This agreement is entered into on this date by and between Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark

Dennis Weaver hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part" and the City of Jonesboro, DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 927

MATA Department, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 1308 Holly Street, Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 67.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$1,221.00 for R.O.W., Easement, shrubs and tree.
- 2. Remove old concrete from two driveways to new R.O.W. line.
- 3. Construct two concrete driveways to new R.O.W. line.
- 4. Construct 5' sidewalk at back of curb for width of property.

5. Solid sod all area disturbed by construction.

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all encumbrance with

This agreement is executed on this the 27 day of November 2002

Jimmy Roland Weaver

Mark Dennis Weaver

CITY OF JONESBORQ, MATA DEPT

DIRECTOR - MATA

O. CIAL SEAL AUBRIEY E. SCOTT
NOTARY PUBLIC ARKANSAS
CRAIGHEAD COMMISSION EN CES: 7-29-2009

DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 9282 45

1308 Holly Street Parcel #67

Right-of-Way

Whereas, Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, is the owner of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

1. Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:

DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE SOUTH 89°14'27" WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 125.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°05'22" EAST 125.01 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 0°28'27" WEST, ALONG SAID EAST LINE, 2.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 0.007 ACRES, (294.45 SQUARE FEET).

DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT (A)

PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.52 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 117.27 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE CONTINUE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 7.74 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, 3.82 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 64°24'12" EAST 8.55 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING, 14.77 SQUARE FEET.

DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT (B)

PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND

3015

1308 Holly Street Parcel #67 DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 929

ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 45.88 FEET; THENCE NORTH 36°46'36" EAST 6.22 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°08'26" EAST 12.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 51°14'54" EAST 6.09 FEET; THENCE NORTH 83°25'03" EAST 19.68 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A 104.07° CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 55.06 FEET, A DISTANCE OF 15.85 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 0°28'27" WEST ALONG SAID EAST LINE 17.69 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING, 0.004 ACRES (184.96 SQUARE FEET).

.

DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 930

2. Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.

3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.

4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver.

Jimmy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver


ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Jiminy Roland Weaver and Mark Dennis Weaver, to me well known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that she had executed the same for the purposes therein stated and set forth.

WITNESS my hand NOVE MBER 2002. and seal this

28 TH

of



DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY
PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD
COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY
OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE SOUTH 89°14'27" WEST, ALONG THE SOUTH LINE
OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 125.00 FEET TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE NORTH
0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE WEST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.19 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°05'22" EAST 125.01
FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, THENCE SOUTH 0°28'27" WEST, ALONG SAID EAST LINE, 2.52
FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 0.007 ACRES, (294.45 SQU'ARE FEET).
DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT (A)
PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD
COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT
CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY
OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF
LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.52 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 117.27 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING
PROPER; THENCE CONTINUE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 7.74 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID;
THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, 3.82 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 64°24'12" EAST 8.55 FEET
TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING, 14.77 SQU'ARE FEET.

DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT (B)
PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD.

TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING, 14.77 SQUARE FEET.

DESCRIPTION OF TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION EASEMENT (B)
PART OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #123, PAGE #20 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:
BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 28 OF W. F. HOLLINGSWORTH SECOND ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 0°28'27" EAST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID, 2.52 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE SOUTH 89°05'22" WEST 45.88
FEET; THENCE NORTH 36°46'36" EAST 6.22 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°08'26" EAST 12.50 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 51°14'54" EAST 6.09 FEET; THENCE NORTH 83°25'03" EAST 19.68 FEET; THENCE NORTHEASTERLY ALONG A 104.07° CURVE TO THE RIGHT WITH A RADIUS OF 55.06 FEET, A DISTANCE OF 15.85 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF LOT 28 AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 0°28'27" WEST ALONG SAID EAST LINE 17.69 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING, 0.004 ACRES (184.96 SQUARE FEET).

Copyright 2002 A.E. & T., LLC

SURVEYOR'S NOTE

THIS SURVEY WAS PREPARED FOR THE EXCLUSIVE USE OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, AND IS NOT ASSIGNABLE.

JIMMY ROLAND WEAVER & MARK DENNIS WEAVER 1308 HOLLY STREET DEED RECORD #418, PAGE #609





SCAL

ASSOCIATED ENGINEERING AND TESTING, LLC

ENGINEERING, LAND SURVEYING AND MATERIALS TESTING 1826 FAST NETTLETON AVENUE SUITE I JONESBORO, AR 72401

PH: 87O-932-3594

FAX: 870-935-1263

SHEET

1 OF 1

ASSUMED

CITY OF JONESBORO

WN:	CWD/CAD	CHECKED:	0596	DATE	1/9/02	-	
LE'	1* = 20'	CADD FILE.	WN67	DWG#	0314244.0001		

LOCATED AT:

** • *****

1308 Holly St Lot 28 Hollingsworth 2nd Add Jonesboro, AR 72401-3701

FOR:

City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington

AS OF:

February 26, 2002

BY:

Bob Gibson

BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants
420 W. Jefferson
P. O. Box 3071
Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Bessie V. Richmond, SL1786 Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

February 26, 2002

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 1308 Holly Street Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of February 26, 2002, and find the market value to be \$18,000. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening Nettleton Avenue. The remaining value is \$17,529 or a difference of \$471 which is the just compensation due the owner. In addition, a temporary easement in the amount of 199.73 sq ft is being used. A fee of \$150 is paid for this inconvenience. 1 tree is being destroyed. A fee of \$500 is paid for this loss. \$100 is paid for relocating shrubs bringing total compensation to \$1,221.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

Bob Gibson, CG0247 RETURN

GENT PAL No. CG024

STATE

Management Commence of the Section o

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Avenue. The subject at 1308 Holly will lose a tract of land: 294.45 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of February 26, 2002

Value Before Taking:

11,250 sq ft x \$1.60 = \$18,000

Improvements:

NA

Land:

11

\$18,000

\$18,000

Value After Taking:

11,250 - 294.45 sq ft x 1.60 = 17,529

Improvements:

NA

Land:

\$17,529

\$17,529

Difference is the just compensation or \$471

In addition, a temporary easement in the amount of 199.73 sq ft is being used. A fee of \$150 is being paid for this incovenience.

1 tree is being destroyed. A fee of \$500 is paid for this loss.

\$100 is paid for relocating shrubs.

Total compensation \$471 + \$150 + \$500 + \$100 = \$1,221

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

	Subject Address	1308 Holly St
	Legal Description	Lot 28 Hollingsworth 2nd Add
	City	Jonesboro
	County	Craighead
E 130	State	AR
100	Zip Code	72401-3701
	Census Tract	0002.00
	Map Reference	N/A
2	Sale Price \$	N/A
i.	Date of Sale	N/A
	-	
i.	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
	Lender	City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
	Size (Square Feet)	
	Price per Square Foot \$	
	Location	Urban-Avg
		Oldal PAVg
1 .	Age	
1	Condition	
in the the	Total Rooms	
	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
14 115	Appraiser	Bob Gibson
11.5 11.5 11.6 11.6 12.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14.6 14	Date of Appraised Value	February 26, 2002
i	······································	- ·
60 s	Final Estimate of Value \$	1,221 - Just Compensation

LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

mmary Apprais	al Report					File No.	
	City of Jonesboro			Cens	us Tract 0002.00	Map Reference N/A	
Property Address 13	08 Holly St						
City Jonesboro			unty Craighead	S	tate AR	Zip Code <u>72401</u> -	3701
	t 28 Hollingsworth 2n						
Sale Price \$ N/A	Date of Sal	e_ <u>N/A</u> Loa	an Term <u>N/A</u>	_ yrs. Property	Rights Appraised 🛛 🖂	Fee Leasehold	De Minimis
ctual Real Estate Tax			o be paid by seller		les concessions <u>N/A</u>		
	of Jonesboro-Mr. Aut			Address <u>314 W Wa</u>			
Occupant <u>Jimmy W</u>	eaver Appra	iser Bob Gibson		Instructions to Apprais	ser Before Value/After	er Value	
Loction	Urban	Subur	rhan	Rural		Good	Avg. Fair Poo
Built Up	Over 75		to 75%	Under 25%	Employment Stability	GOOG	
Growth Rate	Fully Dev. Rapid	⊠ Stead	=	Slow	Convenience to Emplo	ovment	
Property Values	Increas		•	Declining	Convenience to Shopp	·	
Demand/Supply	Shortag	* =		Oversupply	Convenience to School	_ =	
Marketing Time	Under 3		<u>-</u>	Over 6 Mos.	Adequacy of Public Ti	ransportation	
-	80% 1 Family 5% 2-4	Family 5% Apts.	. % Condo	10% Commercial	Recreational Facilities	· —	
	% Industrial% Va	cant%			Adequacy of Utilities		
Change in Present Lan	d Use 🔀 Not Lik	ety Likely	/ (*)	Taking Place (*)	Property Compatibility		
	(<u>*)</u> From		To		Protection from Detrin	nental Conditions	
Predominant Occupan	•	Tenan		_% Vacant	Police and Fire Protec	tion	
Si <mark>ngle F</mark> amily Price Ra		to \$ <u>100</u>	_ Predominant Va		General Appearance o	f Properties	
Single Family Age	<u>10_</u> yr	s. to <u>75</u> yrs. P	Predominant Age _	<u>50</u> yrs.	Appeal to Market		
							A- 44 - 81-4
						s bound by Matthews is located due east of	
	ative influences are r		ay oo to ulb	TTOOL THE HEW I		IOUGIOU UUC DASI (u io <u>aunjo</u>
Dimensions 75' x 1		-1-1	=		Sq. Ft. or Acres	Corne	
oning classification _ lighest and best use	R-1 Multi Family Res			Present Impr	overnents 🖂 do 🗌	do not conform to zoni	ng regulations
ngnest and pest use Public	Other (Describe)	Other (specify) OFF SITE IMPRO	OVEMENTS	Topo Level			
ilec. 🖂 _	, , ,	reet Access 🔀 Pi					
ias 🖾 _		urface Asphalt		Shape Rectangular	 -		
Vater 🖾 _		aintenance 🔀 Pu	ublic Private				
San. Sewer 🖂 📑			Curb/Gutter	Drainage Average			
Un	derground Elect. & Tel.	Sidewalk	Street Lights	1 41 4 1 -4-	4 to a 11110 leteration of Co.	alal Flacel Harrard Asset	
he undersigned has re	ecited three recent sales of	properties most similar	r and proximate to	other adverse conditions subject and has considient and comparable pro	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it	eclal Flood Hazard Area? No. 05031C0131C analysis. The description in the comparable properties.	ncludes a dolla
The undersigned has readjustment reflecting many to or more favorable the	ecited three recent sales of	properties most similar ns of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducin	other adverse conditions subject and has considied and comparable pro	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it	No. 05031C0131C	ncludes a dolla
The undersigned has re adjustment reflecting ma o or more favorable tha avorable than the subj	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY	properties most similar ns of significant variation inus (-) adjustment is djustment is made thus COMPA	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducin	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed the subject and comparable progr	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it piect. PARABLE NO. 2	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in	ncludes a dolla perty is superio ferior to or les
The undersigned has re adjustment reflecting ma o or more favorable tha avorable than the subj	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY	properties most similar ns of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is djustment is made thus	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in	other adverse conditions subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the indicated value of the subject to the subject and control to the subjec	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it piect. PARABLE NO. 2	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in	ncludes a dolla perty is superio ferior to or les
The undersigned has re dijustment reflecting ma o or more favorable the avorable than the subj ITEM Address 1308 Holly	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a lect property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY y St	properties most similar as of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed the subject and comparable progr	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it piect. PARABLE NO. 2	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in	ncludes a dolla perty is superio ferior to or les
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that the subjustment of	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a lect property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY I St	properties most similar is of significant variation winus (-) adjustment is djustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed the subject and comparable progr	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 BLE	analysis. The description in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that the subjustment of	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY y St	properties most similar as of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed the subject and comparable progr	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in	ncludes a dolla perty is superio ferior to or les
The undersigned has re idjustment reflecting ma o or more favorable the avorable than the subj ITEM Address 1308 Holly Proximity to Subject Sales Price	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a lect property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY I St	properties most similar is of significant variation inius (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed the subject and comparable progr	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject; if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 BLE	analysis. The description in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla perty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of th	ecited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY y St	properties most similar is of significant variation inius (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it of perties. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of th	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a lect property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
he undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the s	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a doll berty is superior ferior to or less BLE NO. 3
he undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the s	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a doll berty is superior ferior to or less BLE NO. 3
he undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the s	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
the undersigned has red djustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subject ales Price trace lata Source late of Sale and inne Adjustment ocation	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a doll berty is superior ferior to or less BLE NO. 3
he undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the s	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
the undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject of the subj	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a doll berty is superior ferior to or less BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of Sales Price lata Source lata Source lata of Sale and ime Adjustment location Sales or Financing	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla lerty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting made or more favorable that the subjustment of	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg	properties most similar is of significant variation ininus (-) adjustment is idjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to m between the sub made thus reducings increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable programmed the subject and comparable programmed co	ered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it oject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$	analysis. The description is em in the comparable propern in the comparable is in COMPARAL SALES	ncludes a dolla erty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject sales Price late of Sale and the Adjustment ocation site/View	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable program	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjusting the second	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dolla perty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
the undersigned has redigustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subject allows 1308 Holly troximity to Subject allows Price lata Source allows Adjustment ocation itte/View	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST N S DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed the indicated value of the subject and comparable programmed comparable program	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjusting the second	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dolla perty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
the undersigned has redigustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subject allows 1308 Holly troximity to Subject allows Price lata Source allows Adjustment ocation itte/View	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST N S DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjusting the second	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dollanderly is superior to or less than the superior to the superior
he undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject ales Price lata Source late of Sale and ime Adjustment ocation lite/View	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST SUBJECT PROPERTY ST N S DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjusting the second	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a doll perty is superliferior to or les SLE NO. 3 +(-)\$ A
the undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that the subjustment of the subject of the sub	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjustions.	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dollanderly is superior to or less than the superior to the superior
the undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable than the subjustment 1308 Holly recognitive to Subject Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Adjustment Sales or Financing Concessions Sales of Subject Subject Subject Subject Somments on Market Subject Subject Somments on Market Subject	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjustions.	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dollanderty is superior to or less than the superior to the superior
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable that the subjustment of the subject of the s	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation with the s	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjustions.	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dollaterty is superior to or less BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment 1308 Holly Address 1308 Holly Proximity to Subject Sales Price Data Source Data Source Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a ject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft	properties most similar is of significant variation in inus (-) adjustment is adjustment is made thus COMPA SEE	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it spect. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N +(-)\$ Adjustions.	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dolla perty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject of the sales of t	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar is of significant variation injunction (-) adjustment is indjustment is made thus SEE /A DESCRIPTION A B4711**/Temporar	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the indicated value of the subsect of th	red these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dollaterty is superior to or less BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject sales Price late of Sale and ime Adjustment ocation site/View Sales or Financing concessions Let Adj. (Total) indicated Value of Subject comments on Market of Comments and Conditional Conditi	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY / St SUBJECT PROPERTY / St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar ris of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE /A DESCRIPTION ###################################	r and proximate to on between the sub made thus reducin is increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the indicated value of the subsect of th	red these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dolla perty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject of the sales of t	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST ST DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar is of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE /A DESCRIPTION ***Temporar** **Temporar** ***Temporar** ***Temporar**	r and proximate to an between the sub made thus reducing increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 100N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION \$ \$100 = \$1,221	ncludes a dolla perty is superic ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
The undersigned has redjustment reflecting may or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject of the subj	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY of St SUBJECT PROPERTY of St DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation RECET VALUE AS APPLIES	properties most similar is of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus SEE /A DESCRIPTION	r and proximate to an between the sub made thus reducing sincreasing the interpretation of the sub state of the sub made that is sincreasing the interpretation of the sub made thus reducing the interpretation of the sub substitution of the substi	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 10N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progem in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION	ncludes a dolla perty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
the undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject sales Price Price Plata Source Sales and sime Adjustment ocation site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Let Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Incomments and Conditions in the subject Comments and Conditions in the subject	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST ST DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar is of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus SEE /A DESCRIPTION	r and proximate to an between the sub made thus reducing sincreasing the interpretation of the sub state of the sub made that is sincreasing the interpretation of the sub made thus reducing the interpretation of the sub substitution of the substi	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 100N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION \$ \$100 = \$1,221	ncludes a dolla perty is superior ferior to or les BLE NO. 3
he undersigned has redijustment reflecting may be or more favorable than the subject altered from the subject and subject an	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST ST DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar ris of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE /A DESCRIPTION DESCRI	r and proximate to an between the sub made thus reducing increasing the in ARABLE NO. 1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	subject and has considered and comparable programmed to the subject and	ruary 26 2002	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION \$\$ \$100 = \$1,221 to be \$ _1,221	state of the state
the undersigned has redjustment reflecting may be or more favorable that avorable than the subjustment of the subject sales Price Price Plata Source Sales and sime Adjustment ocation site/View Sales or Financing Concessions Let Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject Comments on Market Incomments and Conditions in the subject Comments and Conditions in the subject	scited three recent sales of arket reaction to those iten an the subject property, a plus (+) a SUBJECT PROPERTY St SUBJECT PROPERTY ST ST DESCRIPTION N/A Urban-Avg 11,250 sq ft Data: Just Compensation	properties most similar is of significant variation minus (-) adjustment is dijustment is made thus COMPA SEE DESCRIPTIO DESCRIPTIO PRIMARIA	r and proximate to an between the sub made thus reducing sincreasing the interpretation of the sub state of the sub made that is sincreasing the interpretation of the sub made thus reducing the interpretation of the sub substitution of the substi	subject and has considered and comparable properties of the subject and compar	pered these in the market perties. If a significant it subject, if a significant it subject. PARABLE NO. 2 \$ \$ 100N	analysis. The description is em in the comparable progern in the comparable is in COMPARAI SALES DESCRIPTION \$ \$100 = \$1,221	standard in the standard in th

COMPARABLE SALES

CLUB MANOR

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer:

Troutt to Hill

Sales Price:

\$116,000

Date:

4/3/92

Record: Size:

420/267

Price/Sq Ft:

1.0 acre \$2.66

Legal:

Lot 5

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer:

Troutt to McKee

Sales Price:

\$85,000 4/8/95

Date: Record:

483/323

Size:

1.0 acre

Price/Sq Ft:

\$1.95

Legal:

Lot 2

IVY GREEN

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer:

Henry to Elrod

Sales Price:

\$50,000

Date:

5/13/98

Record:

558/774

Size:

.70 acre/30,492 sq ft

Price/Sq Ft:

\$1.63

Legal:

Lot 9

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer:

Mercantile Bank to Parkey

Sales Price:

\$45,000

Date:

6/26/92

Record:

425/021

Size:

1.05acre/43,560 sq ft

Price/Sq Ft:

\$1.03

Legal:

Lot 17

Sale #3

Seller/Buyer:

Mantooth to Corcoran

Sales Price: Date:

\$50,000 1/30/97

Daic.

700/97

Record:

528/217

Size:

.73 acre

Price/Sq Ft: Legal: \$1.57 Lot 16

Other Sales

SALE #1:

Grantor/Grantee: Roy Shepherd/Ric Miles

Record: Parcel 27330

Date: 10-99 Sale Price: \$28,000.00

Price/sq.ft. \$1.85

Location: 715-717 W Monroe Sq.Ft.: 117' x 130' or 15,210 sq ft

Comments: House removed. Multi-family zoned.

SALE #2:

Grantor/Grantee: M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Guy Barksdale

Record: Bk/Pg 557/535

Date: 4-98

 Sale Price:
 \$13,500.00

 Price/sq.ft.
 \$1.99

 Location:
 620 Elm

 Sq.Ft.:
 42.5' x 160'

SALE #3:

Grantor/Grantee: M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Wayne Nichols

Record: Bk/Pg 557/533

Date: 4-98
Sale Price: \$13,500
Price/sq.ft. \$1.99

Location: 620 Elm Sq.Ft.: 42.5' x 160'

Comments: Sale #2 is the other half of this same lot.

After adjustments for time of sale, size, and location, a value of \$1.60/square foot has been determined. Therefore, the amount of the taking or the just compensation is

\$1.60 x 294.45 sq ft= \$471.12 Rounded to \$471.00

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of	Jonesboro		
Property Address 1308 Holly St			
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401-3701
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr	Aubrey Scott		




Subject Front


1308 Holly St
Sales Price N/A
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location Urban-Avg
View 11,250 sq ft
Site
Quality
Age



Subject Street



10) Cvj $\vec{\omega}$ (L) 26 (M 10 Ω 12) (X) <u>ان</u> $\binom{C}{C}$ CHERRY (ji (_r) PATTI (_) (7) CHUCK CINDY $\ddot{0}$ AVE W BROADMOOR ()) RD CR <u>`</u> 70 (,,, *1*>, -(J) (1) \odot $\vec{\Sigma}$ ζ'n 4 \odot NJ (2) (x)HOLLY ST 24 ND ND 25 ₩ -7 ιΩ WESTERN MOLTILIAN 93 (X) 64 f 5 (N Ġ (X) CHE (J) ū - a 1--NIS <u>(C)</u> WALNUT Si 414 ('M 1/2 WA 4. Ó د . (۱ \cup Ø 1-N O t,n h.) \bigcirc (5) æ



ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM APPARENT* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Address 1308 Holly St
City Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip code 72401-370
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
*Apparent is defined as that which is visible, obvious, evident or manifest to the appraiser.
This universal Environmental Addendum is for use with any real estate appraisal. Only the statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.
This addendum reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumption were made about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser is not an expert environmental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety a late of the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
DRINKING WATER
Drinking Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meet published standards is to have it tested at all discharge points. Drinking Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate water.
Lead can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing fixtures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does contain an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, lead-free Drinking Water.
omments
SANITARY WASTE DISPOSAL
Sanitary Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer system. Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate good working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alteratment system in good condition. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alteratment system in good condition.
SOIL CONTAMINANTS
There are no apparent signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection a testing by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around property that would negatively affect its safety and value. The value cetimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.
Comments
ASBIESTOS
All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of friable and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1979. No apparent friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hazardous Asbestos material on the property
omments
POBLICA WORKSHIMATED SINAMAD
There were no <u>apparent</u> leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below). There was no <u>apparent</u> visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the sesumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.
mments
The appraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below).
The appraiser is not aware of any indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or Radium. The appraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction or phosphate processing.
or phosphate processing. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the sesumption that the Radon level is at or below EPA recommended levels.
mments

x x	
X	There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would
	likely have had USTsThere are no <u>apparent</u> petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (except
	as reported in Comments below). There are <u>apparent</u> signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained to determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were
	deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
<u>x</u>	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned USTs are free from contamination and were properly drained, filled and sealed.
omr	nents
PS V	SELVENTIVA SPOJESTI STE
x	There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Site search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.
<u> </u>	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect the value or safety of the property.
omn	nents
	URD COMMORNO TURNINGULTON
N I A	
<u>NA</u>	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.
	The improvements were constructed after 1982. No <u>apparent</u> UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value cotimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.
<u>INA</u>	_ ine value settinates at the appraisal to based of the assumption that there is no signatural or or another or other order to make any or material on the property.
omn	nents
7.54X	LEAD PAINT
تعاشينا	
<u>NA</u>	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property
NA	is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
omn	nents
- a.	
	AIT POLLUTON
x	_There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain
Κ	_There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.
	_There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain
	_There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.
omr	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. nents
omr x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. nents
x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. In the site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. nents
x X Comm	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. nents
x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/ Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise
omr x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below:
omr x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat
x x	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution
x x Comm	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal beased on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazards
x x Comm	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution
x x Comm	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). There are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides Pesticides Pesticides
x X Comr	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Intere are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.)
x x Comr	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Intere are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazards Nearby Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.) The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Milecellaneous environmental Hazards (except those reported above) that would
x x Comr	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Intere are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.)
x x comm	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. In the site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). Intere are no other apparent miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution Waste Heat Acid Mine Drainage Agricultural Pollution Geological Hazards Nearby Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.) The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Miscellaneous environmental Hazards (except those reported above) that would

2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.
- 5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.
- 6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.
- 8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- 9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 1 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 1004B 6-93

CARROLL 2 C.S.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.
- 2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.
- 3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.
- 4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.
- 5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.
- 6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.
- 7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.
- 8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report.

 I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.
- 9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED: 1308 Holly St	, Jonesboro, AR 72401-3701
APPRAISER: STATE CERTIFIED	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature: GENERAL No. CG0247	Signature:
Talled Deb Closer	Name: Name:
State Certification #: CG0247	State Certification #:
State: AR	State:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 6/30/2002	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
	Did Did Not Inspect Property

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 2 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 1004B 6-93

Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro			File No.
Property Address 1308 Holly St			·
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401-3701
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott			

APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

This Appraisal conforms to one of the following definitions: Complete Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision. Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision.
This Report is one of the following types: Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that
 is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with
 respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.

 No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.

Bob Gibson, CG02

Charles & Cont.

QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

POSITION:

Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401Telephone: (870) 932-5206.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and real estate concerns, 1965 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990.

EDUCATION:

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May 10, 1995.

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants.

Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers.

CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION:

State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991.

State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS:

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.