



City of Jonesboro

Municipal Center
300 S. Church Street
Jonesboro, AR 72401

Meeting Minutes Finance & Administration Council Committee

Thursday, February 2, 2023

12:00 PM

Municipal Center, 300 S. Church

RESCHEDULED FROM TUESDAY, JANUARY 31, 2023 TO THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 2, 2023 AT 12:00 P.M. DUE TO HAZARDOUS WEATHER CONDITIONS

1. Call To Order

2. Roll Call by City Clerk April Leggett

Present 6 - Joe Hafner; Charles Coleman; John Street; David McClain; Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent 1 - Ann Williams

3. Approval of minutes

[MIN-23:009](#)

Minutes for the Finance Committee meeting on January 10, 2023

Attachments: [Minutes](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Passed. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman; John Street; David McClain; Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

4. New Business

ORDINANCES TO BE INTRODUCED

[ORD-23:004](#)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCE NO. 2022-15 OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS; MODIFYING THE INTEREST RATE ON THE CITY'S TAXABLE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT REVENUE BONDS (COLSON CASTER PROJECT), SERIES 2022B ISSUED ON APRIL 19, 2022; AND PRESCRIBING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO.

Attachments: [Ordinance Amending Ordinance No. 2022-15 \(Colson 2022\)](#)
[ORD-22-015 BONDS Colson Redacted](#)

Michele Allgood approached the podium and said this is a cleanup ordinance. I think all of you are business people or bankers. You know that the interest rate environment

is pretty volatile right now, and so we just had a timing issue. We had a situation where we passed the ordinance by the city; and then by the time we got to the point where it was time to close the loan, the environment had changed. So Colson Caster and First Security Bank, the private bond with the prop placement holder negotiated an interest rate that right now we were within the parameters of the original ordinance, but we just want to make sure that we're okay in the future. This does not, absolutely does not change any obligation of the city. The city has no obligation on these bonds. It's just clean-up.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman; John Street; David McClain; Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[ORD-23:005](#)

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING ORDINANCES NO. 1657 AND 1717 OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS; CHANGING THE NAME OF THE PUBLIC FACILITIES BOARD CREATED IN SUCH ORDINANCE TO THE "CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS PUBLIC FACILITIES BOARD"; EXPANDING THE POWERS OF SAID PUBLIC FACILITIES BOARD TO INCLUDE THE ENTIRE SCOPE OF AUTHORITY AND POWERS INCLUDED IN THE PUBLIC FACILITIES BOARDS ACT; PRESCRIBING OTHER MATTERS RELATING THERETO.

Attachments: [Ordinance Amending Powers of Jonesboro Public Facilities Board](#)
[Ordinance 1717](#)
[ORD 1657](#)

Councilmember Brian Emison said if the record could reflect, I actually serve on this Public Facilities Board and I'm also currently serving as treasurer. I'm going to recuse myself from this vote. However, not to be part of the debate but if there's any questions that I could help answer I would be more than happy to insist in any way possible.

Chairman Joe Hafner said before we go to committee for questions I think Brian and Ms. Allgood want to make a few comments, and that may answer some of the questions we have.

Chief Administrative Officer Brian Richardson approached the podium and said I'll be pretty brief, as in Ms. Allgood is far more, I guess, experted on this than I am. I just wanted to clear up a little confusion for the record. We got a couple of emails yesterday. Just to clarify, this isn't a new board. This has been around since 1978. They have helped with a lot of both public and private projects, and they have been very generous with their support of a lot of city projects over the years. And, second, there is some confusion on the emergency clause. Typically, what you don't see in an emergency clause is a request to waive any of the readings, because that is not the intent of the emergency clause. The intent for that would be just basically upon passage of the council after three or four readings, it would waive the 30-day period that is typically attached to ordinances if it's a new law or new regulation to give people time to adjust. It's not detrimental to this project or this ordinance if we want to just remove that emergency clause. I'll leave that to the council if you want to make a motion. It doesn't really affect anything that they're trying to do, from my understanding. I'll just turn it over to Ms. Allgood. She was going to be here for the other ordinance anyway; and this is something that the Recreation Healthcare Board has been wanting

to clean up for some time. This kind of seemed like good timing with Ms. Allgood here for the other item as well. If you have any questions for me after Ms. Allgood talks, then maybe I can help, but I'll turn it over to the committee.

Michele Allgood approached the podium and said so let's address the emergency clause first. The reason that this ordinance has an emergency clause in it is because I was mimicking the way that the previous ordinances were adopted, and each of those ordinances has an emergency clause. At the time we first started talking about amending this ordinance for the facilities board, there was an imminent project. They were considering a donation that would have supported some green space, some recreational areas acquisition. So when we originally put this together, the emergency clause was more relevant than it is now. I concur with Brian. To my understanding, there is not a reason to include the emergency clause at this time; and so a total discretion of the committee and the city council as to whether it remains included. When you were reading it, Joe, I should have you come read these ahead of time. I noticed a typo in one of the whereases where it says the act authorizes a municipality to create one more. It should be one or more. That may be part of the confusion. We're not trying to create a new one. It's the one, two, three, fourth whereas, the very first line. It says one more facilities board, and that should be one or more facilities board; and that's coming straight out of the act.

So, a little bit of history. The legislation that was adopted by the general assembly that permits this was adopted in 1975; and the real purpose of this legislation is to allow primarily non-profits. The industries and the entities that have utilized this authority in the past have been your healthcare institutions, your educational institutions, residential housing for low to moderate income. This has been the vehicle that has been used to provide access to the capital markets for these projects. There is no liability on the city in connection with these projects. As you read, and as required by law, any debt obligation issued by this board is required to state on its face that it is not an obligation of the city and the city's faith and credit is not pledged.

So in 1978 is when Jonesboro first utilized this and it was for residential housing. In 1980, the law was amended to allow for healthcare facilities. I have been personally involved in two different projects that have utilized this legislation and worked with Brian and the board. One of those was in 2019, the Liberty Park Senior Living Project. That was an entity that came into this community and utilized this. The board facilitated them developing that project here. The other was in 2016 and then the refinancing in 2021 of the Saint Bernard facilities, and so the new emergency room and the recent expansions of St. Bernard were accomplished through this board. I know you had to read it a lot, all the different things, but the ordinance as is presented is basically a, I don't want to call it a cut and paste, but it replicates, it mimics the language of the statute. So section two of your ordinance, that is section 14-137-106 of the authorizing act. The general assembly has modified that to change the projects that can be financed about thirteen times since 1978, so this is a living, breathing legislation that reacts to what works in the current world. Section three, where we talk about the powers of the board. So part of that is repeating what was in the previous ordinances, but it's also a mimicking of the language that's in 14-137-111. Then in section four, where we're talking about the bonds, that is also a mimicking of the language that's in 14-137-115. So that's where this is coming from. And then finally, the Arkansas law, the section that says that it is not an obligation of the city and that it's the faith and credit isn't pledged, that's 14-137-120 of the act.

Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said this is my question. Can that too be used to build a homeless center? Michele Allgood said it could be. Yes.

Chairman Joe Hafner said so just to clarify, this is not a new board and all it's doing is basically putting in power of this board or what it's allowed to do within the scope of the Arkansas statute. Michele Allgood said that is correct; and the catalyst for this was that in 2021, the board again wanted to support a specific project that the other parts of the city in the community were supporting and the ordinance did not allow them to do that. And so that's when we started talking about amending it and giving them that authority, and since we were amending it, the decision was made to just give it the full authority of the act because we ran into a timing issue with the other project. And so this one, if we fully implement the authority under the act, then the board can be more nimble in supporting any other project that may come up.

Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said I guess my second question is, if I'm hearing this right, the city has no liability. Michele Allgood said none. They have no liability, but and I'm not exactly sure of the sequence of events that happen with the previous projects that I've been involved in, but I've worked with a lot of facilities boards that if somebody would come to the mayor of that community and say can you help us with this project. The city may not have the ability to do that, but they could always refer to this facilities board.

Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said I just want to make sure it's clear. I can see pushed but I couldn't hear back there. But I just wanted to make sure. The liability thing bothers me about any ordinance that we pass or look at. I appreciate your answer.

Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said yes sir, Mr. Chairman. I wanted to piggyback off of Dr. Coleman and this is just me learning. And I'm sorry. I don't know your name. Michele Allgood said I'm Michele. Michele Allgood. Nice to meet you. Dr. Anthony Coleman said good to meet you. So when he said there is no liability with the city, then I'm assuming this board has autonomy and then why even come to us with this?

Michele Allgood said so the legislation requires either a city or a county to create a facilities board, and so then through that creation, the city designates the authority that they want that board to have. So you are the overseers. The city council is the overseers and the mayor appoints the members of the facilities board. So there is distinction between the two entities. There are two separate entities but they're married. They're definitely in this together. It's just a way for the city to utilize a resource that's been granted to them by law and it does not create any liability on behalf of the city.

Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said sure, that's good and a follow-up question. So the board that Mr. Emison is on that's been appointed by the mayor, and please cough this up to me learning. I'm new. So with that being said, if they are somewhat autonomous, they are appointed and so on, so forth, and then you use the term oversee, and I try to do my due diligence before this meeting, and I've never seen this particular board. I haven't in recent past seen anything come before the city council in that regard, so I'm trying to understand the correlation in us saying this. I do understand legislation in that regard, but...

Michele Allgood said so you have limit. I'm probably using the wrong language. You have limited oversight in that you created them and you gave them authority. And then as part of that ordinance that was originally adopted, it said the mayor would appoint the future board members, so that is the oversight ability that exists. If this facilities

board went rogue, they started doing things that you didn't want them to do, then there would be the ability to amend the ordinance and to reign back that power. The mayor would have some ability to perhaps replace members of the board if they were not doing the job that they were assigned to do. I'd have to look at that, but you have limited oversight. And where we would come back to the city council is if there was a joint project. So there is the ability of this entity, this quasi-governmental entity to work with the city. So let's say the city needed an expansion of water works, then they could cooperatively work together and then we would be coming back to the city and those cooperative projects. But for the purposes of the other projects, we have to follow the same rules that the city has to follow with regard to public hearings and open public meetings and the transparency that any governmental entity would have to follow.

Councilmember Dr. Charles Coleman said I do have one other question. I'll say it this way. Regina in CDBG does a great job in grants and getting money. Could this program help her in any facilities that she needs to work on for families or that type of thing? Michele Allgood said if it falls within the definition of the public projects that are authorized for this board to facilitate, then potentially. We would have to look at each project, and we'd have to look at what the request of the board was.

Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said I really appreciated Dr. Coleman when he asked the question about the homeless shelter because that is one of my passions and one of my platforms; and I guess this is for Mr. Emison, if at some point maybe you can share with me later, it doesn't have to be now, but how we can as a city potentially partner with the board to consider a homeless facility and have those talks. But I'd also like to, since we're discussing, I also would like to make an amendment to the motion that we take off the clause of emergency.

Councilmember John Street said who did the second? If you'll remove the second, I'll be glad to make the amendment. Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said I remove my original second.

Councilmember John Street moved, seconded by Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman, to remove the emergency clause from ORD-23:005 and to fix the typo in the fourth whereas which should have read 'one or more' instead of one more. All voted aye.

Councilmember David McClain said Michele, the only question I had was trying to understand the funding that this board receives and how they get it. Michele Allgood said it's independent. So typically their funding comes from the projects that they finance. So whenever they did the Liberty Park transaction, I don't remember the exact terms, but they can receive some residual money or they can receive a fee for facilitating that transaction. And so that's my understanding of where their funds that they currently have and where we would anticipate getting them. They would have the ability to apply for grants or to do those type of things, but right now that's what its source of funding has been is the fees that it has received for facilitating these projects.

Councilmember David McClain said so if I help a project or if they help a project, like you mentioned St. Bernard's, they help them find financing then they charge a fee for that? Michele Allgood said they can if they choose to. And one of the things that Brian just mentioned is that apparently that this board did use its resources to facilitate a grant that studied the homeless, different low-income housing and different, so it has done and that's available to the city.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 4 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

Recused: 1 - Brian Emison

RESOLUTIONS TO BE INTRODUCED

[RES-23:003](#)

A RESOLUTION TO WAIVE COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR THE COLLECTION OF DIGITAL AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY FOR JONESBORO AND AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF A PROPOSAL WITH EFS GEOTECHNOLOGIES

Sponsors: Engineering

Attachments: [Jonesboro Agreement 3 Inch AP \(002\)](#)

Councilmember David McClain said Brian, is this currently who we use? Whoever can answer? I am just curious if this is currently who we use. Director Craig Light approached the podium and said we do have an agreement with EFS Edge. They are the ones who host our GIS data in the counties online. The last time we had aerial photographs done, it was done by a company called Sanborn. They gave us a quote and then we reached out to EFS Edge and they came in considerably less than Sanborn. So Sanborn did it for us before. The time before that, EFS Edge did it, so we've used both of the companies before. But we do have a contract with EFS Edge to host our GIS data online.

Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said so you're saying that this last bid that they gave you was for this particular one? Director Craig Light said yes. The other company Sanborn is flying the state of Arkansas and they reached out to us and said hey, we'll fly the city at this higher resolution for this amount of money. We checked with another vendor that came back considerably cheaper. And we said well, we really would like to get it flown. It's been three years since we've had it flown, and so that's what led to this.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:012](#)

A RESOLUTION BY THE JONESBORO CITY COUNCIL TO WAIVE COMPETITIVE BIDDING AND AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASE OF SURVEY EQUIPMENT FROM NAVIGATION ELECTRONICS, INC.

Sponsors: Engineering and Information Systems

Attachments: [Navigation Electronics Inc Quote](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following

vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:013](#)

A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE WILLINGNESS OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO TO UTILIZE STATE AID STREET MONIES FOR THE FOLLOWING PROJECT: JONESBORO BROWNS LANE AND RACE STREET OVERLAY

Sponsors: Engineering

Attachments: [Job C16014](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:014](#)

AN RESOLUTION DECLARING AN EXCEPTIONAL SITUATION AND WAIVING THE REQUIREMENTS OF COMPETITIVE BIDDING FOR MOTOR REPLACEMENT FOR A JOHN DEERE 244K WHEEL LOADER FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS BUILDING AT 2603 DAN AVENUE.

Sponsors: Streets and Sanitation

Attachments: [Greenway Invoice](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:019](#)

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, GRANTS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT TO APPLY FOR THE STATE OF ARKANSAS, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, DIVISION OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, ARKANSAS WIRELESS INFORMATION NETWORK PROJECT GRANT (AWIN)

Sponsors: Grants

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:020](#)

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, GRANTS AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT TO APPLY FOR THE 2022 ASSISTANCE TO FIREFIGHTERS GRANT (AFG) PROGRAM FROM THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

HOMELAND SECURITY

Sponsors: Grants and Fire Department

Attachments: [QT 29674 JONESBORO FIRE DEPT-AR \(SMT\)](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Charles Coleman, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:021](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 323 KREWSON, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144183-37100, OWNED BY HETTI GROUP LTD. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [323 Krewson](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 323 Krewson](#)
[Council Notice Letter 323 Krewson](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by David McClain, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:022](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 616 E OAK, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144191-17500, OWNED BY JOYCE SCARBOROUGH IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [616 E Oak](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 616 E Oak](#)
[Council Notice Letter 616 E Oak](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:023](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 808 WARREN, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144074-12300, OWNED BY FAITH SOULS OUTREACH MINISTRIES INC IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [808 Warren](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 808 Warren](#)
[Council Notice Letter 808 Warren](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:024](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 912 HOPE, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144184-11600, OWNED BY DRELLA TAYLOR IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [912 E Hope](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 912 Hope](#)
[Council Notice Letter 912 Hope](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:025](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1204 WARREN, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144074-13800, OWNED BY CLAYTON D GREER IN THE AMOUNT OF \$515

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [1204 Warren](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 1204 Warren](#)
[Council Notice Letter 1204 Warren](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:026](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1403 OAKHURST, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-143133-23700, OWNED BY KEVIN & TONYA LYLES IN THE AMOUNT OF \$5,297.40

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [1403 Oakhurst](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 1403 Oakhurst](#)
[Council Notice Letter 1403 Oakhurst](#)

Councilmember David McClain said I had one. Just in the amount, is that due to tearing down the structure? Is that what made that price be \$5,297? Director Scott Roper said yes sir. We took a structure down. Councilmember David McClain said thank you.

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:027](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2101 S KATHLEEN, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144271-22500, OWNED BY EDNA BOYD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [2101 S Kathleen](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 2101 S Kathleen](#)
[Council Notice Letter 2101 S Kathleen](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:028](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2501 MARY JANE, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144272-24200, OWNED BY KENNETH L & DENA BROADWAY IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [2501 Mary Jane Mowing](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 2501 Mary Jane](#)
[Council Notice Letter 2501 Mary Jane](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:029](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS,

TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 2612 CRAWFORD, JONESBORO, AR 72401, PARCEL 01-144273-02300, OWNED BY RANDY & ALEY CRAWFORD IN THE AMOUNT OF \$8,716.32

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [2612 Crawford](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 2612 Crawford](#)
[Council Notice Letter 2612 Crawford](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:030](#)

RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS, TO PLACE A MUNICIPAL LIEN ON PROPERTY LOCATED AT 5522 CARIBBEAN PL, JONESBORO, AR 72404, PARCEL 01-134043-05400, OWNED BY LEE R REAMS IN THE AMOUNT OF \$175

Sponsors: Code Enforcement and Finance

Attachments: [5522 Caribbean Pl](#)
[Affidavit of Statutory Lien 5522 Caribbean Pl](#)
[Council Notice Letter 5522 Caribbean PL](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Postponed Indefinitely. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

[RES-23:031](#)

A RESOLUTION BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF JONESBORO TO WAIVE COMPETITIVE BIDDING AND AUTHORIZE PURCHASE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT EQUIPMENT FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT

Sponsors: Police Department, Information Systems, Grants and Finance

Attachments: [RATT sole source](#)

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this matter be Recommended to Council. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman;John Street;David McClain;Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams

5. Pending Items

6. Other Business

Councilmember Dr. Anthony Coleman said I just have a question for the gentleman. I am sorry, I'm learning names. Scott. So I think at one time we were asked or someone asked on the committee about the fines and the increase. Are you all working on that? Director Scott Roper said yes, we are working on that. I think we're looking at increasing all of our fines.

7. Public Comments

8. Adjournment

A motion was made by John Street, seconded by Brian Emison, that this meeting be Adjourned. The motion PASSED with the following vote.

Aye: 5 - Charles Coleman; John Street; David McClain; Brian Emison and Anthony Coleman

Absent: 1 - Ann Williams