AGREEMENT

144

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C.

Duffel hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part and the City of Jonesboro, MATA

Department, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 517 West Nettleton Avenue, Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 20.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$1,296.00 for Permanent R.O.W.
- 2. Remove old concrete from old driveway to new R.O.W. line
- 3. Construct new concreted driveway to new R.O.W. line
- 4. Remove old concrete walkway to new R.O.W. line
- 5. Construct new concrete walkway to new R.O.W. line
- 6. Construct new concrete sidewalk for width of property
- 7. Landscape and resod all area disturbed by construction

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all encumbrance with

the exception of Union Hanters Bank

This agreement is executed on this the May of 1

D\$7.

DIRECTOR - MATA

CITY OF JONESBORQ, MATA DEPT.

Pricilia Dullei

SEAL

OFFICIAL SEAL
J. HARRY HARDWICK
NOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS
CRAIGHEAD COUNTY

TY PUMICIAMENT HARDWICK
MOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS
FINAIGHE AG COUNTY
A MOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS
FINAIGHE AG COUNTY
A MOTARY PUBLIC ARKANSAS
AND A MOTARY PUBLIC ARKANSAS
AND A MOTARY PUBLIC ARKANSAS
AND A MOTARY PUBLIC ARKANSAS

2014

517 West Nettleton Avenue Parcel #20 DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 935

Right-of-Way

Whereas, Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, is the owner of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, and city on day of day of 1. Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city,

1. Morris F. Duffel and Pficilla C. Duffel, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land of Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:

DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

PART OF LOTS 13, 14, 15 AND 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #48, PAGE #2 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, THENCE SOUTH 0°25'43" WEST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16, AFORESAID, 9.27 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°14'06" WEST 90.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 0°25'43" EAST 8.74 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF BLOCK "H" AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 89°34'19" EAST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 90.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 0.019 ACRES, (810.420 SQUARE FEET).

3014

DEED BOOK 640 PAGE 936

- 2. Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.
- 3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.
- 4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel.

Morris F. Duffel and Prigilla C. Duffel

STATE OF ARKANSAS

COUNTY OF

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

On this day before me, the undersigned officer, personally appeared Morris F. Duffel and Pricilla C. Duffel, to me well known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they had executed the same for the purposes therein stated and set forth.

20th

WITNESS my hand and seal this

NOTARY PUBLIC

_day of _

OFFICIAL SEAL J. HARRY HARDWICK MOTARY PUBLIC-ARKANSAS
CRAIC-HAP COUNTY

THE EXPRESS 10-14-2010

Mccember, 2002.

PLAT OF SURVEY

WEST NETTLETON AVENUE

N.E. COR. OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD
ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS.

S89°34'19"E

PROPOSED RIGHT-OF-WAY UNE


N.B. COR. OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD
ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, ARKANSAS.

▲ESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

ASSUMED

PART OF LOTS 13, 14, 15 AND 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #48, PAGE #2 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER, BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 16 IN BLOCK "H" OF COLE'S THIRD ADDITION TO THE CITY OF JONESBORO, CRAIGHEAD COUNTY, ARKANSAS, THENCE SOUTH 0°25'43" WEST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 16, AFORESAID, 9.27 FEET; THENCE NORTH 89°14'06" WEST 90.00 FEET; THENCE NORTH 0°25'43" EAST 8.74 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF BLOCK "H" AFORESAID; THENCE SOUTH 89°34'19" EAST, ALONG SAID NORTH LINE, 90.00 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING, 0.019 ACRES, (810.420 SQUARE FEET).



MORRIS F.DUFFEL AND PRICILLA C. DUFFEL 517 WEST NETTLETON AVENUE DEED RECORD #636, PAGE #88



TROY L. SHEETS SURVEYING "WE SURVEY THE EARTH" 205 WARNER * P.O. BOX 1672 JONESBORO, ARKANSAS 72403 PH. 870/935-2630 FAX 870/935-1263 CLIENT CITY OF JONESBORO DATE 11-28-2000 SCALE 1"=20' 99171WN20# REVISED DATE 11-15-2002

~C

BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants
420 W. Jefferson
P. O. Box 3071
Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

DATE:

October 30, 2000

TO:

Aubrey Scott

FROM:

Bob Gibson

RE:

517 W Nettleton

In the appraisal request we received from the City of Jonesboro for 517 W Nettleton, Solan Howard Lott, Jr is shown as the owner. The tax records indicate Donald Jones as the owner. According to tax records, Jones purchased property from Lott on 9-14-99. Further research may be needed.

	1
	_
ADDRAIGAL OF REAL PROPERTY	
APPRAISAL OF REAL PROPERTY	
LOCATED AT: 517 W Nettleton	
Lots 14, 15, 16 and Pt of 13 Block H Coles 3rd Addition	
Jonesboro, AR 72401	
FOR:	
City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott 4110 Lindberg Drive, Jonesboro AR 72401	
The Emissing Shive, consession with 12 to	
AS OF:	
October 12, 2000	
BY:	
Bob Gibson, CG0247	

BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

October 12, 2000

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 4110 Lindberg Jonesboro, AR 72401

> Re: 517 W Nettleton Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of October 12, 2000, and find the market value to be \$24,480. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening Nettleton Street. The remaining value is \$23,184 or a difference of \$1,296 which is the just compensation due the owner.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

Sincerely,

SINCELETY SASA MINIMUM AND CER

Bob@NesBA,

MINIOS L. GIBSON

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Ave. The subject at 517 W Nettleton will lose a tract of land: 810.420 sq ft.

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the tax assessed value of \$74,420 has not been used. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of October 12, 2000

Value Before Taking:

15,300 sq ft x 1.60 = 24,480

Improvements:

NA

Land:

\$24,480

\$24,480

Value After Taking:

 $15,300 \text{ sq ft} - 810 \text{ sq ft } \times \$1.60 = \$23,184$

Improvements:

NA

Land:

\$23,184

\$23,184

Difference is the just compensation or \$1,296

SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

	Subject Address	517 W Nettleton
	Legal Description	Lots 14, 15, 16 and Pt of 13 Block H Coles 3rd Addition
01:	City	Jonesboro
SUBJECT NF6PMLTION	County	Craighead
07 11/FC	State	AR
SUBJE	Zip Code	72401
H	Census Tract	NA
	Map Reference	NA ·
PRICE	Sale Price	\$ NA
SALES PRICE	Date of Sale	NA
H	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
CLIENT	Lender	City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott
ı	Size (Square Feet)	
ψ»	Price per Square Foot	
OF UMPROVEMENTS	Location	Urban-Avg
UNPRO	Age	
	Condition	
DESCRIPTION	Total Rooms	
DE	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
ISER	Appraiser	Bob Gibson, CG0247
APPRAISER	Date of Appraised Value	October 12, 2000
VALUE	Final Estimate of Value	1,296 - Just Compensation

LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

Ţ	! D	•					File N	0
Mmary Apprais					Cens	us Tract NA	Map Reference	
Borrower CLIENT Property Address 5								
City Jonesboro	I A MAINER	J.O.	County C	Craighead	S	tate AR	Zip Code 7	2401
enal Description 1	ots 14, 15	16 and Pt of 13	Block H Coles 3rd					
Sale Price \$ NA	300 141 10 ₁	Date of Sale NA		n NA yrs.	Property	Rights Appraised 🛛	Fee Leasel	hold 🔲 De Minimis PU
Actual Real Estate Ta	AN 2 sex	(yr)	Loan charges to be pa	id by seller \$ NA	Other sa	les concessions NA		
ender/Client <u>City</u>	of Jonesb	oro - Mr Aubrey	Scott	Addres	s 4110 Lindi	perg Drive, Jonesbo		
ccupant Donald	Jones	Appraiser	Bob Gibson, CG02	47Instru	ictions to Apprais	er Before Value/Afte	er Value	
ocation		⊠ Urban	Suburban	Rur	-			Good Avg. Fair Poor
Built Up		🔯 Over 75%	25% to 75%	6 🔲 Unk	ter 25%	Employment Stability		
rowth Rate	Fully Dev.	Rapid	Steady	Sko	W	Convenience to Emplo	•	
Property Values	- •	Increasing	Stable	Dec	clining	Convenience to Shopp	-	
Demand/Supply		Shortage	🔀 In Balance	U Ove	ersupply	Convenience to Schoo		
Aarketing Time		Under 3 Mos			er 6 Mos.	Adequacy of Public Tra	ansportation	
Present Land Use	_80% 1 Fam	ily <u> 5</u> % 2-4 Fam	illy <u>5</u> % Apts	% Condo <u>10</u> %	Commercial	Recreational Facilities		무용 무무
-	% Indust		<u> </u>			Adequacy of Utilities		
hange in Present La	nd Use	Not Likely	Likely (*)		ding Place (*)	Property Compatibility Protection from Detrim	ental Canditions	
		(*) From	Tenant	To 5 % Va		Police and Fire Protect		
redominant Occupa				edominant Value \$		General Appearance of		
ingle Family Price R	arge	10 yrs. to			50 yrs.	Appeal to Market	Troporado	
ingle Family Age				IIII III A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	<u></u> yis.	Apposito manor		
ammanta laakudina	those factors	favorable or unfavo	rable affection markets	hiliby (e.g. nublic n:	arke echande via	w, noise): Subject is	bound by Ma	tthews to the north.
diablend to the	eouth Mair	to the east an	d Gee Street to the	e west. No nec	ative influenc	es are noted.		
ing/inaria to are t	Journ I Ivian	to allo odol, die						
imensions 90'x	170'			=	15,300	Sq. Ft. or Acres		Corner Lot
Coning classification		le Family Resid	ential		Present Impo		do not conform	to zoning regulations
lighest and best use			er (specify)					
Public	Other (D	escribe)	OFF SITE IMPROVEM		o_Level			
ilec.			Access 🔀 Public		Average			
Sas 🔯			Asphalt		pe <u>Rectangula</u>			
Water 🔀		Mainte			Average-R			
San, Sewer 🔀	. 				nage <u>Average</u>			
	Inderground E					ted in a HUD identified		
Comments (favorable (or unfavorable i	ncluding any apparer	it adverse easements, enc	roachments, or other	adverse condition	s): <u>FEMA Map I</u>	No. 05031 <u>C</u> 01	31C.
						dered these in the market		
acquistment renecting to or more favorable	market reactive than the subjection	on to those items of act property a minu	" Signincant Variabon bet s (-) adjustment is madr	ween the subject a a thus raducing the	no comparable p Indicated value o	roperties. If a significant it if subject; If a significant it	em in the compara tem in the compara	able property is superior while is inferior to or less
favorable than the su	bject property	, a plus (+) adjustr	nent is made thus increa	sing the indicated	value of the subje	ct.		
ITEM	SUBJE	CT PROPERTY	COMPARABL	.E NO. 1	COM	MPARABLE NO. 2	CO	MPARABLE NO. 3
Address 517 VV N	lettleton		SEE		COMPARA		SALES	
Jonesbo	го				ļ			
Proximity to Subject		3.10			000000000000000000000000000000000000000		200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
ales Price	\$	NA		\$		\$		<u> </u>
rice	\$	P		1\$		<u> </u>		
ata Source	Inspection							
ate of Sale and		CRIPTION	DESCRIPTION	+(-)\$ Adjust	. DESCRIP	TION + (-)\$ Adjus	st DESCRIP	TION + ()\$ Adjus
ime Adjustment	NA						ļ <u>.</u>	
ocation	Urban-A						<u> </u>	
te <u>/View</u>	15,300 s	q ft/Res			ļ			
				- !	ļ		 	
	 				<u> </u>			
	 				ļ		_	
	 						<u> </u>	-
ales or Financing	NA			}		•		
oncessions	200000000000000000000000000000000000000			1	 		 	
et Adj. (Total)			+ -	\$	+	<u>- ; \$</u>	+	- :\$
dicated Value Subject								
	Det		(1.7)	3		\$	8.5	\$
omments on Market	Data:						·	
								
	Mana -4 A							
omments and Condi	WOULD OU ADDIS	mai						
nal Reconciliation:	Just Cor	npensation \$1,2	296					
ing noccitionaliciti	JUST COL	iiperisauvit 🗗 📊						
!!	AS APPRIL							
ESTIMATE THE	CINICA	DEFINED OF	SUBJECT PROPERTY	/ AS OF		tober 12 20 00	to be \$ 1,296	
Silling Saturd		The state of the s	- Joseph FROTER!		0		_ 10 UG 4 _1,280	<u></u>
	STATE		P SUBJECT PROPERTY		•.			
					100	□ v:-	Did that pure	nolly Incoment Press 4-
					WL	Did	DIO NOT Physic	cally Inspect Property
ppraiser(s) G	. CG0247	/**	Heview Appr	aiser (it applicable)		; inc. — 1-800-ALAMOD		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
] WALL	الاستان الاستان		8	iob Gibson Apprais	al Service			
Allin A Tomas	Manual Manual Manual Man	Form LND —	TOTAL 2000 for Windo	ws" appraisal softw	are by a la mode	, inc. — 1-800-ALAMOD	E	
Marile	Grand	arit.						
****	-maniference.							

COMPARABLE SALES

CLUB MANOR

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer:

Sales Price:

Date: Record:

Size: Price/Sq Ft:

Legal:

Troutt to Hill

\$116,000 4/3/92 420/267

\$2.66 Lot 5

1.0 acre

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer:

Sales Price:

Date: Record:

Size: Price/Sq Ft:

Legal:

Troutt to McKee

\$85,000 4/8/95

483/323 1.0 acre

\$1.95 Lot 2

IVY GREEN

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer: Sales Price:

Date:

Record:

Size:

Price/Sq Ft:

Legal:

Henry to Elrod \$50,000

5/13/98 558/774

.70 acre/30,492 sq ft \$1.63

Lot 9

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer: Sales Price:

Mercantile Bank to Parkey \$45,000

Date: Record:

6/26/92 425/021

Size: Price/Sq Ft:

1.05acre/43,560 sq ft \$1.03

Legal:

Lot 17

Sale #3

Seller/Buyer: Sales Price:

Mantooth to Corcoran \$50,000

Date:

1/30/97

Record: Size:

528/217

Price/Sq Ft: Legal:

.73 acre \$1.57 Lot 16

Other Sales

SALE #1:

Grantor/Grantee:

Roy Shepherd/Ric Miles

Record:

Parcel 27330

Date:

10-99

Sale Price:

\$28,000.00

Price/sq.ft.

\$1.85

Location:

715-717 W Monroe

Sq.Ft.:

117' x 130' or 15,210 sq ft

Comments:

House removed. Multi-family zoned.

SALE #2:

Grantor/Grantee:

M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Guy Barksdale

Record:

Bk/Pg 557/535

Date:

4-98

Sale Price:

\$13,500.00

Price/sq.ft.

\$1.99

Location:

620 Elm

Sq.Ft.:

42.5' x 160'

SALE #3:

Grantor/Grantee:

M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Wayne Nichols

Record:

Bk/Pg 557/533

Date:

4-98

Sale Price:

\$13,500

Price/sq.ft.

\$1.99

Location:

620 Elm

Sq.Ft.: Comments: 42.5' x 160'
Sale #9 is the other half of this same lot.

After reviewing and adjusting the above sales for time of sale, location, and size, a value of \$1.60 has been placed on our subject.

Therefore, (before taking) $1.60 \times 15{,}300 \text{ sq ft} = 24{,}480$ (After Taking) $1.60 \times 14{,}490 \text{ sq ft} = 23{,}184$

Just Compensation is difference or \$1,296

Subject Photo Page

Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
Property Address 517 W Nettleton
City Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip Code 72401
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott



Subject


Sales Price NA
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms
Location Urban-Avg
View 15,300 sq ft/Res
Site
Quality


517 W Nettleton

Age



Subject Street






ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM

APPARENT* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS


Address 517 W Nettleton						
City Jonesboro	County Craig	head State	AR	Zip code	72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro						
-,,	which is visible, obvious, evid					
this Universal Environment to the property being app	ntal Addendum is for use with any raised.	real estate appraísal. (inly the statement	s which have t	een checked by the	appraiser apply
This addendum reports the results of					unding area. It aiso	states what assumptions
were made about the existence (or m <u>Inspector</u> and therefore might be un					The appraiser	not an expert environ
value of the property. It is possible to	nat tests and inepections made by	a qualified environment	al inspector would	d reveal the ext	stance of hazardous	ve enect on the salety at materials and/or detrime
environmental conditions on or aroun	d the property that would negative	ly affect its safety and	raiue.			
			X (2.15) (1.15)			
Orinking Water is supplied to the property of the property	ne subject from a municipal water it tested at all discharge points,	supply which is consid	ered safe. Howev	er the only way	to be absolutely ce	rtain that the water meet
	n esteu at an decharge points. I well or other non-municipal soun	ce. It is recommended	that tests be mad	ie to be certain	that the property is:	supplied with adequate or
water.						•
X Lead can get into drinking water contain an unacceptable lead in	evel is to have it tested at all disch	arge points.				ertain that water does no
XThe value estimated in this ap	praisal is besed on the assumption	on that there is an adec	juste supply of se	rfe, lead-free (rinking Water.	
omments						
		400km/60004mm/amaanaanaanaanaan				-
Control No. 1						
Sanitary Waste is removed from Sanitary Waste is disposed of t	n the property by a municipal sew by a septic system or other sanitar	of system. V on siln wastn disnos:	al coverbane. The and	ke samu ko elakar	reina that the allance	
Soor Mount Contration to the	and it inchacted by 9 dinstilled iusbi	ector.				
The value estimated in this approximent system in good con-	praisal is besed on the assumptio sition.	n that the Sanitary Wa	ste is disposed of	l by a municipa	al sewer or en adequ	ute properly permitted a
omments				_		
***************************************						=
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing	tithiant property (manage				
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this app	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption	ubject property (except and/or potential hazar	as reported in Co dous substances orty in free of Soil	omments belov and/or detrime	r). It is possible that ntal environmental c	
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this apportunits	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raised is besed on the assumption	subject property (except and/or potential hazar	as reported in Co dous substances arty is free of Soli	omments belov and/or detrime Contaminants	r). It is possible than ntal environmental co	research, inspection and inditions on or around th
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this apportunity.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal edisting ffect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption	subject property (except) and/or potential hazar	as reported in Co dous substances arty is free of Soil	omments belov and/or detrime Contaminants	r). It is possible than ntal environmental co	research, inspection and orditions on or around th
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who	subject property (except) and/or potential hazar	as reported in Co dous substances arty is free of Soil	omments belov and/or detrime Contaminants	r). It is possible than ntal environmental co	research, inspection and orditions on or around th
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. A The improvements were construed.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raisel is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it	n Asbestos was a con	as reported in Co dous substances arty is free of Soli arron building mai inspector.	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants torial. The only	r). It is possible that ntal environmental co	research, inspection and inditions on or around the
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. A The improvements were construed.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raisel is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it	n Asbestos was a con	as reported in Co dous substances arty is free of Soli arron building mai inspector.	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants torial. The only	r). It is possible that ntal environmental co	research, inspection and inditions on or around the
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximents A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos The improvements were construit. The value estimated in this approximated in this approximat	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, releal is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alsoil is based on the assumption	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper of Asbestos was a con oy a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soil amon building mainspector. red (except as replained frigite Aeb	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants torial. The only	r). It is possible that ntal environmental co	research, inspection and inditions on or around the
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme properly that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed in this appointments.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing fiect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alsoil is based on the assumption	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper of Asbestos was a con ey a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soli amon building mainspector. red (except as replained friable Aeb	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants Contaminants terial. The only orted in Comments orted in Comments	r). It is possible that nital environmental control environmental envi	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme properly that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed in this appointments. The value estimated in this appointments.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing frect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alsoil is based on the assumption	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper of Asbestos was a con or Asbestos was a con or a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was observed that there is no uncon	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soli imon building mainspector. red (except as replained friable Aeb	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants terial. The only orted in Commente entire or other	r). It is possible that it is possible to be certain the crits below).	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property.
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme properly that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed in this appointments. The value estimated in this appointments.	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing frect its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alsoil is based on the assumption	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper of Asbestos was a con or Asbestos was a con or a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was observed that there is no uncon	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soli imon building mainspector. red (except as replained friable Aeb	omments below and/or detrime Contaminants terial. The only orted in Commente entire or other	r). It is possible that it is possible to be certain the crits below).	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property.
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this appointments A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this appropriate teaking from the way as no apparent visible or as reported in Comments below?	Soil Contaminants on or near the s ntal inspector would reveal existing ffect its safety and value, raisel is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cled after 1979. No apparent friable alsoil is based on the assumption forescent light ballasts, capacitors documented evidence known to the	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper on Asbestos was a con oy a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon or transformers anywite or transformers anywite	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soil imon building mai inspector, ed (except as rep tained friable Aeb	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants torial. The only corted in Comminator entire or other the property (emination from)	way to be certain the ents below). https://www.cept.as.reported.in	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property.
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this approximates were no apparent leaking further was no apparent visible or as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this approximate in this	Soil Contaminants on or near the sontal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, relead is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable also based on the assumption documented evidence known to the issue is based on the assumption that is based on the assumption to	or transformers anywise appraiser of soil or g	as reported in Codous substances orty is free of Soil amon building mainspector, red (except as replained friable Aeb	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants torial. The only corted in Comminator entire or other the property (emination from)	way to be certain the ents below). https://www.cept.as.reported.in	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property.
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this approximates were no apparent leaking further was no apparent visible or as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this approximate in this	Soil Contaminants on or near the sontal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, relead is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable also based on the assumption documented evidence known to the issue is based on the assumption that is based on the assumption to	or transformers anywise appraiser of soil or g	as reported in Codous substances orty is free of Soil amon building mainspector, red (except as replained friable Aeb	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants torial. The only corted in Comminator entire or other the property (emination from)	way to be certain the ents below). https://www.cept.as.reported.in	research, inspection and conditions on or around the conditions of or around the conditions of the property is free of a material on the property.
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this approximates were no apparent leaking furthere was no apparent visible or as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this approximates.	Soil Contaminants on or near the satal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, raised is based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested it ched after 1979. No apparent friabilitation is based on the assumption documented evidence known to the ised is based on the assumption to the ised is the inspected and tested to the ised is	and/or potential hazar of that the aubject proper on Asbestos was a con oy a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon or transformers anywi	as reported in Codous substances only is free of Soil imon building mai inspector, red (except as rep tained friable Aeb	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants torial. The only corted in Comminator or other the property (emination from I	way to be certain the ents below). https://www.cept as reported in PCBs anywhere on the ents.	research, inspection and inditions on or around the property is free of a material on the property of the property of the property (correctly (
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this approximates was no apparent leaking from the was no apparent leaking from the was no apparent leaking from the value estimated in this approximates. The value estimated in this approximates.	Soil Contaminants on or near the sental inspector would reveal existing flect its safety and value, raised in based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested total after 1979. No apparent friable also is based on the assumption discoursement light ballasts, capacitors documented evidence known to the ised is based on the assumption to its last is based on the assumption to the second tested after 1979.	or transformers anywher appraiser of soil or g	as reported in Codous substances Priy is free of Soil Priy is fr	contaminants Contaminants Contaminants terial. The only corted in Commission or other the property (emination from I	way to be certain the ents below). https://www.actions.com/ https://ww	t reasarch, inspection and conditions on or around the property is free of a material on the propert Comments below).
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this appropriate and non-friable Asbestos. A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this appropriate was no apparent leaking from the was no apparent visible or as reported in Comments below). The value estimated in this appraisance appraisance of any from the appraisance is not aware of any from the appraisance is not	Soil Contaminants on or near the sintal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, raised in based on the assumption were constructed before 1979 who is to have it inspected and tested total after 1979. No apparent friable also is based on the assumption discussed in based on the assumption to the ised is based on the assumption to the ised in based on the assumption that is based on the assumption to the ised in the ised on the assumption that the ised on the subject profession the subject profession the subject profession the subject profession that the ised on the subject profession the subjec	or transformers anywher appraiser of soil or go hat there are no uncorr	as reported in Codous substances Privile free of Soil Innon building mainspector, and (except as replained frieble Aeb Innon or nearby roundwater contact tained PCBe on a	contaminants Contaminants Contaminants terial. The only corted in Commination from I	way to be certain the ents below). Macardous Asbesto Company.	at the property is free of material on the property (except
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed in this approximates. The value estimated in this approximates are ported in Comments below). The value estimated in this approximates. The value estimated in this approximates. The appraiser is not aware of any in the appraiser is not aware of any in the appraiser is not aware of any in or phosphate processing.	Soil Contaminants on or near the sontal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, value, value, is to have it inspected and tested it to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alone is besed on the secumption it is based on the secumption to the secumption to the secumption to the secumption that is based on the secumption to the secumption that the local water supplication the supplication that the local water supplication that th	and/or potential hazar of that the subject proper or Asbestos was a con by a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon that there are no uncon hat there are no uncon that there are no uncon the there are no uncon	as reported in Codous substances orly is free of Soil amon building mai imspector. red (except as rep tained friable Aeb lere on or nearby roundwater contar tained PCBe on e	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants terial. The only orted in Comm entire or other the property (emination from I or nearby the population of Radon committees of Radon committees of Radon committees of Radon committy are used	way to be certain the ents below). hezerdous Asbeste in Comments below). roperty.	at the property is free of material on the property (except
There are no apparent signs of testing by a qualified environme property that would negatively a The value estimated in this approximates A All or part of the improvements of triable and non-friable Asbestos. The improvements were constructed. The value estimated in this approximates was no apparent leaking from the was no apparent leaking from the was no apparent leaking from the value estimated in this approximates. The value estimated in this approximates.	Soil Contaminants on or near the sontal inspector would reveal existing feet its safety and value, value, value, is to have it inspected and tested it to have it inspected and tested it cted after 1979. No apparent friable alone is besed on the secumption it is based on the secumption to the secumption to the secumption to the secumption that is based on the secumption to the secumption that the local water supplication the supplication that the local water supplication that th	and/or potential hazar of that the subject proper or Asbestos was a con by a qualified asbestos of Asbestos was obsen that there is no uncon that there are no uncon hat there are no uncon that there are no uncon the there are no uncon	as reported in Codous substances orly is free of Soil amon building mai imspector. red (except as rep tained friable Aeb lere on or nearby roundwater contar tained PCBe on e	conteminants Conteminants Conteminants terial. The only orted in Comm entire or other the property (emination from I or nearby the population of Radon committees of Radon committees of Radon committees of Radon committy are used	way to be certain the ents below). hezerdous Asbeste in Comments below). roperty.	at the property is free of material on the property (except

x	There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would
x	likely have had USTsThere are no <u>apparent</u> petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (except
	as reported in Comments below).
	There are <u>apparent</u> signs of USTs existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained to determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were
	deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
<u> </u>	The value estimated in this appraise is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned USTs free from contamination and were properly drained, filled and sealed.
Comm	nents
	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
<u> </u>	There are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Site
x	search by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively effect the
	value or eafety of the property.
Comm	vords
NA	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the
NA	property is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspectorThe improvements were constructed after 1982. No <u>apparent</u> UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).
	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the accumption that there is no eignificant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.
Comm	
COMMI	MAIS
NA	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented
	evidence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property is free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
	_The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no flaking or peeling Lead Paint on the property.
Comm	ents
66363333 5	
X	There are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.
x	_The value estimated in this appraisal is besed on the secumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.
Comm	
	VEH AND SHEET REAL PROPERTY OF THE SHEET S
x	_The site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/
x	Flood Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional. The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except se reported in Comments below).
Comm	entsents
	THE CONTROL OF THE SECOND SECO
X	There are no other <u>apparent</u> miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below: Excess Noise
	Radiation + Electromagnetic Radiation
	Light Pollution Waste Heat
	Acid Mine Drainage
	Agricultural Pollution
	Geological Hazards
	Infectious Medical Wastes
	Others (Chemical Storage & Storage Drume Binelines etc.)
	Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.)
X	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Miscellaneous environmental Hazards (except those reported above) that would
	negatively affect the value of the property.
	When any of the environmental accumptions made in this addendum are not correct, the estimated value in this appraisal may not be valid.



.

*



DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE: The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

*Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.
- 5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.
- 6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, took substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, tooks substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.
- 8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- 9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgage or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

Freddle Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 1 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 1004B 6-93

APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

52 M e . *

- 1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.
- 2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.
- 3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.
- 4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, familial status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.
- 5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.
- 6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.
- 7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.
- 8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.
- 9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that:

I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED: 517 W Nettl	eton, Jonesboro, AR 72401
APPRAISER	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature STATE STATE	Signature:
Name: Bold Gibson, CG0247	Name:
Date Signed October 12, 2000	Date Signed:
State Certification #: CGU247	State Certification #:
or State Literise 14: No. CG0241	or State License #:
State Certification # C G 0247 or State Likerise #: No. C G 0247 State: AR	State:
State: ARISE Expiration Date of Application Of Crease: 6/30/2001	Expiration Date of Certification or License:
The state of the s	☐ Did ☐ Did Not Inspect Property

Fannie Mae Form 10048 6-93

Borrower CLIENT: City of Jonesboro			File No.	
Property Address 517 W Nettleton				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro - Mr Aubrey Scott				

APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

This Appraisal conforms to one of the following definitions: □ Complete Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision. □ Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision. This Report is one of the following types: □ Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. □ Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. □ Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. □ Restricted Report Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:
The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision. This Report is one of the following types: Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
 Self Contained Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1. Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that
 is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with
 respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.

 No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.

emit Hidmond, Trainer

Bob Gibson, CGQ247

QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

POSITION:

1 m = - -

Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant,

420 W. lefferson, lonesboro, Arkansas, 72401

Telephone: (870) 932-5206.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area

financial and real estate concerns, 1965 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from

1975 to 1990.

EDUCATION:

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State

University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington,

Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real

Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost

Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines – Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 – Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock,

Arkansas.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal

Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May 10, 1995.

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants.

Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers.

CERTIFICATION AND

DESIGNATION: State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991.

State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS:

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.