

The suggestion I'll make is tied to property code effects if the ordinance passes with exterior only. I don't see how some occupants of these dwellings won't be displaced by the implementation of any code. We can help. Partnering with the city on Tenant Education (this is the thing Todd and I talked about) I think joins us in a common goal to eliminate blighted areas and those that live there. Is that not the goal of the ordinance? Landlord association has vacancies they want filled but by good reliable tenants. Some tenants living in poverty are there because they are not desirable to good landlords, have bad tenant records, the so they turn to housing that equals their status. Blighted areas. Educating tenants on their rights as well as responsibilities may convert an undesirable tenant to a good responsible tenant who no longer has "no choice" but to live in substandard housing.

Dose that fit with the qualification of discussing the ordinance being considered?

It may surprise you but I got overwhelming support for exterior only from the landlord association. Only 2 no-code at all votes. I worked from the time we met, sending emails and making phone calls and all weekend to secure it. At our last NEA landlord meeting I asked for a show of hands who supported the entire code. Not one hand went up. About 12 said would support exterior. With help from Todd on the advantages of landlords supporting a code, I got overwhelming support for exterior from landlords. I know Todd supports interior too and I think he felt deceived when I asked for help. My mistake and unintended. I retrospect I should have told him I was going to use it to build support for exterior but it didn't dawn on me he was not aware of the comprise offer.

Is the comprise off the table?
I sure hope I didn't waste my time and efforts.

Let me know one way or the other. Call me if need to discuss. A lot of time tones don't come across well in emails.

Sent from my iPad

> On Dec 8, 2015, at 8:08 AM, Harold Perrin <HPerrin@jonesboro.org> wrote:
>
> Thanks. I was going to send John the same information.
>
>> On Dec 8, 2015, at 8:07 AM, Chris Moore <chrismooreplumbing@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> John, thank you for your willingness to compromise, as a point of order you will only be able to speak on the ordinance being considered .
>>
>> Chris Moore
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>>> On Dec 8, 2015, at 7:56 AM, John Hardin <jmhardin@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> Please accept my request for 15 minutes separate time on the agenda to speak at the next city council meeting to discuss the NEA Landlords Associations

position on the proposed property code. I'll also make a suggestion on how we can work together to eliminate blight that should make everyone that is truly sincere about solving the problem happy.

>>>

>>> Thank you

>>> John Hardin

>>> NEA Landlord Association President.

>>> 870-761-9065

>>>

>>>

>>> Sent from my iPad