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meets published standards is to have it tested at all discharge points. Drinking Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate pure water. Lead can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing fixtures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does not
x	contain an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points. _The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, lead-free Drinking Water.
Comm	
	SANITARY WASTE DISPOSAL
<u>x</u>	Sanitary Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer system. Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate and in read wardles are different to have it increased by a gualified increases.
x	good working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alternate.
Comm	treatment system in good condition.
	SOIL CONTAMINANTS
<u>x</u> x	There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and testing by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.
	nents
3-34	ASSESTOS
	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of friable and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector.
NA NA	_The improvements were constructed after 1979. No <u>apparent</u> friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below). _The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hiszardous Asbestos material on the property.
Comn	ients
~	_There were no apparent leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below).
x	There were no <u>apparent</u> visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.
<u>×</u>	
Comr	
	RUCH
x x x	The appraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below). The appraiser is not aware of any indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or Radium. The appraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction or phosphate processing. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Radon level is at or below EPA recommended levels.
Com	nents

学生的特别的	UST CANDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS
<u>×</u>	There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would likely have had USTs.
x	There are no apparent petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (exce as reported in Comments below).
	There are apparent signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained
	determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
<u>x</u>	The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned UST.
	free from contamination and were property drained, filled and sealed.
omm	nts
<u>x</u>	There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Sit search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.
x	The value estimated in this appraical is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect the
	value or safety of the property.
omm	nts
13	
NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.
NA	The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.
omm	nts
	TAD PANT
18.2	
NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value cetimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value cetimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below).
NA NA Domma	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA omm <	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  Ints
NA NA omm <	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  Ints
NA NA omm < c omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  Ints
NA NA omm c	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  AIR POLLUTION  There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of Air Pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  Inter the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter the air is a free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poll
NA NA Dommo C C Dommo	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the second it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter air is free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Ints
NA NA omm c	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  AIR POLLUTION  There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of Air Pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  Inter the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter the air is a free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poll
NA NA omm c	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the stel.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the reported in Comments below).  The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plain
NA NA omm c	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the steed.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  Ints is free of pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Ints
NA NA omm c omm omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented widence of poeting or faking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter wate estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter wate estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter wate estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Inter site does not contain any <u>apparent</u> Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Inter value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Inthe value estimated in this appra
NA NA omm x omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint to the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no fielding or peeling Lead Paint on the property. Inter are no apparent signs of Air Polkution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property. Inter are no apparent signs of Air Polkution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of polkution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polkution. Its '
NA NA Common Sommon Common	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented vidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value ceitmeted in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. Interease on apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value certainated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. Ints
NA NA omm x x omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint to the floors, walk or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint is below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint is below). The only way to be certain that the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Polkution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of polkution is to have it itsetd. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polkution. Its '
NA NA omm x x omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1960 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in the appraisel is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  All POLLUTION  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of New You way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains (oxcept as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional.  The value estimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta below).  The site does not contain a
NA NA Common Sommon Common	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeing or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wals or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is fire of sufficiency or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is the set water estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA Common Sommon Common	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeding or flaking Lead Paint on the fibors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  AIR POLLUSTION  There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of pollution is to have it tested.  There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the spraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution is to have it tested.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Commenta below).  The
NA NA Common Sommon Common	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1990 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wals or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is fire of sufface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.  ALIR POLLUTION  There are no apparent signs of Air Poliution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of poliution is to have it inspected on the assumption that the are reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of poliution is to have it inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of poliution is to have it inspected to the property is free of Air Poliution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value
NA NA Comm X X X Comm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common bulking material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeding or flaking Lead Paint on the fibors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is the value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeting Lead Paint on the property.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeting Lead Paint on the property.  There are no apparent signs of Air Polution at the time of the Inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the spraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polution.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wet
NA NA omm x x omm	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented visible or lating Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Polution is to have it instead. There are no apparent signs of All Polution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of All Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in the appraised is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in the appraised is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The subject may be

• : .

When any of the environmental assumptions made in this addendum are not correct, the estimated value in this appraisal may not be valid.

**DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\* Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by seliers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the selier pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concessions but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

### STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.

5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.

6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.

8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.

10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

and the second second

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.

2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and i believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.

3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.

4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.

5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.

6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.

7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.

8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.

9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

**SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION:** If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ADDRESS	OF	PROPERTY APPRAISED:	1401 Brookhaven Rd, Jonesboro, J	AR 72401-3712
		WELCON CUR HEROSOLUM		

APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature:	Signature:
Name: Bob Gibson GENERAL	Name:
Date Signed: February 26 2002 No. CG0247	Date Signed:
State Certification #: CG0247	State Certification #:
or State License #:	or State License #:
State: AR	State:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 6/30/2002	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
	Did Did Not Inspect Property

and a set of the set o	
Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro	File No
Property Address 1401 Brookhaven Rd Sity Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip	0.4. 70404 9740
County         Craighead         State         AR         Zip           Lender         City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey         Scott         Sc	Code 72401-3712
APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION	
<ul> <li>This Appraisal conforms to <u>one</u> of the following definitions:</li> <li>Complete Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision.</li> <li>Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision.</li> </ul>	3
<ul> <li>This Report is <u>one</u> of the following types:</li> <li>Self Contained Report <ul> <li>A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal perfor under Standard 1.</li> <li>Summary Report <ul> <li>A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal perfor under Standard 1.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Restricted Report <ul> <li>A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal perfor under Standard 1.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	med
<b>Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification</b> Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
<b>Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification</b> Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
<b>Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification</b> Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirir	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requirin	ng disclosure:


# Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

· east

арада 1946 г. н. а

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.



## QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

\* • • • \* • • • • • •

10 10

POSITION: Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401Telephone: (870) 932-5206. **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:** Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and reat estate concerns, 1965 to 1980. President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990. EDUCATION: B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965. Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982. U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965. Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University. National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990. NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990. Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990. The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991. Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991. Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991. Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993. FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994. American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993. HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkansas. Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May 10, 1995. Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996. HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996. Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998. Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999. Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000. USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000. PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP: Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants. Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers. CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION: State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991. State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992. PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS: Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.