



City of Jonesboro

Municipal Center
300 S. Church Street
Jonesboro, AR 72401

Meeting Minutes Finance & Administration Council Committee

Tuesday, March 10, 2026

4:00 PM

Municipal Center, 300 S. Church

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL (ELECTRONIC ATTENDANCE) CONFIRMED BY CITY CLERK APRIL LEGGETT

Present 6 - Joe Hafner; John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent 1 - Anthony Coleman

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

[MIN-26:016](#)

MINUTES FOR THE FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COUNCIL COMMITTEE
MEETING ON FEBRUARY 10, 2026

Attachments: [FA Minutes 02102026.pdf](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Ann Williams, that this matter be Passed. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

4. NEW BUSINESS

RESOLUTIONS TO BE INTRODUCED

[RES-26:021](#)

A RESOLUTION TO ACCEPT THE BID AUTHORIZING THE PURCHASE OF TWO VANS FOR THE TRANSIT DEPARTMENT (JET)

Sponsors: JETS and Finance

Attachments: [City of Jonesboro Braun Promaster quote 021126.pdf](#)

Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said, I have a question. Chairman Joe Hafner said, Dr. Coleman. Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said, this might have already been asked, but I guess since I don't remember. You know, when you get old you don't remember certain things. Do we have spare vans or spare buses? Director of JETS Lee Wells approached the podium and said, that's one of the reasons why we're looking at this. Similar to the bus purchases that we made, we actually operate eight

vehicles for paratransit service every day. One of the vehicles that we are currently operating, which this will allow us to replace that plus have an additional for spare, that vehicle has actually been two vehicles put together to make one functioning vehicle. This is just us trying to get our stock back up to a useful life benchmark and be able to have those spare vehicles for rotation, keep from running so many miles on each vehicle, and allow us to do standard services that are necessary.

Councilmember Ann Williams asked, how many more routes are we planning to have? I know we were rebuilding routes after COVID when routes were reduced, but what's the ultimate goal as far as how many lines? Mr. Wells said, ultimately for the paratransit we will use as, you know, what... it depends on what our service dictates. Our service every day depends on the schedule as far as who needs trips. You know, we utilize that based upon how busy we are. Like I said, on average we usually operate seven or eight vehicles or seven or eight routes per day for paratransit. Our bus routes, we've been operating on four routes here recently. We will, hopefully by the end of the month, be at our full five route service plus the two A-State service routes for a total of seven routes on fixed route.

Ms. Williams said, is there any plan to, and I know it's been mentioned at MPO meetings mayor Brookland about maybe extending our routes close to Brookland or up to Brookland area. Has that, is that being considered? Mr. Wells said, there's always a consideration. Just a matter of looking at the feasibility of something like that. Talking with the various agencies that would be involved in that, trying to work out deals and concessions on that. Ms. Williams said, it would certainly be good for people that live in the Brookland area to come to medical appointments here. I'm sure, yeah.

(someone speaking off mic) JETS Director Lee Wells approached the podium and said, yeah the cost share is listed on there just as a reminder, the 85/15. I've been trying to make sure that we do a better job of highlighting that for our vehicles. That 85/15 is for any ADA vehicle that we have. That 15% is what the city has to have to match the portion, so.

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and asked, Lee, just how many buses do we have all together? Not, or you can include these two if you want to. JETS Director Lee Wells approached the podium and said, I've got to do the math. So, we have five trolleys and we have two trolley routes. We have currently seven buses and we're operating four fixed route. We have seven paratransit vehicles and we're operating an average of eight paratransit routes a day.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:022](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 233 S. FISHER, PARCEL 01-144181-44700, OWNED BY MICHAEL KEVIN KELLY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$9,754.56

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [1.pdf](#)
 [2.pdf](#)
 [3.pdf](#)
 [4.pdf](#)
 [5.pdf](#)
 [6.pdf](#)
 [7.pdf](#)

Councilman David McClain said, Mr. Chairman, is there any reason this one is so much more? It seems like it's a lot. Almost \$10,000. Chief Operating Officer Tony Thomas approached the podium and said, I was toying with that in my mind trying to make sure we had the correct address, but the name does register a bell. That was the, that was a demolition. So, we actually removed the structure of a citizen who had passed and there were no associated relatives. Mr. McClain said, got it. Thank you.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:023](#)

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF JONESBORO TO ENTER INTO A 60 MONTH SERVICE LEASE CONTRACT FOR 12 COPY MACHINES

Sponsors: Finance, Information Systems and Mayor's Office

Attachments: [city of j'boro Replace & locations.doc](#)
 [Forrest Office Machines Lease.pdf](#)

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and asked, is it because we're doing twelve because all twelve need to be... I mean, they're all not working correctly? And the second question is, is that the maintenance on it does a Forrest company who we're buying them from, do they do maintenance free or do they charge for them? Chairman Joe Hafner said, staff wants to handle that one? (someone speaking off mic) Mr. Hafner said, what? Chief Operating Officer Tony Thomas approached the podium and said, I didn't hear the first part, so you're going to have to forgive me. Ms. Lack said, if all twelve of them are not working and we have to replace all twelve. Mr. Thomas said, yeah, they're, we do have, I won't say all twelve aren't operational, but there are a number of them that are non-operational and as we looked at whether or not to outright purchase, which we have done in the past or to lease. We opted for the lease option at this particular point in time. As with most items electronically these days, there's a pretty good shelf life of when an item is obsolete and so, what we're finding is the lease gives us a better option of keeping our stock current. Currently, even if we purchase a machine, we have to get a service agreement with the copier supplier. So, we're paying for that maintenance already as a part of our copier agreements. So, this just allows us to lease and continue those maintenance agreements that are there to replace obsolete equipment and equipment that doesn't work.

Councilmember David McClain said, Mr. Chair, can I ask one question? Just a follow up to what Tony was saying. So, do... I know sometimes you have an agreement where you can print as much as you want or at least for a certain amount. Do we have that set anywhere? Mr. Thomas said, I can't... I'm not sure on this one. I know there

are...I'm fairly certain that there is a charge for copiers as a part of the maintenance agreement anyway. So, the maintenance agreement is predicated on how much you use the machine. And so, we tally copies each month as part of the maintenance agreement, so yes. Mr. McClain said, thank you.

Councilmember Brian Emison said, and I will say, to this point, we do something like this similar on the banking level. Is that it also ends up encompassing your toner cost as well. Which is a significant amount, so.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:024](#)

A RESOLUTION BY THE JONESBORO CITY COUNCIL TO ACCEPT AN OFFER TO SETTLE EXISTING LIENS END DUAL LITIGATION EFFORTS BETWEEN THE CITY OF JONESBORO AND LAUREL PARK, LLC

Sponsors: Mayor's Office

Councilmember David McClain, I have a comment or I guess a question to Carol, first. How much have we...how much do we have so far in legal fees on this? City Attorney Carol Duncan said, I don't have an exact number. I think it's around \$13,000, somewhere in there. Mr. McClain said, so, if we take \$110,000 and we've got to subtract \$13,000, right? So, we're going to be under \$100,000 for a release of a lien. Best case scenario if we say no to this? If we don't pass this, what's the best-case scenario? Beyond that. Ms. Duncan said, continue litigation. Mr. McClain said and I guess, what could happen from that? I know obviously we're going to incur more. Ms. Duncan said, every time you ask me to predict what a court's going to do and I can't do that, so. Mr. McClain said, I mean, what's... Ms. Duncan said, what I've been told and what I've said repeatedly is that the appraised value of the land is approximately \$300,000 give or take a smidge. And that our legal counsel believes that we would be limited to that top dollar amount in our recovery because what would happen is, if we are successful in pursuing the lien and we get to a foreclosure then you're going to sell it, you know, on the courthouse steps just like you would anything else that you foreclose on. And it just depends on what, whoever bids at that courthouse sale bids up to as far as what you recover and then from that amount, you're going to deduct the legal expenses that it took you to get there.

Mr. McClain said, I guess, and I'll say this Mr. Chairman, I think \$110,000 is too low for us to take and like I said we don't have \$13,000 that comes out. To be less than \$100,000, I don't think it's a good deal and I don't think we should accept this offer. That's just, again, my opinion.

Chairman Joe Hafner said, Ms. Duncan, since you have your crystal ball out... Ms. Duncan said, right and I can predict all things. Mr. Hafner said, yeah, well let me ask the question this way. Ms. Duncan said, okay. Mr. Hafner said, when, I know early things have been happening, you know like... When is this set to go to trial? Ms. Duncan said, it's not yet. So, at this... Mr. Hafner said, is there, do you have any anticipation on the date that it would go to trial? Ms. Duncan said, I don't. So, right now, we've filed an answer and we filed, I believe, a motion to have, as I think I told y'all that we had filed a motion to remove City Council that have been served separately from that. They filed an answer to that motion. That has not been ruled on by the court

yet to my knowledge. So, the next step on our side, if we continue litigation would be to file our counter claim and our foreclosure, which would be more legal documents that we would file. And then at some point I would assume the court would first rule on the motion to remove City Council as parties and leave just the City of Jonesboro as the primary defendant. And then it would go from there and it would get on the Circuit Court calendar. Mr. Hafner said, because where I was going is I saw, I read in the paper today that their court date was recently set for a case and the court date was in 2028. Ms. Duncan said, it kind of depends on what case. I do think they move... Mr. Hafner said, but I can't remember what court it was. Ms. Duncan said, right. I do think they move quicker on these types of things. We didn't have to wait that long to get our first court date on Ice House, for example. I do think they give a little more priority to something like a lien. So, I don't think it would be that bad, but, you know, once you get into Circuit Court and you have your hearing there, you have to assume that if we're successful there would be an appeal just like there was in Ice House. And then, we go up to the Court of Appeals. That's where we have been in limbo for quite some time on Ice House and just got a ruling and you know how long ago, I don't even remember when we filed that foreclosure, but it's been some time ago.

Mr. Hafner said, you have a guesstimate on what you think our legal fees would be on this case? Ms. Duncan said, I don't. Mr. Hafner said, and does the recent Ice House ruling, in your opinion, effect this case any? Ms. Duncan said, I don't think it impacts this case any, no. I mean, I think the rules are still the same as far as how you pursue a lien. So, I don't know that it impacts this case. I just know how long it took to get us there and we still haven't really gotten to the sale point. We're waiting to get an order in with the Circuit Court to pursue a sale of lots 5 and 6 of Ice House.

Mr. Hafner said, and I believe, too, that this isn't the first offer that they made. This is, you know, I guess second time. Ms. Duncan said, second or third. Councilmember John Street said, I think they offered \$50,000 the first time, wasn't it? Ms. Duncan said, \$50,000 the first time, \$55,000 the second time, and then somewhere in the middle. \$70,000, \$75,000 somewhere in there. I don't know exactly. Somewhere in this mid-range, you know, so. To where we ended up, yeah...

Councilmember David McClain said, I guess one point I want to make also, I understand we would incur legal fees, but he would also incur legal fees. So, I mean, he would be just as, I would think, incursive to settle beyond \$110,000 if he's got to continue to fork out money as well. And so that's why, again, I think the \$110,000 is not enough, but at the same time. And I guess, another question, we're not responsible for his legal fees, correct? Ms. Duncan said, not right now. I mean, if we were unsuccessful in court, it's always up to the court whether we would be responsible for any of his legal fees. I don't know the answer to that today. Mr. McClain said, I'm saying I mean, has it... Ms. Duncan said, as of right now. Mr. McClain said, in the past where that has happened before? Ms. Duncan said, these foreclosures don't happen very often like this. This is, Ice House is the only one I've ever experienced where we foreclosed on a lien this big, so. And we were successful in that one in Circuit Court, so there wasn't a question of should we be responsible for any other legal fees. Now, would we? I don't know, I'd have to research what the case law says on that or potential liability there is. So, I don't know the answer to that. I know when you're unsuccessful in court in most cases there's always the possibility that you get ordered to pay some of the other side's legal fees. I just don't know if the law is the same on this. I would have to do that research. Mr. McClain said, if you could, I'd like to know, but at the same time like I said, he's going to incur legal fees as well, so I think it would encourage him to, if we say no, it would encourage him to come back to the table and... Ms. Duncan said, and again as we expressed to council before, you know, it's

100% up to you guys what y'all accept or don't accept as far as a settlement. I think that, you know, when the mayor and I had a discussion about it we both agreed there was no point in bringing in anything under six figures to you guys to even review and determine. We knew that, you know, that wasn't going to be anything that y'all would accept, but anything above six figures we agreed to bring to you guys for your consideration and vote. So, that's why we're here.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 4 - John Street; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Nay: 1 - David McClain

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:025](#)

A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE WILLINGNESS OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS TO AUTHORIZE THE GRANTS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO APPLY FOR THE FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION (FTA), FY2025 49 U.S.C SECTION 5339 FORMULA GRANTS FOR THE JONESBORO ECONOMICAL TRANSPORTATION (JET) SYSTEM

Sponsors: Grants

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and said, on this one and the one resolution following this is that when you add both of them up, it's like, you know, a little over \$1,500,000 and you just said that it goes into the capital projects. Does that go into the capital projects for the JET bus system or does that go into a capital project for the whole city? Chairman Joe Hafner said, just JETS. Ms. Lack said, and then what would that be used for, specifically? JETS Director Lee Wells approached the podium and said, so first off this is the standard formula that we use, the 5307 and 5339 funding is what we use every year. That's what this is for, but this funding is what we use for our departmental for capital purchases. In order to make these purchases of vehicles, buses, things like that, that are needed for the operation of our service. Mr. Hafner said, thank you.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:026](#)

A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE WILLINGNESS OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS TO AUTHORIZE THE GRANTS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO APPLY FOR THE FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION (FTA), FY 2025 49 U.S.C SECTION 5307 FORMULA GRANTS FOR THE JONESBORO ECONOMICAL TRANSPORTATION (JET) SYSTEM

Sponsors: Grants

Councilmember Ann Williams said, I just wanted to ask, if where it says capital projects, that can be used for, like shelters and sidewalks to bus stops? JETS Director Lee Wells approached the podium and said, so the 5307 funds and the 5339 funds are different types of funding. The 5307 also encompasses our operational budget, but there is also some allocation in there for capital purchases. Now, those could be, I mean literally anything from your paper and ink pens all the way up to a vehicle if that's what's needed. That is just for use for the service in order to be able to

do whatever our service needs. These funds are the funds that we've utilized for purchases of vehicles, purchases of shelters, upgrading of equipment, different things like that. Now, the shelter project that we have, that's ongoing right now that was a specific grant that stood alone apart from these. Does that answer your question? Ms. Williams said, yeah.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:027](#)

A RESOLUTION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS TO ACCEPT THE LOW BID AND ISSUE A PURCHASE ORDER TO WILKINS ELECTRIC, LLC FOR THE HUNTINGTON AVENUE LIGHTING PROJECT (2026:02)

Sponsors: Engineering, Finance and Parks & Recreation

Attachments: [Bid Tabulation.pdf](#)

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and said, I think Craig, can you just kind of... The bid \$100,000 the two other bids were \$100,000 more. Is that because maybe people didn't want the project? Why would that be a big difference between that? It was just kind of odd to see. Would you know? Or they just think that they're really good at their job? Engineering Director Craig Light approached the podium and said, I have no idea why the other contractors bid so high other than they weren't familiar enough with the project to give a competitive bid. Wilkins Electric did the Creath Street project. He knows what he's getting into and I don't have any concerns about his bid.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

[RES-26:028](#)

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF JONESBORO TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT TO LEASE OFFICE SPACE FOR E-911 ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF AND AMEND THE FY2026 BUDGET

Sponsors: Police Department and Mayor's Office

Attachments: [SKM_C300i26030410150_\(002\).pdf](#)

Chairman Joe Hafner said, Mr. Emison. Councilmember Brian Emison said, Mr. Chairman, I did have one. I just noticed the lease amount that was necessarily here and I'm good with that dollar amount. I think it's fair for the area and for the square footage. Does this including any kind of furnitures, fixtures, equipment, or do we already have that inventoried? Chief Administrative Officer Brian Richardson approached the podium and said, we already have some of that inventory because some of that's over in the old office spaces and we do have some miscellaneous furniture. There's also already some budget line items that they have that would cover some of the ins and outs and that's kind of why just the exact lease amount isn't the budget amendment because there will be some miscellaneous expenses that are

involved in this process. Mr. Emison said, good deal. Thank you, sir.

Councilmember David McClain said, I have one, Mr. Chairman. Why was the lease only for six months? Did we expect to be out of there in six months? Or? Mr. Richardson said, well, you know, as we are in... The lease can auto renew. That's part of the language in it, but as we're also exploring the possibility of a, you know, some sort of police, temporary police complex. You know, want to leave that option open in case whatever complexes could be rented in case there was space found in that that would work as well. So, just want to leave options open on that.

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and said, so Brian are you saying is the reason is that why that we're doing is? To just let them have more room right now because where it says Justice Complex, we're not going to... They're not in a... If they were in a damaged part of that building, we'd probably have them moved out already. So, I'm not understanding. Maybe I'm getting this... Mr. Richardson approached the podium and said, so for clarification, this is for the administrative staff of 911, not the E-911 call takers. The E-911 call takers are in the reinforced, fortified part of the old Justice Complex. The administrative staff is located adjacent, but outside in the unreinforced part of the Justice Complex. Whenever the Justice Complex closed down Carol, E-911 staff, police staff, and District Court, and associated services all had to move out of that building. The estimated repair cost of that was at a minimum of \$100,000 to \$150,000 and probably climbing higher than that and even then there was still no guarantee that it was going to be safe. So, this is obviously the cheaper option and right now the 911 administrative staff they're in the grants office. They're in part of engineering and they're using a conference space for training here. One, that's not efficient. Two, we have hired additional staff to fill those once what were empty offices and they're working out of temporary workspaces which is obviously not efficient for them either. So, this is the most economical and viable route that has been researched and found to help give them a more centralized communication ability, better training opportunities, and better efficiency for not just for the 911 department, but the grants department and engineering department as well.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman

5. PENDING ITEMS

6. OTHER BUSINESS

7. PUBLIC COMMENTS

Patti Lack 4108 Forest Hill Road approached the podium and said, there's two things that I need to say. Yesterday, I emailed Brian concerning how emails are given to all of you when a citizen writes you. It came, he told me that whenever a citizen writes aldermen@jonesboroAR.gov, is that I assumed it went to just you guys. Brian told me that it goes to the administration, it goes to the mayor, and the administration staff. Kind of disappointing because if I wanted to message Brian or I wanted to message the mayor, I would have copied them and I think people have assumed, and you know when you assume, is that you guys were the ones seeing it. I don't think it's right. I

don't think it's fair. And I was told that if I or any other citizen wants to get in touch with you that we have to address you separately. I think it's something that has to change because people know that. And when I look back at things that I have come up here and other people have come up here is that I always thought, how did they get prepared for what was coming? I don't think it's fair for the citizens because when I address you guys, that's who the email should go to. And I think it really needs a change. I don't know how long it's been going on, but I really think it's fair to the citizens that I message you guys and not Carol or the mayor or Brian or Tony.

City Attorney Carol Duncan said, I can answer that, Patti. It's been going on since 2004, since Mayor Brodell and since April's predecessor and my predecessor, like it's been that way from day one because it's a list serve type situation. It's not... I get that the naming is unfortunate and we're working on a change to that. We don't know exactly what it's going to be named at this point, but there's going to be, it'll be separated. You can email city@jonesboroAR.gov and get the same people you would have originally gotten under Aldermen and then we'll have a separate address that will be City Council direct or something like that where it'll go straight to them, but it was, my guess, is done that originally that way because Mayor Brodell probably didn't have a computer and, that he used on a daily basis. So, therefore he had it going to his staff. I know Phillip Crego, who was my predecessor, did not have a computer and so I think at that time it went to our office, our legal secretary in the office is who got those emails. So, I really think it was just set up that way in the beginning, and it just stayed that way through all four mayors that I've worked for. Any time that you're sending something, just for public information, any time that you're sending something to a group like that, you can always click on the name Aldermen or Council Comments or whatever it is that's a group type of email address. If it says City Clerk's office, you can click on City Clerk's office, and it'll give you a drop-down list and it'll tell you everybody that that email's going to. Just for future reference for people who are emailing and not sure who it's going to, but I think it was for efficiency in the beginning. If somebody was complaining about a flooding street, the mayor's office knew about it immediately and could go handle it. I do understand the confusion, but I don't think that when it was set up under Brodell and Crego and, you know, Donna, I think that it was done originally because some of those people were not super computer literate if they were computer literate at all and therefore they had their staff added to it so they had a way to get knowledge of the emails, if that makes sense.

Ms. Lack said, well, I certainly understand it Carol. Ms. Duncan said, right. Ms. Lack said, but the point of...Ms. Duncan said, I get the confusion. I was just explaining the history. Ms. Lack said, yeah, yeah. And I certainly understand it, but there's a reason why I email all my City Council people versus emailing the administration part of it and I think people don't really appreciate that and I think they don't know it. And I think the same thing goes back to MAPC having a pre-meeting, you know, it was a couple years ago that I finally realized that there was a pre-meeting before the meeting and really necessarily is that they should be holding that meeting here in the council room to just be transparent, you know. And what happens at that pre-meeting is that there's usually two or three of the commissioners that are there that come here and they approve something and there's very little conversations, but up in that room there, there is. And I think that should be handled here, but I think that it's just, I'm upset about it and I think people will be also too, knowing that when I email all of you guys is that I think it's only going to you and I always copy April to make a record of it, but I hope it changes because it's just not fair to the citizens. So, thank you.

8. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Brian Emison, seconded by John Street, that this meeting be Adjourned. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - John Street; David McClain; Ann Williams; Charles Coleman and Brian Emison

Absent: 1 - Anthony Coleman