AGREEMENT MISC BOOK 46 PAGE 515

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D.

Gibson hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part" and the City of Jonesboro, MATA

Department, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 917 East Matthew Chlenue,

Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 26B.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving East Matthews Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$416.00
- 2. Landscape and resod all area disturbed

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all emcumbrance with

the exception of

This agreement is executed on this the 30 th day of

,2002

ČITY OF JONESBORO, MATA DEPT.

BY:

DIRECTOR MATA

 $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{$

RIGHT-OF-WAY

Whereas, Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson are the owners of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, in favor of city and in favor of the land of the city;

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, and city on this 30 ft day of 2002.

1. Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land of Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, in City of Jones 6000, Craighead County, Arkansas, more particularly described as follows:

PART OF THE NORTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP A NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY **DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:**

PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 3 OF LOWE'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1 AND 2, BLOCK 2 OF HALTOM'S ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT THEREOF, AS RECORDED IN DEED RECORD 87, AT PAGE 364, IN AND FOR THE PUBLIC RECORDS OF CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, AT JONESBORO, ARKANSAS: THENCE SOUTH 00°21'19" WEST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3, A DISTANCE OF 9.20 FEET TO A POINT, SAID POINT BEING THE POINT OF BEGINNING: THENCE CONTINUE SOUTH 00°21'19" WEST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3, A DISTANCE OF 10.18 FEET TO A POINT LYING ON THE NEWLY PROPOSED SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST MATTHEWS AVENUE: THENCE NORTH 89°38'41" WEST, ALONG SAID NEWLY PROPOSED SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE AND DEPARTING FROM THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 3, A DISTANCE OF 5.00 FEET TO A POINT LYING ON THE ARC OF A CURVE CONCAVE SOUTHEASTERLY, HAVING A RADIUS OF 20.0 FEET AND A RADIAL BEARING AT THIS POINT OF NORTH 89°38'41" WEST: THENCE NORTHERLY, ALONG THE ARC OF SAID CURVE, THROUGH A CENTRAL ANGLE OF 29°54'28", A DISTANCE OF 10.44 FEET TO A POINT ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST MATTHEWS AVENUE: THENCE NORTH 88°48'25" EAST, ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY, A DISTANCE OF 7.67 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING IN ALL 52 SQ. FT. OR 0.001 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

SUBJECT TO EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, RESERVATIONS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY OF RECORD.

MISC BOOK 46 PAGE 517

Certified

- 2. Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.
- 3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.
- 4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson.

enneth R. Gibson

Cynthia D. Gibson

STATE OF ARKANSAS COUNTY OF _ (Say

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Kenneth R. Gibson and Cynthia D. Gibson, to me well known to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that they had executed the same for the purposes therein stated and set forth.

WITNESS my hand and seal this 30th day of

, 2002.

517

NOTARY PUBLIC

OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK

NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
MICE DAMASSION EXPIRES: 02:142010DATE 08/16/2002
TIME 01:41:02 PM
RECORDED IN,
OFFICIAL RECORDS OF
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY
ANN HUDSON
CIRCUIT CLERK

1011 Cuttu, 11# 88334 RECEIPT#

00100-026B

APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY
LOCATED AT: 917 E. Matthews Lot 3 Lowes Sub of Lots 1-2 Block 2 Haltoms Addition Jonesboro, AR 72401
FOR: City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott
AS OF: July 12, 2002
BY: Bob Gibson, CG0247

1 0 1

BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

July 12, 2002

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 917 E Matthews Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of July 12, 2002 and find the market value to be \$61,832. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the 'amount of taking' for the purpose of widening Matthews Avenue. The remaining value is \$61,416 or a difference of \$416 which is the just compensation due the owner.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

Bob Gibson, CG0247

No. CG0247 Management of the Committee of the Commi

CERTIFIED

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Matthews Ave. The subject at 917 E Matthews will lose a tract of land: 52 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of July 12, 2002

Value Before Taking: 7729 sq ft x \$8.00 = \$61,832

Improvements:

\$61,832

Land: \$61,832

Value After Taking:

7729 - 52 sq ft x \$8.00 = \$61,416

Improvements:

NA

Land:

\$61,416

\$61,416

Difference is the just compensation or \$416

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

	Subject Address	917 E. Matthews
	Legal Description	Lot 3 Lowes Sub of Lots 1-2 Block 2 Haltoms Addition
Ē	City	Jonesboro
	County	Craighead
	State	AR
<u>.</u>	Zip Code	72401
	Census Tract	NA
	Map Reference	NA
111 127 128	Sale Price \$	NA NA
111 121 131 140	Date of Sale	NA
r .	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
3	Lender	City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott
	Size (Square Feet)	
	Price per Square Foot \$	
0.1403.04049	Location	Urban-Avg
	Age	
	Condition	
TOELS TELEVISION	Total Rooms	
	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
14 14.4 1.55	Appraiser	Bob Gibson, CG0247
11 12 14 Ca.	Date of Appraised Value	July 12, 2002
11.1 1	Final Estimate of Value \$	416 - Just Compensation

LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro Census Tract NA Map Reference NA Property Address 917 E. Matthews	
The transfer that the transfer	
City Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip Code 72401	
Legal Description Lot 3 Lowes Sub of Lots 1-2 Block 2 Haltoms Addition	
	De Minimis PUD
Actual Real Estate Taxes \$ NA (yr) Loan charges to be paid by seller \$ NA Other sales concessions NA	
Lender/Client City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott Address	
Occupant Kenneth Gibson Appraiser Bob Gibson, CG0247 Instructions to Appraiser Before Value/After Value	
Loction Urban Suburban Rural Good Avg	g. Fair Poor
Built Up Over 75% 25% to 75% Under 25% Employment Stability 🔲 🖂	
Growth Rate 🗌 Fully Dev. 🦳 Rapid 🔯 Steady 🔲 Slow Convenience to Employment 🔲 🔯	
Property Values Increasing Stable Declining Convenience to Shopping	
Demand/Supply Shortage Sin Balance Oversupply Convenience to Schools	
Marketing Time Under 3 Mos. 4-6 Mos. Over 6 Mos. Adequacy of Public Transportation	i i i
Present Land Use 60% 1 Family 10% 2-4 Family 8 Apts. 8 Condo 20% Commercial Recreational Facilities	
% Industrial 10% Vacant % % School 20 % School 20 % School 20 % Adequacy of Utilities	
Change in Present Land Use Not Likely \(\sigma\) Likely (*) Taking Place (*) Property Compatibility	
(*) From R-2 To Commercial Protection from Detrimental Conditions	
Predominant Occupancy Owner Tenant 5 % Vacant Police and Fire Protection	
Single Family Age	
One contribution the state of t	A- 4
Comments including those factors, favorable or unfavorable, affecting marketability (e.g. public parks, schools, view, noise):Subject is bound by Washington	to the
north, Nettleton to the south, Caraway to the east, and Church Street to the west. No negative influences are noted.	
Dimensions 67' x 125' less prior taking of 646 sq ft = 7,729 Sq. Ft. or Acres Corner Lor	
Zoning classification R-2 Multi-Family Residential Present Improvements 🛛 do 🗌 do not conform to zoning n	regulations
Highest and best use Present use Other (specify) Commercial	
Public Other (Describe) OFF SITE IMPROVEMENTS Topo Level	
Elec. 🛛 Street Access 🖾 Public 🗌 Private Size <u>Average</u>	
Gas Surface Asphalt Shape Rectangular	
Watter 🛛 Maintenance 🖾 Public 🗌 Private View <u>Average-Residential</u>	
San. Sewer 🛛 🗌 🔲 Storm Sewer 🔲 Curb/Gutter Drainage Average	
Underground Elect. & Tel. Sidewalk Street Lights is the property located in a HUD Identified Special Flood Hazard Area?	No Yes
Comments (favorable or unfavorable including any apparent adverse easements, encroachments, or other adverse conditions): FEMA Map No. 05031C0132C.	
The undersigned has recited three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to subject and has considered these in the market analysis. The description includes	ides a dollar
adjustment reflecting market reaction to those items of significant variation between the subject and comparable properties. If a significant item in the comparable property	
adjustment reflecting market reaction to those items of significant variation between the subject and comparable properties. If a significant item in the comparable property to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio	y is superior
adjustment reflecting market reaction to those items of significant variation between the subject and comparable properties. If a significant item in the comparable property to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject.	y is superior
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject.	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews SEE COMPARABLE SALES	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews SEE COMPARABLE Jonesboro SALES	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews SEE COMPARABLE Jonesboro SALES Proximity to Subject	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-)\$ Adjust. DESCRIPTION +(-)\$ Adjust DESCRIPTION	y is superior or to or less
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION +(-)\$ Adjust. DESCRIPTION +(-)\$ Adjust DESCRIPTION NA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA Location Urban-Avg	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews SEE COMPARABLE Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA Location Urban-Avg	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews SEE COMPARABLE Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA Location Urban-Avg	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ SE \$ SALES Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA Location Urban-Avg Site/View 7,729 sq ft/Res	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ COMPARABLE Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Price \$ \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment NA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES NO. 2 SA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. If a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES JONES OF INCREMENTAL SEE SALES SALE	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES SALES NO. 2 SALES	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES SALES NO. 2 SALES	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE SALES Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (-) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 3 SALES Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus (-) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (-) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 3 SALES Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus () adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. If a significant item in the comparable is Inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the Indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Address 917 E. Matthews Jonesboro Proximity to Subject Sales Price \$ NA \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the Indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE N	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus () adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. If a significant item in the comparable is Inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the Indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES JONES NO. 2 SALES NO. 2 SALE	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more tavorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more tavorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject; if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus () adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 SALES Jonesboro Proximity to subject Sales and Increase of the subject of the subject of the subject of the subject No. 2 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARA	y is superior or to or less NO. 3
to or more favorable than the subject property, a minus () adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject. If a significant item in the comparable is inferior favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the indicated value of the subject. ITEM SUBJECT PROPERTY COMPARABLE NO. 1 COMPARABLE NO. 2 COMPARABLE Jonesboro Provering to subject Sales Price Sales Price NAA SSEE COMPARABLE SALES Jonesboro Prowring to Subject Sales Price Sales And SSEE SALES Jonesboro Prowring to Subject Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price SSEE SALES SALES Jonesboro Prowring to Subject Sales Price Sales Price Sales Price Sales And Sales Price Sales Price Sales And NA Sales Price Sales And Sales Price Sales And Sales Of Sales And Sales Price Sales And Sales Of Sales And Sales Sales Of Financing NA Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Sales Sales Sales Of Subject Sales Of Subject Sales	y is superior or to or less NO. 3 +(-)\$ Adjust.
to or more favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus reducing the indicated value of subject, if a significant item in the comparable is inferio favorable than the subject property, a plus (+) adjustment is made thus increasing the Indicated value of the subject. ITEM	y is superior or to or less NO. 3 +(-)\$ Adjust.

Comparable Land Sales

Sale #1

Grantor/Grantee: Bobby G Bennett, et ux/Robert Joseph Landry

Record: Book 441 Page 165

 Date:
 5/18/93

 Sales Price:
 \$110,000

 Location:
 Matthews

 Sq ft:
 34,416

 Price/Sq ft:
 \$3.21

Sale #1B

Grantor/Grantee: Robert Landry/Rental Houses Inc

Record: Book 580 Page 329

Date: 8/19/99 Sales Price: \$130,000

Location: Matthews/Houghton Sq ft: 34,416 - 35,344

Price/Sq ft: \$3.68

Sale #2

Grantor/Grantee: Bob McKee Estate/Kainer Assocaites

Record: Book 372 Page 722-24

Date: 3/13/89 Sales Price: \$118,000

Location: E 20' of Lot 4, Block 1, Lots 5 & 6 Block 1 of Gregg and Houghtons

Sq ft: 18,000 Price/Sq ft: \$6.56

Sale #3

Grantor/Grantee: City of Jonesboro/Kainer Associates

Record: Book 384 Page 502

Date: 11/16/89 Sales Price: \$53,000

Location: Lot 7 Block 1 of Gregg/Houghtons

Sq ft: 7,500 Price/Sq ft: \$7.07

Sale #4

Grantor/Grantee: Professional Acres/D Wood

Record: Book 393 Page 795

Date: 7/6/90 Sales Price: \$65,000

Location: Lot 1B Replat of Lot 1 Block A

Sq ft: 14,260 Price/Sq ft: \$4.56

Comments: Site of Wood Vision Clinic. Additional lot purchased for parking.

Sale #5

Grantor/Grantee: Various Owners to Mark Keller

Location: 811-813 E Matthews

Date of Sale: 10-1-97

Record: Book 548 Page 707

Sales Price: \$185,000 Acres: .75 ac + 1 ac

Land Sq ft: 187.40 x 175 or 32,795 sq ft +-

Price/Sq ft: \$5.64/sq ft Price/Frt Ft: \$987.19

Comments: Assembled three smaller tracts into one development site. Approximately

6,146 sq ft was given to the city for E Matthews expansion.

Sale #5B

Grantor/Grantee: KWV Partnership to St Bernards Hospital

Location: 811 E Matthews
Date of Sale: April 21, 1998
Record: Bk 558 Pg 302
Sales Price: \$215,000

Acres: .62 ac
Land Sq ft: 27,007
Price/Sq Ft: \$7.96

Comments: Site purchased by Mark Keller involved 2 separate tracts of land. Site of

new BC/BS office. Corner site.

Sale #6

Grantor/Grantee: Dr James Schrantz/Mark Keller

Location: 818 E Matthews
Date of Sale: Dec 1 1992
Record: DR bk 433 Pg 266

Sales Price: \$75,000
Acres: 1.079 ac +Land Sq ft: 47,001
Price/Sq ft: \$1.60

Comments: Owner deeded city .31 acres for street improvements.

Sale #7

Grantor/Grantee: Anna Clay/Mark Keller

Location: 820 E Matthews

Date of Sale: 8-11-95

Record: Bk 481 Pg 106

 Sales Price:
 \$80,000

 Acres:
 .68 acres

 Land Sq ft:
 29,887

 Price/Sq ft:
 \$2.68

Comments: Site of single family residence removed for new medical service.

Sale #8

Grantor/Grantee: Harriett Hyatt/Ellen Turner to Rental Houses

Location: Logan Ave
Date of Sale: July 12, 1999
Record: Bk 578 Pg 564
Sales Price: \$70,000

Acres: .75 +Land Sq Ft: 32,829
Price/Sq ft: \$2.13

Comments: Purchased in conjunction with other adjacent sites.

Sale #9

Grantor/Grantee: Bob Bennett and Donna Sue Bennett Location: E Washington - before Matthews intersect

Date of Sale: March 1, 1999

Record: Bk 572 Pg 73 and 69

Sales Price: \$184,666.67 (2) \$369,333

Acres: 4.92 ac - According to Exhibit A

Land Sq ft: 214,315 Price/Sq ft: \$1.72 Price/Acre: \$75,068 Sale #10

Grantor/Grantee:

Watson to Jonesboro Real Estate Holdings Company

Location:

NE Corner of Logan and Houghton

Date of Sale:

4-10-00

Record:

Sales Price:

Bk 590 Pg 25

\$140,000

Acres:

2.10 ac

Price/Sq ft:

\$1.72

Sale #11

Grantor/Grantee:

Kagle Huff to Howard and Peggy Vance

Location: Date of Sale: E Washington

3-15-2000

Record:

Bk 588 Pg 908

Sales Price:

\$75,000

Land Sq ft:

28,050

Price/Sq ft:

\$2.67

Sale #12

Grantor/Grantee:

Anthony and Brown to Gene Vance

Location:

1209 E Washington

Date of Sale:

1-21-2000

Record:

Bk 587 Pg 60

Sales Price:

\$27,500

Land Sq ft:

6,750

Price/Sq ft:

\$4.07

Sale #13

Grantor/Grantee:

NEA Professional Properties to St. Bernards Hospital

Location:

815 E Matthews

Date of Sale:

3-13-98

Record:

Bk 555 Pg 767

Sales Price: Land Sq ft:

\$324,500 62,827

Price/Sq ft:

\$5.16

Sale #14

Grantor/Grantee:

Bailey, Buhrmaster Wade Et al to Jonesboro Real Estate Holdings E of Citizen

Location:

4-2000

Date of Sale:

\$175,000

Sales Price: Land Sq ft:

27,874

Price/Sq ft:

\$6.27

Sale #15

Grantor/Grantee:

Bookout to Rental Houses, Inc

Location:

922 Park

Date of Sale:

8-12-99

Record:

Bk 579 Pg 981

Sales Price:

\$35,000

Land Sq ft:

19,300

Price/Sq ft:

\$1.81

Sale #16

Grantor/Grantee:

Turner to Rental House Inc E Matthews - E Houghton

Location: Date of Sale:

5-12-99

Record:

Bk 575 Pg 616

Sales Price:

\$450,000

Land Sq ft:

400' frontage on E Matthews (154,439 sq ft)

Price/Sq ft:

\$2.91

Sale #17

Grantor/Grantee: Professional Acres/Ron South

Location: Osler/E Matthews

Date of Sale: 3-01 Sales Price: \$300,000

Acres: .86 Ac or 37,462 sq ft

Price/Sq Ft: \$8.00

Comments: Site of new medical building

Summary

Sale	Sales Price	Date	Size	Cont/S : D
1	\$110,000	5-93		Cost/Sq Ft
1 A	\$130,000		.79 ac	\$3.21
2		8-99	.79 ac	\$3.68
	\$118,000	3-89	.41 ac	\$6.56
3	\$53,000	11-89	.17 ac	\$7.07
4	\$65,000	7-90	.32 ac	\$4.56
5	\$185,000	10-97	.75 ac	\$5.64
5A	\$215,000	4-98	.62 ac	\$7.96
6	\$75,000	12-92	1.079 ac	\$1.60
7	\$80,000	8-95	.68 ac	\$2.68
8	\$70,000	7-99	.75 ac	\$2.13
9	\$185,000	3-99	4.92 ac	\$1.72
10	\$140,000	4-00	1.85 ac	\$1.72
11	\$75,000	3-00	.64 ac	\$2.67
12	\$27,500	1-00	.15 ac	\$4.07
13	\$325,000	3-98	1.42 ac	\$5.16
14	\$175,000	3-00	.63 ac	\$6.27
15	\$35,000	8-99	.44 ac	\$1.81
16	\$450,000	5-99	3.55 ac	\$2.91
17	\$300,000	3-01	.86 ac	\$8.00

The above sales represent the most recent sales found within the subject's market area that are comparable to our subject. After adjustments for zoning, time of sale, location, and size, a value of \$8.00 per square foot has been given our subject site.

Therefore, the amount of taking is $\$8.00 \times 52 \text{ sq ft} = \416

Note: The above sales were purchased with various zoning designations. Those purchased as R-2 were rezoned to commercial. The highest and best use for the E Matthews property is commercial.

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of	Jonesboro		
Property Address 917 E. Matthew	s		
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr	Aubrey Scott		



Subject Front


917 E. Matthews
Sales Price NA
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location Urban-Avg
View 7,729 sq ft/Res
Site
Quality
Age





Subject Street



Subject







ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM

APPARENT* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

ALLANENI IIAZ	ANDOOG GODG I ANOEG :	AND/OR DETRIBIE	LATAL LIVINONIMENTAL CON	ADITIONS
Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of Jone	sboro			
Address 917 E. Matthews				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip code 72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr Aub	rey Scott			
*Apparent is defined as that which is	risible, obvious, evident or mani	fest to the appraiser.		
This universal Environmental Adder	dum is for use with any real estate	appraisal. Only the state	ements which have been checked by the a	ppraiser apply

to the property being appraised.

Comments

This addendum reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumptions

i nsp value	made about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser is not an expert environmental ector and therefore might be unaware of existing hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety and of the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
147	DRINKING WATER
<u>x</u>	Drinking Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meets published standards is to have it tested at all discharge points. Drinking Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate pure water.
<u>x</u> x	Lead can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing fixtures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does not contain an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, lead-free Drinking Water.
Comr	nerits
5,77	SANITARY-WASTE DISPOSAL
<u>x</u>	_Sanitary Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer systemSanitary Waste is disposed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate and in good working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
<u>x</u> Comr	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alternate treatment system in good condition.
COIII	SOL CON AMBIANTS
x Com	There are no apparent signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and testing by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.
8.5	ASBESTOS
NA	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of friable and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector. _The improvements were constructed after 1979. No apparent friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below). _The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hazardous Asbestos material on the property.
	POTACIONISTE DE L'AMBOLISTACE PAR L'AMBOLISTACE
x	There were no <u>apparent</u> leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below). There was no <u>apparent</u> visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
X	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.
	nents
	RADON
<u>x</u> x	_The appraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below)The appraiser is not aware of any Indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or RadiumThe appraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction or phosphate processing.
X	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Radon level is at or below EPA recommended levels.

X	_There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would likely have had USTs.
x	There are no apparent petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (excep
	as reported in Comments below).
<u>. </u>	_There are apparent signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were
	deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
<u> </u>	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned USTs free from contamination and were properly drained, filled and sealed.
omn	nents
	AND
	_There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Site
_	search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.
<u></u>	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect the value or safety of the property.
omn	ents
	Unit formatoring for our ways and
ΙA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the
	property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.
AA_	The improvements were constructed after 1982. No <u>apparent</u> UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.
• •	_ ne value estimated in this appears is been on the accomption that there is no eigenstant of the instantion of other office formalization on the property.
omn	ents
an e	
111111	
₹A	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented
	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property
	is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
NA	The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
omm	ents
116	AR 70 LUICH
	There are no apparent signs of Air Politation at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain
	that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.
	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution.
	ents
	WEI ANDREST OF DE LANG
	The site does not contain any <u>apparent</u> Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional.
,	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
	The Aging communication in this seem is present out the economistration with the second control of the brokests (extends so telephones in continuents percent).
mm	ents
<u> </u>	MISSEL ANJONG ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARIDS
	There are no other <u>apparent</u> miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise
	Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation
	Light Pollution
	Waste Heat
	Acid Mine Drainage
	Agricultural Pollution
	Geological Hazards
	Nearby Hazardous Property
	Pesticides
	Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.)
	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Miscellaneous environmental Hazards (except those reported above) that would
	negatively affect the value of the property.
	When any of the environmental assumptions made in this addendum are not correct, the estimated value in this appraisal may not be valid.

USTS (UNDERBROUND STORAGE TANKS)

Ť ...

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

i i

* Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.
- 5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.
- 6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.
- 8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- 9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 1 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 1004B 6-93

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

.

- 1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, If a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.
- 2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowlingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.
- 3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.
- 4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.
- 5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.
- 6. If was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.
- 7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.
- 8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.
- 9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and arm taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

Signature: No. CG0247 No. CG0247 Narre.	PERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required): sature:
Name: Bol Gloson, CG0247 Name:	
Nam e: Bold Gibson, CG0247 \(\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc	ne:
Date Signed: July 12, 2002 Date	
	Signed:
	e Certification #:
or State License #: or S	tate License #:
State: AR Stat	e:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 6/30/2003 Exp	ration Date of Certification or License:
	Did Did Not Inspect Property

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 2 of 2 Famile Mae Form 10048 6-93

Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro			File No.	
Property Address 917 E. Matthews				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scot	tt			

APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

This Appraisal conforms to <u>one</u> of the following definitions:
This Appliabal comothis to <u>one</u> of the following definitions.
Complete Appraisal
The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the
The act of process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the
Departure Provision.
·
□ Limited Appraisal
The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting
The det of process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and restricting
from invoking the Departure Provision.
- ,
This Report is <u>one</u> of the following types:
Self Contained Report
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed
A white in report prepared under standards rule 2-2(A) of a complete of limited appraisal performed
under Standard 1.
Summary Report
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed
under Standard 1.
Restricted Report
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed
2. A military repaired under Standardo Flaio 2. 2(0) of a complete of infinited appraisal performed
under Standard 1.
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisai and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisai and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisai and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisai and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisai and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.

- No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.

STATE CERTIFIED GENERAL

MANAGER CIES

Bob Gibson, CG0247

QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

POSITION: Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401Telephone: (870) 932-5206.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and real estate concerns, 1965 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990.

EDUCATION:

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May 10, 1995.

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants.

Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers.

CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION:

State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991.

State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS:

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.

