1074

DEED BOOK 633 PAGE 843

AGREEMENT

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between J. Wade Quinn Co. Inc.

hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part" and the City of Jonesboro, MATA

Department, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 1708 West Nettleton Avenue,

Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 77.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the

first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of 1,367.00 for R.O.W.
- 2. Remove concrete driveway to back of new R.O.W. line.
- 3. Construct concrete driveway to back of new R.O.W. line.
- 4. Remove small guard post by air line to accommodate sidewalk.
- 5. Do not remove large sign unless absolutely necessary.
- NOTE: If it is deemed necessary to move large sign to accommodate construction and it is found to be on City property, such expense shall be that of the property owner.

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all encumbrance with

the exception of Mane This agreement is executed on this the 6th day of September, 2002 JONESBORØ, MATA DEPT. CITY O BY: J. Wade Quinn Co. Inc.

2014

1708 West Nettleton Avenue Pa**即性世场 BOOK 633 PAGE 844**

Right-of-Way

Whereas, J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., are the owners of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., and city on <u>bfu</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2002. V. J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to

V J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:

DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

:

PART OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 24, TOWNSHIP 14 NORTH, RANGE 3 EAST, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 0°28'15" EAST, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 24, AFORESAID 30.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER; THENCE SOUTH 89°09'15" WEST 142.50 FEET; THENCE NORTH 0°28'15" EAST 1.64 FEET; THENCE NORTH 88°55'54" EAST 142.51 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE NORTHWEST QUARTER OF SECTION 24, AFORESAID: THENCE SOUTH 0°28'15" WEST, ALONG SAID EAST LINE, 2.20 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING PROPER, CONTAINING 0.006 ACRES, (273.47 SQUARE FEET).

2. J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.

3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.

4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of J. WADE QUINN CO. INC..


J/WADE QUINN CO. INC

STATE OF ARKAMSAS COUNTY OF rang

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared J. WADE QUINN CO. INC., to me well known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that she had executed the same for the purposes therein stated and set forth WITNESS my hand and seal this ______ 6 <u>than</u> ______ day of <u>September</u> 2002.

temtel 2002. Π. NOTARY PUBLIC OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS CRAIGHEAD COUNTY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 02-14-2010



facsumile transmittal

To:	Bill Ruck	Fax:	501 372-8042	
From:	Aubrey Scott	Date:	06/18/02	
Re:	West Nettleton	Pages:	Three (3)	
CC:	Harry Hardwick			
🗆 Urge	ent 🛛 For Review	Please Comment	Please Reply	Please Recycle

in the investigation of right of way acquisition for this parcel, Harry and I have discovered a problem that will exist if we construct the street and drainage as shown. On the attached sheet we show some actual measurements that we took this morning. You can see that this would not give them access to and from the pump islands if the curb inlet and sidewalk is constructed as shown.

Suggestion -- relocate the curb inlet(s) to the upstream and down stream sides of the property, eliminate any island.

We had a similar condition with a quick shop at the southwest corner of West Nettleton and Flint Street. We went to a hearing before the Board of Adjustment and they allowed the Owner to leave the front of the property open without any islands. The basic

DEED BOOK 633 PAGE 848

ł


ordinance prohibits driveway openings larger than 40'. We will not go to the Owner until

we have a workable solution to the problem.

Please look at this and see if you see any flaws in our suggestion and if you have

additional and/or better recommendations.

Thanks Preprzy



Garver, Inc. Engineers 1010 Battery Stre P.O. Box 50 Little Rock, Arkar		DEED BOOK 633 PAGE 850
(501) 376-3633 FAX (501) 372-804 www.garverinc.co		GARVER ENGINEERS
Company: Fax: Phone:	Aubrey Scott MATA 1-870-933-4618 1-870-933-4616 Bill Ruck	Pages: <u>*</u>] Date:

In response to your FAX and inquiry regarding the island at the service station on the north side of West Nettleton at Station 19+25:

We can eliminate the island if you can overcome the problem of the City's limitation on 40 foot driveway width as you described.

Relocating the inlet and crossing culvert to Sta. 18+40 should handle the water equally well, and is exactly halfway between the peak at Sta. 14+92 and the next inlet to the east at Sta. 21+84. We should make the inlet type the same.

A new inlet on the south side of a crossing culvert would also be constructed at Sta. 18+40. We should consider leaving the inlet at Sta. 19+23 (south side) to prevent ponding in front of the apartments. We will add these changes to our drawings if you get them worked out with the Owner, and when we get under Contract to complete the otherPlan revisions.

Brentwood, TN + Fayetteville, AR + Huntsville, AI + Little Rock, AR + Madison, MS + Topeka, KS + Tulsa, OK



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING, INSPECTION, AND CODE ENFORCEMENT

FAX MESSAGE

DATE: July 3, 2002

TO: Aubrey Scott MATA FAX NO: 933-4618

FROM: Glenn Batten City Planner

SUBJECT: 1708 West Nettleton Property of J. Wade Quinn Co. Inc.

I have looked at the street improvement plans for that section of West Nettleton adjoining the subject property and have looked at the property in the field.

Under the Zoning Ordinance, driveways accessing adjoining property are to have a maximum width of 40 feet. In this case, the driveway runs the entire width of the property. However, due to the location of the fueling islands and the location of the convenience store building, it seems impractical to construct new street curbing to narrow and focus ingress and egress to the site. Therefore, the maximum driveway width requirement is waived.

Please call me at 933-4602 if you have any questions.

DEED BOOK 633 PAGE 843 - 851 DATE 09/26/2002 TIME 02:04:46 PM RECORDED IN, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF CRAIGHEAD COUNTY ANN HUDSON CIRCUIT CLERK MAN FUMER, D.C. RECEIPT# 90263

Page 1 of 1 7/3/2002

#1301

LOCATED AT:

× +

.

. آ

1708 W Nettleton Ave Pt SW NW 24-14-03 Jonesboro, AR 72401-3635

FOR: City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington

AS OF:

May 14, 2002

BY: Bob Gibson, CG0247 **BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES**

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Bessie V. Richmond, SL1786

May 14, 2002

J۳

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 1708 W Nettleton Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of May 14, 2002, and find the market value to be \$141,570. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening Nettleton Avenue. The remaining value is \$140,203 or a difference of \$1,367 which is the just compensation due the owner.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

S ANN HILLING Sincerely 530 TITED JENERAL No. CG0247 Bob Gibson, CG024 7 GIBSO L. GIBSUN

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Avenue. The subject at 1708 W Nettleton will lose a tract of land: 273.47 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of May 14, 2002

۰.

Value Before Taking: Improvements: Land:	28,314 sq ft x \$5.00 = \$141,570 NA <u>\$141,570</u> \$141,570
Value After Taking: Improvements: Land:	28,314 - 273.47 sq ft x \$5.00 = \$140,203 NA <u>\$140,203</u> \$140,203

Difference is the just compensation or \$1,367

Note: Signage will need to be moved by the city.

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

, **^**

scription Tract Prence	Pt SW NW 24-14-03 Jonesboro Craighead AR 72401-3635 0002.00 N/A
ract Prence	Craighead AR 72401-3635 0002.00
ract Prence	AR 72401-3635 0002.00
ract Prence	72401-3635 0002.00
ract Prence	0002.00
nrence 	
	N/A
5 D	N/A
ala	N/A
/ Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
	City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
nom East	
odnare i nor de	Urban-Avg
	UIDan-Avg
8	
r	Bob Gibson, CG0247
ppraised Value	May 14, 2002
mate of Value \$	1,367 - Just Compensation
	ale / Client are Feet) Square Foot \$ ms s

· • •	LAND APPR/	AISAL REPOR	Т		
mmary Appraisal Report			-	File No.	
Borrower <u>CLIENT: City of Jonesboro</u>		Cens	sus Tract 0002.00 Ma	p Reference N/A	······································
Property Address 1708 W Nettleton Ave			Mat 11401 Ma		
City Jonesboro	County Craighea	d s	State AR	Zip Code 72401-	3635
Legal Description Pt SW NW 24-14-03		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>	Lip 0000 12401-	
Sale Price \$ N/A Date of Sa	e N/A Loan Term N/A	vrs. Property	Rights Appraised 🛛 Fee	Leasehold	De Minimis P
Actual Real Estate Taxes \$_889.92 (yr)			ales concessions N/A		
Lender/Client City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aul		Address 314 W Wa			
Occupant Quinn Company Appr	alser Bob Gibson, CG0247		ser Before Value/After V	/alue	·······
Loction 🛛 Urban	Suburban	Bural	T	Good	Avg. Fair Poor
Built Up 🛛 🖂 Over 75	5% 🗍 25% to 75%	Under 25%	Employment Stability		
Growth Rate 📃 Fully Dev. 📃 Rapid	Steady	Slow	Convenience to Employme	ent 🗍	
Property Values Increas		Declining	Convenience to Shopping		
Demand/Supply Shortag	e 🛛 In Balance	Oversupply	Convenience to Schools		
Marketing Time 📃 Under 3) Mos. 🛛 🖂 4-6 Mos.	Over 6 Mos.	Adequacy of Public Transp	portation	
Present Land Use <u>80%</u> 1 Family <u>5</u> % 2-4	Family 5% Apts. % Condo	10% Commercial	Recreational Facilities		
% Industrial% Va	cant <u>%</u>		Adequacy of Utilities	1	
Change in Present Land Use 🛛 🛛 Not Lik	ely 🗌 Likely (*)	Taking Place (*)	Property Compatibility		
(*) From			Protection from Detrimenta	al Conditions	
Predominant Occupancy 🛛 🖄 Owner	Tenant	5 % Vacant	Police and Fire Protection		
Single Family Price Range \$ 40	to \$ 100 Predominant	Value \$ 65	General Appearance of Pro	perties	
Single Family Age 10_ y			Appeal to Market		

De Minimis PUD

Comments including those factors, favorable or unfavorable, affecting marketability (e.g. public parks, schools, view, noise): Subject is bound by Matthews to the North, Southwest Drive to the South, Main to the East, and Highway 63 to the West. The new Kindergarten Center is located due east of the subject property. No negative influences are noted.

Dimensions 28,314		_	17,213 Sq. Ft. or Acres	Corner Lot
Zoning classification			_ Present improvements 🖂 do 🗔	do not conform to zoning regulations
Highest and best use	🖂 Present use 📃 C	hter (specify)		
Public	Other (Describe)	OFF SITE IMPROVEMENTS	Topo Level	
Elec. 🛛	Stree	rt Access 🛛 🖂 Public 🗔 Private	Size Average	
Gas 🛛	Surfa	ace_Asphalt	Shape Rectangular	
Water 🖂	ater 🛛 🔄 Private V		View Average-Residential	
San. Sewer 🛛	X	Storm Sewer 🛛 🖂 Curb/Gutter	Drainage Average	
🗌 Un	derground Elect. & Tel. 🖂	Sidewalk Street Lights	is the property located in a HUD identified Speci	al Flood Hazard Area? 🛛 No 🗌 Yee
Comments (favorable or	unfavorable including any appar	ent adverse easements, encroachments, or	other adverse conditions): FEMA Map No	0. 05031C0131C
The undersigned has re-	ecited three recent sales of pr	operties most similar and proximate to f	subject and has considered these in the market ar	alvsis. The description includes a dollar
			ect and comparable properties. If a significant item	
			the indicated value of subject; if a significant item	in the comparable is inferior to or less
favorable than the sub	ject property, a plus (+) adju	stment is made thus increasing the ind	licated value of the subject.	
ITEM	SUBJECT PROPERTY	COMPARABLE NO. 1	COMPARABLE NO. 2	COMPARABLE NO. 3
Address 1708 W N		SEE	COMPARABLE	SALES
Proximity to Subject		T		
Sales Price	\$ N/A	<u> </u>	\$	\$
Price	\$	5		s
Data Source	×			
Date of Sale and	DESCRIPTION	DESCRIPTION +(-)\$ A	djust. DESCRIPTION + ()\$ Adjust	DESCRIPTION + (-)\$ Adjust.
Time Adjustment	N/A			
Location	Urban-Avg			
Site/View	17,213			
		t		
Sales or Financing Concessions				
	,, <u>, , </u>			
Net Adj. (Total)	·	│ 	+	+ - \$
Indicated Value of Subject		Not % S	Net % s	Net % %
Comments on Market	Data:			
Comments and Condit	tions of Appraisal:			
Final Reconciliation:	Just Compensation \$			
	HULLAND CERT	IFIC SELLIN		
	State Current Marine	and the state of t		
I ESTIMATE THE MA	RKET VALUE AS DEPARED.	OF SUBJECT PROPERTY AS OF	May 14 2002	to be \$ _1,367
	CERTIF	FIED In the second seco		
	OTHER .			
Bob Gibson, CG	0247 No. Cu		Did] Did Not Physically Inspect Property
Appraiser(s)	0247 No. C	Beview Appraiser (if appli	cable)	

,

•

Bob Gibson Appraisal Service Form AND HTM TOTAL for Windows" appraisal software by a la mode, inc. — 1-800-ALAMODE

COMPARABLE LAND SALES

LAND SALE NO. 1

Property Identification Record ID Property Type Property Name Address Location Tax ID	202 Commercial, Retail or Office Dollar General 106 Gee Street, Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas 72401 Gee Street 26617-0053
Sale Data	
Grantor	Gary Meadows
<u>Grantee</u>	M.J. Development of Jonesboro
Sale Date	September 25, 1998
Deed Book/Page	<u>565/654</u>
Property Rights	Fee simple
Conditions of Sale	Market negotiated
Financing	Cash to seller
Sale Price	<u>\$50.000</u>
Land Data	
Zoning	I-1 Industrial
<u>Topography</u>	Level
<u>Utilities</u>	All utilities available
Dimensions	<u>186.68 x 171.19 x 206.84 x 170</u>
Shape	Generally rectangular
Landscaping	None
<u>Parking</u>	None
Rail Service	Located next to railroad right of w
Fencing	None
Flood Info	Located in flood zone "AE"
<u>User 5</u>	No
<u>User 6</u>	2 lane asphalt
<u>User 7</u>	Moderate
Land Size Information	
Gross Land Size	0.768 Acres or 33.450 SF
Front Footage	187 ft Gee Street
<u>Indicators</u> Sale Price/Gross Acre	<u>\$65,112</u>
Sale Price/Gross SF	<u>\$1.49</u>
Sale Price/Front Foot	<u>\$267</u>
Sale I HEGH HOUL FOUL	JELL
Remarks The site was unimproved at time of	sale, but is presently developed with Dollar General Store.

LAND SALE NO. 2

Property Identification Record ID Property Type Property Name Address **Location** Tax ID Sale Data <u>Grantor</u> **Grantee** Sale Date Deed Book/Page Property Rights **Conditions of Sale** Financing Verification Sale Price Land Data Zoning Topography **Utilities Dimensions** Shape Landscaping Parking Rail Service **Fencing** Flood Info User 5 <u>User 6</u> User 7 Land Size Information Gross Land Size

•

Front Footage Indicators Sale Price/Gross Acre

Sale Price/Gross SF Sale Price/Front Foot

<u>203</u> **Commercial** Sonic Drive-in Gee Street, Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas 72401 Gee Street at Matthews 31994-0000 David Cook Jack Dewitt June 24, 1998 560/859 Fee simple Market negotiated Cash to seller **Donald Benson** \$115,000 C-3 Commercial <u>Level</u> All utilities available <u>100 x 177.5 x 112.5 x 37.5 x 15 x 15 x 140</u> <u>Irregular</u> None None

None None Not in flood hazard area Yes 2 lane asphalt with turn lane Moderate to heavy

0.427 Acres or 18.594 SF 178 ft Gee

<u>\$269.409</u> <u>\$6.18</u> <u>\$646</u>

LAND SALE NO. 3

Property Identification Record ID <u>40</u> Property Type Property Name Address Tax ID Sale Data Grantor <u>Grantee</u> Sale Date Deed Book/Page **Property Rights Conditions of Sale** Financing **Verification** Sale Price Upward Adjustment Adjusted Price Land Data Zoning Topography **Utilities Dimensions** Shape Landscaping Parking Rail Service Fencing Flood Info <u>User 5</u> User 6 <u>User 7</u> Land Size Information Gross Land Size Useable Land Size Front Footage **Indicators** Sale Price/Gross Acre Sale Price/Gross SF Sale Price/Useable Acre

• • • • •

> Commercial <u>Sonic</u> Gee Street, Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas 72401 31994, 31995, 31996, and 32004 Various Jack DeWitt and Benson Land Company June 24, 1998 <u>560/859-862</u> Fee Simple Market negotiated. Cash to seller Dallas Benson, 870-933-7185, 9/17/98; Confirmed by Fred Jaynes \$225,000 \$52,000 demolition/site work \$277.000 C-3 Commercial <u>Level</u> All City Irregular Irregular <u>N/A</u> N/A N/A <u>N/A</u> Not in flood hazard area Yes 2 lane asphalt with turn lane Moderate

0.865 Acres or 37.687 SF 0.000 Acres or SF 0.00% 188 ft Total Frontage: 188 ft Gee Street: 225 ft Matthews


<u>\$260,064 Actual or \$320,168 Adjusted</u> <u>\$5.97 Actual or \$7.35 Adjusted</u> <u>\$22,500,000,000 Actual or \$27,700,000,000 Adjusted</u> Sale #4 Location: 2007 Nettleton Ave. Grantor/Grantee: RSK Partnership/Stuart Dalrymple, et al Date: 09-14-94 Record: Parcel #25762-51 Size: .48 Acre (+-); 113 x 184 Sales Price: \$125,000.00 Price/Sq.ft.: \$6.01 \$1,106.19 Price/FrntFt.: This site was improved with a vacant restaurant building at the time of sale. Cost Comments: of removal was reported to be \$3,500.00. Sale #5 Location: Nettleton Ave. Grantor/Grantee: Brecklein/Toby Emerson Date: 04/02/93 Record: DR Bk/Pg 438/721 Size: 70 x 505.95 35,420 sq.ft. / .81 acre Sales Price: \$70,000 Price/Sq.ft.: \$1.98 Price/FmtFt.: \$1,000 <u>Sale #6</u> Location: Nettleton Ave. Brecklein/Fred Cathcart Grantor/Grantee: Date: 01/12/94 Parcel #12027-0001-0000 Record: Size: .68 Acre (+-) \$95,000 Sales Price: Price/Sq.ft.: \$3.23 Price/FrntFt.: \$828.97 Comments: Site of new Kinko's Copy Center. <u>Sale #7</u> 1631 E Nettleton Location: Grantor/Grantee: Wayne Hobbs to Toby Emerson 06/03/98 Date: Record: 559/768 155' x 150' 23,250 sq.ft. / .53 acre +-Size: Sales Price: \$150,000 Price/Sq.ft.: \$6.45 Comments: Property contained old block building - no value given. <u>Sale #8</u> 1406 Flint Location: Grantor/Grantee: Marvin Trusty to Aubrey Lindley 3-29-84 Date: DR bk/pg 309/480 Record: Size: 75 x 85 or 6,375 sq ft Sales Price: \$40,000 Price/Sq Ft: \$6.27 Comments: Part of subject site purchased to provide parking lot.

. .

The range in value from the above sales is from \$1.49 per square foot to \$6.45 per square foot. After adjustments for time of sale, size and location, a value of \$5.00 per square foot has been given our subject. Therefore, the value of the taking is 273.47 sq ft @ \$5 = \$1,367.

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client_CLIENT: City of Jonesboro						
Property Address 1708 W Nettleto	Property Address 1708 W Nettleton Ave					
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401-3635			
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott						




Ť


. .

Subject


1708 W Nettleton Ave Sales Price N/A Gross Living Area Total Rooms Total Bedrooms Total Bathrooms Location Urban-Avg View 17,213 Site Quality Age








· .





ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM <u>APPARENT* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS</u>

Borrower/C	lient CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
	1708 W Nettleton Ave
City Jone	esboro County_Craighead State_AR Zip code72401-363
Lender	City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
*Appar	rent is defined as that which is visible, obvious, evident or manifest to the appraiser.
	his universal Environmental Addendum is for use with any real estate appraisal. Only the statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply o the property being appraised.
	um reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumptions
	about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions. The appraiser is not an expert environmental nd therefore might be unaware of existing hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety and
	property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental
environmenta	al conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
3.	DRINKING WATER
	ng Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meets
Drinkir	hed standards is to have it tested at all discharge points. ng Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate pure
	can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing fixtures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does not
	in an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points.
<u> </u>	alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, lead-free Drinking Water.
Comments	
	SANITARY WASTE DISPOSAL
× Sanita	ry Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer system.
	uy Waste is followed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate and i
	working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
	alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alternate
treatm Comments	nent system in good condition.
COMINGINS	
	SOIL CONTAMINANTS
v Thorn	are no apparent signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and
	are no <u>apparent</u> signs or son contaminants or or near the subject property (except as reported in comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and g by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the
	rty that would negatively affect its safety and value.
<u>× </u>	alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.
Comments	
	ASBESTOS
·	
	part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of
	and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector.
	nprovements were constructed after 1979. No <u>apparent</u> friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
	alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hazardous Asbestos material on the property.
Comments	
	PCBs (POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS)
v There	were no apparent leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below).
	was no <u>apparent</u> visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except
	ported in Comments below).
<u> </u>	alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.
Comments	
	RADON
	ppraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below).
	ppraiser is not aware of any indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or Radium.
	ppraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction
•	osphate processing. alue estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Radon level is at or below EPA recommended levels.
<u> </u>	and administra in the shinardi le react at the statistical mer the liston is to relate the terministical state
Comments	

377	UST& (UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS)
x	
<u>^</u>	_There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would likely have had USTs.
X	"There are no apparent petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (exce as reported in Comments below).
	_There are apparent signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were
x	deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices. _The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are property registered and that any abandoned UST
~	The value contraction and were properly drained, filled and sealed.
Comm	ents
x	There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Sites are subject property (except as reported in Comments below).
<u>^</u>	search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.
x	_The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect th
	value or eafety of the property.
Comm	ents
	UREA FORMALDEHYDE (UFFT INSULATION
NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.
NA	The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	_The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.
Comm	ents
NA	All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no <u>apparent</u> visible or known documented evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA NA	is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
NA NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent Lead Paint was observed</u> (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents <u>AIR POLLUTION</u>
NA NA	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent Lead Paint was observed</u> (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents <u>AIR POLLUTION</u>
NA NA Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.
NA NA Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value eetimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x 	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. Air POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.
NA NA Comm x 	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the set is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. ents WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS The site does not contain any <u>apparent</u> Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/
NA NA Comm x 	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, wails or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value eetimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x X Comm x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x X Comm x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is tree of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x X Comm x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no <u>apparent</u> signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. ents WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AiR POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. ents WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the are no Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). ents MISCELLANEOUS ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS
NA NA Comm x x Comm x x Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x Comm x x Comm	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walk or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appreciable is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION The value estimated in this appreciable is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the ari is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appreciable is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appreciable is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/ Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraise is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraise is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on or in the area of the site except as indicated below Excess Noise MISCELLANEOUS ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS There are no other apparent miscelianeous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below Excess Noise Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Light Pollution
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. AIR POLLUTION There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that the re are no Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraised is baseed on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on or in the area of t
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Commerits below). The only way to be certain that the property is tree of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Commerits below). The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. ents
NA NA Comm x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Politition at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Politition. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polition. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polition. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polition. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Polition. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlanda/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below), entis There are no other apparent miscelareous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below Excess Noise Regress Noise Re
NA NA Comm x X Comm x x x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is tree of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in this appraised after 1980. Vogganzett Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Poliution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the alter is free of poliution is to have it tested. The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraised wetlands/FloOD Plakins The value estimated in this appraised wetlands/FloOD Plakins The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that the roperty is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraised by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/FloOd Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraised is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/FloOd Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this apparent wetlands. The value estimated in this apparent wetlands. The value estimated in the apparent we
NA NA Comm x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walks or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in this appraisel is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution. The value setimated in the appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains The value setimated in the appraisel is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains is to have it inscretarious inaccess and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below Excess Noise Regress Noise R
NA NA Comm x x x Comm x	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or cellings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is tree of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector. The value estimated in the appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property. There are no apparent signs of Air Poliation at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliation. There are no apparent signs of Air Poliation at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of poliution is to have it tested. WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Poliution. ents WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the roperty is free of Air Poliution. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the roperty is no of the only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/ Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below), ents MISCELLANEOUS ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS There are no other apparent miscelaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below Excess Noise Ratiation + Electromagnetic Radiation Up the Poliution Waste Heat Actic Mine Drainage Apricultural

DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

* Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by seliers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.

2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.

3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.

4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.

5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.

6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.

7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.

8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.

10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

.

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appralser certifies and agrees that:

. . . .

1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.

2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.

3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.

4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.

5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.

6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.

7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.

8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.

9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconcilitation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY ARPHAISED 708 W Nettleton Ave, Jonesboro, AR 72401-3835

APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature:	Signature:
Name: Bob Gibson, CG0247	Name:
Date Signed: May 14, 2002	Date Signed:
State Certification #: CG0247	State Certification #:
or State License #:	or State License #:
State: AR	State:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 6/30/2002	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
	Did Did Not Inspect Property

6 5				
• • C • •	_			
Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro			File No	
Property Address 1708 W Nettleton Ave				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code_72401-3635	
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Sco	t			

APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

This Appraisal conforms to one of the following definitions:
Complete Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision.
Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision.
This Report is <u>one</u> of the following types:
Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.
- No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report

STATE CERTIFIED GENERAL No. CG0247 Bob Gibson, CG024 SOB L. GIBS Mannan and And

QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

POSITION: Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401Telephone: (870) 932-5206.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and real estate concerns, 1965 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990.

EDUCATION:

۲

3

e 🍦 e S 🐞

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkaneas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkaneas, May 10, 1995.

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants.

Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers.

CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION:

State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991.

State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS:

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oli Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.