#### **AGREEMENT**

This agreement is entered into on this date by and between **Danon C. Fisher** hereinafter referred to as "party of the first part" and the **City of Jonesboro**, **MATA Department**, hereinafter referred to as "party of the second part."

# WITNESSETH:

The party of the first part is the owner of certain property at 707 West Nettleton Avenue, Jonesboro, Arkansas, Parcel Number 40.

The party of the second part is in the process of improving West Nettleton Avenue.

The party of the second part has agreed to the following requests made by the party of the first part.

- 1. To be paid the sum of \$ 1,094.00
- 2. Remove existing public sidewalk.
- 3. Remove existing concrete steps and sidewalk back to new RO.W. line
- 4. Remove existing public sidewalk on east side of Lamar Place south to first driveway.
- 5. Remove gum tree located on east side of Lamar Place and in existing street Right-of-way.
- 6. Grade from back of new sidewalk on Nettleton, use 3:1 mowable slope.
- 7. Construct new 5' wide concrete public walk across width of property and on east side of Lamar Place south to first driveway.
- 8. Construct new concrete steps and walk to new R.O.W. line.
- 9. Solid sod new terraced area and areas disturbed by construction.
- 10. See attached drawing and photos.

The above said agreed amount to be paid shall be free and clear of any and all emcumbrance with
the exception of None.
This agreement is executed on this the 13th day of 1eh , 2002

Daum C. Dishur

Danon C. Fisher

CITY OF JONESBORO, MATA DEPT.

OFFICIAL SEAL

J. HARRY HARDWICK

BY:

DIRECTOR - MAT

DEED BOOK 627 PAGE 408

# 707 West Nettleton Avenue Parcel #40

### Right-of-Way

Whereas, Danon C. Fisher, are the owners of land in Craighead County, Arkansas described below, and the City of Jonesboro, a municipal corporation of the State of Arkansas, is the owner of adjoining land;

Whereas, Danon C. Fisher, and city have agreed upon a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street across the land of Danon C. Fisher, in favor of city and in favor of the land of city.

Now, Therefore, be it agreed between Danon C. Fisher, and city on 13th. day of 48th. 30028444

1. Danon C. Fisher, in consideration of the agreement hereinafter made by city, grants to city a right-of-way for construction and maintenance of a street over the land Danon C. Fisher, in City of Jonesboro, Craighead County, Arkansas, or particularly described as follows:


#### DESCRIPTION OF PERMANENT RIGHT-OF-WAY

PART OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK "A" OF HOLLYWOOD ADDITION TO JONESBORO, ARKANSAS AS RECORDED IN DEED BOOK #48, PAGE #101 IN THE OFFICE OF THE CIRCUIT COURT CLERK AND EX-OFFICIO RECORDER BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGIN AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN BLOCK "A" OF HOLL WOOD ADDITION TO JONESBORO, ARKANSAS; THENCE NORTH 89°15'05" BASI A CONST THE NORTH LINE OF LOT 1 AFORESAID, 65.00 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 64454" EAST IN CREEK THENCE SOUTH 88°42'20" WEST 65.00 FEET TO THE WEST LINE OF LOT A FORESAID; THENCE NORTH 0°43'34" WEST, ALONG SAID WEST LINE, 10.83 FRED TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING, CONTAINING 0.016 ACRES, (683.74 SQUARE FEET).

- 2. Danon C. Fisher, warrants the right-of-way against anyone claiming it or in any manner preventing free and unobstructed use of it by city.
- 3. City, in consideration of the grant of right-of-way aforesaid, agrees to construct, maintain and improve the property through the use as is set forth herein.
- 4. This agreement shall inure to and bind the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of the parties and shall constitute a covenant running with the land of Danon C. Fisher.

	Da	$\frac{Q}{\text{non C. F}}$	LM C.	Jimer	
STATE OF ARKANSAS COUNTY OF	- -	-	DATE DATE NA	130 loson Winckson	>
On this day before me, the under Fisher, to me well known to be the perinstrument and acknowledged that she stated and set forth.	ersigned erson wh	officer, lose nam	personally ap	peared Danor I to the foreg	oing
WITNESS my hand and  7.26 1 2002  2002  2004	seal	this	13th:	day	of
		NO	Mary (	Lardy	Jus
DEED BOOK 627 PAGE 405 - DATE 06/06/2002 TIME 02:07:45 PM RECORDED IN, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF CRAIGHEAD COUNTY ANN HUDSON CIRCUIT CLERK  Manney Likes D.C. RECEIPT# 84851	- 409 [	J.   NOTA CP MY COMMI	OFFICIAL SEAL HARRY HARDWII ARY PUBLIC-ARKAN RAIGHEAD COUNTY SSION EXPIRES: 02	CK SAS 2-14-2010	





# **LOCATED AT:**

707 W Nettleton Ave Lot 1 Bock A Hollywood Addition Jonesboro, AR 72401

# FOR:

City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro AR 72401

AS OF:

December 6, 2001

BY:

Bob Gibson, CG0247

# **BOB GIBSON & ASSOCIATES**

Real Estate Appraisers & Consultants 420 W. Jefferson P. O. Box 3071 Jonesboro, Arkansas 72401

Bob L. Gibson, CG0247 Fred D. Jaynes, CG0496 Dennis L. Jaynes, CG0607 Telephone (870) 932-5206 Facsimile (870) 972-9959

December 6, 2001

MATA Attn: Mr Aubrey Scott 314 W Washington Jonesboro, AR 72401

Re: 707 W Nettleton Jonesboro AR

Dear Mr. Scott:

I have appraised the above property as of December 6, 2001, and find the market value to be \$20,592. In accordance with your instructions, I have reduced the lot size by the "amount of taking" for the purpose of widening Nettleton Avenue. The remaining value is \$19,498 or a difference of \$1,094 which is the just compensation due the owner.

Should I be of future service, please contact my office.

Sincerely

Bob Gibson, CG0247

STATE CERTIFIED

GENERAL

Manager Co.

The purpose of this appraisal is to give a fair market value of the land taken by the City of Jonesboro for the expansion of Nettleton Avenue. The subject at 707 W Nettleton will lose a tract of land: 683.74 sq ft

The value of the improvements has not been affected. Therefore, the assessed value of the improvements has not been used in the appraisal. The set back should not affect the driveway and the entrance to the improvements.

Subject Value as of December 6, 2001

Value Before Taking: 12,870 sq ft x 1.60 = 20,592

Improvements: NA

Land: \$20,592

\$20,592

Value After Taking: 12,870 - 683.74 sq ft x 1.60 = \$19,498

Improvements: NA

Land: \$19,498

\$19,498

Difference is the just compensation or \$1,094

\*Note: Subject will lose entrance steps. It is assumed that they will be replaced by the city.

# SUMMARY OF SALIENT FEATURES

	Subject Address	707 W Nettleton Ave
	Legal Description	Lot 1 Bock A Hollywood Addition
TION	City	Jonesboro
SUBJECT INFORMATION	County	Craighead
JECT IN	State	AR
SUB	Zip Code	72401
	Census Tract	3.00
	Map Reference	N/A
SALES PRICE	Sale Price	\$ N/A
SALES	Date of Sale	N/A
CLIENT	Borrower / Client	CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
70	Lender	City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott
	Size (Square Feet)	
TS	Price per Square Foot	\$
F IMPROVEMENTS	Location	Urban-Avg
: IMPR(	Age	
Tion of	Condition	
DESCRIPTION O	Total Rooms	
0	Bedrooms	
	Baths	
AISER	Appraiser	Bob Gibson, CG0247
APPRAISER	Date of Appraised Value	December 6, 2001
VALUE	Final Estimate of Value	\$ 1,094 - Just Compensation

# LAND APPRAISAL REPORT

	Burrower CLIENT					Ce	nsus Tract 3.	00 1	Map Reference	N/A	
NOIL	Property Address <u>70</u> City Jonesboro	07 W Nettlet	ton Ave		ounty Craighead		State AR		Zip Code	72401	
CATIC	Legal Description Lo	ot 1 Bock A		Addition					- ' <u>-</u>	72401	
¥EN	Sale Price \$ <u>N/A</u> Actual Real Estate Ta	xes \$ N/A	Date of Sale _ (yr)		an Term <u>N/A</u> o be paid by seller		rty Rights Appra sales concessi		ee Lea	sehold	De Minimis PUD
9	Lender/Client <u>City</u>	of Jonesbor	ro-Mr. Aubre	y Scott		Address 314 W V	Vashington .	Jonesboro			
	Occupant <u>Danon</u> C	. Fisher	Appraise	er <u>Bob Gibson,</u>	CG0247	_ Instructions to Appr	aiser Before	Value/After	Value		
	Loction		Urban		urban [	Rural	1		<u> </u>		Avg. Fair Poor
	Built Up Growth Rate	Fully Dev.	⊠ Over 75% Rapid	25% ⊠ Stea	to 75% dv	Under 25%   Slow		ent Stability nce to Employi	ment		
	Property Values	_ · •, _ • · ·	Increasing	=	•	Declining		ice to Shoppin			$\boxtimes$ $\square$ $\square$
	Demand/Supply Marketing Time		Shortage Under 3 M	===	alance [	Oversupply Over 6 Mos.		ce to Schools			
0	-	<u>80</u> % 1 Family	<u>5</u> % 2-4 Fa		-	10% Commercial		of Public Tran al Facilities	sportation		
HOOH	 Change in Present Lar	% Industrial	l% Vacan ⊠ Not Likely		. / <del>*</del> \	Taking Bloom (#)	Adequacy				
NEIGHBORHOOD	Change in Fresent Lar		(*) From	Likel	/ (")	Taking Place (*)		ompatibility from Detrimer	ntal Conditions		
NEIG	Predominant Occupan		⊠ Owner	Tena		% Vacant	1	Fire Protectio	-		
	Single Family Price Ra Single Family Age	inge ⊅_	40 10 yrs. i	to <b>\$</b> <u>100</u> to <u>75</u> yrs.	_ Predominant V Predominant Age		Appeal to I	pearance of P Market	roperties		
	Community in about 100 to										
	Comments including to Southwest Drive	nose tactors, ta to the South	worable or uma n, Main to the	worable, affecting m e East, and Hig	iarketability (e.g. pi hway 63 to the	iblic parks, schools, vi West. No nega	iew, noise): <u> </u>	<u>Subject is t</u> es are note	ound by Ma d. The new	atthews t Kinderd	o the North, arten Center
	has recently beer										
	Dimensions 65x19	8				12 870	O Sq. Ft. or A	CIBS .		Corner l	ot
	Zoning classification	R-1 Single							do not conforr		
	Highest and best use Public	∑ Prese Other (Desc		of the off site of the off sit of the off site of the off site of the off site of the off site	OVEMENTS	Topo Level					
	Elec.			et Access 🔀 P						<del></del>	
SITE	Gas ⊠   Water ⊠			nce <u>Asphalt</u> tenance	Jublia Drivote	Shape Rectangul View Average-F			_		
S	San. Sewer				UDIIC □ PINVAIR Curb/Gutter	Drainage Average				_	
		derground Elec			Street Lights	is the property loca					No Yes
	Comments (favorable or	untavorable inci	uoing any appar	ent adverse easemen	is, encroachments, o	ir otner adverse conditio	ins): <u>⊢⊏r</u>	<u>wa map No</u>	. 050 <u>31</u> C01	1316	
	The undersigned has re	ecited three rec			<del></del>	<del>-</del>					
		oonou unoo ioo	em sales or pro	operties most simila	ir and proximate to	subject and has cons	idered these in	the market an	alysis. The des	cription inc	ludes a dollar
	adjustment reflecting n	narket reaction (	to those items:	of significant variation	on between the sul	ject and comparable	properties. If a :	significant iten	in the compai	rable prope	rty is superior
	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj	narket reaction of nan the subject ect property, a	to those Items property, a min plus (+) adjus	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus	on between the sul made thus reduci increasing the indi	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj	properties. If a soft subject; if a sect.	significant iten significant iten	in the compai	rable prope	rty is superior
	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj	narket reaction of nan the subject ect property, a SUBJECT	to those items : property, a min	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus COMPA	on between the sul made thus reduci	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj	properties. If a soft subject; if a sect.  MPARABLE NO	significant iten significant iten	in the compai in the compa	rable prope	rty is superior rior to or less
	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne	narket reaction of nan the subject ect property, a SUBJECT	to those Items property, a min plus (+) adjus	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus	on between the sul made thus reduci increasing the indi	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj	properties. If a soft subject; if a sect.  MPARABLE NO	significant iten significant iten	in the compai in the compa	rable prope rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less
10	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject	narket reaction of nan the subject ect property, a SUBJECT	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus COMPA	on between the sul made thus reduct increasing the indi RABLE NO. 1	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj	properties. If a soft subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO	significant iten significant iten	in the compai in the compa	rable propei rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less E NO. 3
LYSIS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne	narket reaction of nan the subject ect property, a SUBJECT	to those Items property, a min plus (+) adjus	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus COMPA	on between the sul made thus reduci increasing the indi	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj	properties. If a soft subject; if a sect.  MPARABLE NO	significant iten significant iten	in the compai in the compa	rable prope rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less
ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source	narket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
DATA ANALYSIS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price	narket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY	of significant variations (-) adjustment is the thus COMPA	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten significant iten	in the compai in the compa	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less
DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment	arket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View Sales or Financing	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE	on between the sul made thus reductincreasing the indi- RABLE NO. 1	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	o in the compar o in the compar CC SALES	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3
DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the favorable that the favorable than the favorable that the favorable than the favorable than the favorable than the f	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the individual inc	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the favorable than the favorable than the favorable than the favorable that is a subjustment of the favorable than the	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj  ITEM  Address 707 W Ne  Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	sarket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  12,870	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA  SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the individual inc	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the favorable than the favorable than the favorable than the favorable that is a subjustment of the favorable than the	sarket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  SUBJECT ettleton Ave  12,870	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Subject Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I	parket reaction in the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave \$\$\$\$\$ DESCR N/A Urban-Avg 12,870	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  RIPTION	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting n to or more favorable th favorable than the subj ITEM Address 707 W Ne Proximity to Subject Sales Price Price Data Source Date of Sale and Time Adjustment Location Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions Net Adj. (Total) Indicated Value of Subject	parket reaction in the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave \$\$\$\$\$ DESCR N/A Urban-Avg 12,870	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  RIPTION	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Subject Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I	parket reaction in the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave \$\$\$\$\$ DESCR N/A Urban-Avg 12,870	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  RIPTION	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Subject Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave SUBJECT ettleton ettl	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  NIPTION	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave SUBJECT ettleton ettl	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  NIPTION	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	oject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
RECONCILIATION MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I	sarket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave SUBJECT ettleton ettle	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  RIPTION  II  II  II  II  II  II  II  II  II	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI  DESCRIPTI	on between the sultan made thus reduction increasing the indicate increasing the indindicate increasing the indicate increasing the indicate increasin	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten significant iten . 2 . (- )\$ Adjust.	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
RECONCILIATION MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Subject Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I  Comments and Condition:	sarket reaction of the subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave SUBJECT ettleton ettle	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  N/A  NIPTION  III  III  III  III  III  III  III	of significant variations (-) adjustment is truent is made thus  COMPA SEE  DESCRIPTI  DESCRIPTI	on between the sultan made thus reduction increasing the indicate increasing the indindicate increasing the indicate increasing the indicate increasin	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten significant iten . 2 . (- )\$ Adjust.	DESCRI	rable proper rable is infe	rty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.
RECONCILIATION MARKET DATA ANALYS	adjustment reflecting in to or more favorable the favorable than the subjustment of the favorable than the subjustment of Subject Sales Price  Price  Data Source  Date of Sale and Time Adjustment  Location  Site/View  Sales or Financing Concessions  Net Adj. (Total)  Indicated Value of Subject  Comments on Market I  Comments and Condition:	subject ect property, a SUBJECT ettleton Ave SUBJECT ettleton ettleto	to those items property, a min plus (+) adjus PROPERTY  N/A  RIPTION  LIPTION  AS DEFINED  AS DEFINED	OSA APPLACE PRO	on between the sulfarmade thus reduction increasing the indiverse	pject and comparable ng the indicated value cated value of the subj  CO  COMPARA  Adjust. DESCRII	properties. If a sof subject; If a sect.  MPARABLE NO ABLE  \$ \$ PTION +	significant iten significant iten . 2 . (- )\$ Adjust.	DESCRI	properable is infe	ty is superior rior to or less  E NO. 3  +(-)\$ Adjust.

#### **COMPARABLE SALES**

# **CLUB MANOR**

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer: Troutt to Hill Sales Price: \$116,000
Date: 4/3/92
Record: 420/267

Size: 1.0 acre
Price/Sq Ft: \$2.66
Legal: Lot 5

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer: Troutt to McKee

 Sales Price:
 \$85,000

 Date:
 4/8/95

 Record:
 483/323

 Size:
 1.0 acre

 Price/Sq Ft:
 \$1.95

Legal: Lot 2

# **IVY GREEN**

Sale #1

Seller/Buyer: Henry to Elrod

Sales Price: \$50,000 Date: 5/13/98 Record: 558/774

Size: .70 acre/30,492 sq ft

Price/Sq Ft: \$1.63 Legal: Lot 9

Sale #2

Seller/Buyer: Mercantile Bank to Parkey

Sales Price: \$45,000 Date: 6/26/92 Record: 425/021

Size: 1.05acre/43,560 sq ft

Price/Sq Ft: \$1.03 Legal: Lot 17

Sale #3

Seller/Buyer: Mantooth to Corcoran

 Sales Price:
 \$50,000

 Date:
 1/30/97

 Record:
 528/217

 Size:
 .73 acre

 Price/Sq Ft:
 \$1.57

 Legal:
 Lot 16

#### Other Sales

**SALE #1**:

Grantor/Grantee: Roy Shepherd/Ric Miles

Record: Parcel 27330

Date: 10-99
Sale Price: \$28,000.00
Price/sq.ft. \$1.85

Location: 715-717 W Monroe Sq.Ft.: 117' x 130' or 15,210 sq ft

Comments: House removed. Multi-family zoned.

SALE #2:

Grantor/Grantee: M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Guy Barksdale

Record: Bk/Pg 557/535

Date: 4-98

 Sale Price:
 \$13,500.00

 Price/sq.ft.
 \$1.99

 Location:
 620 Elm

 Sq.Ft.:
 42.5' x 160'

SALE #3:

Grantor/Grantee: M/M A.C. Williams, Jr/Wayne Nichols

Record: Bk/Pg 557/533

Date: 4-98
Sale Price: \$13,500
Price/sq.ft. \$1.99
Location: 620 Elm
Sq.Ft.: 42.5' x 160'

Comments: Sale #2 is the other half of this same lot.

After adjustments for time of sale, size, and location a value of \$1.60/sq ft has been given our subject. Therefore, the value of the taking is  $$1.60 \times 683.74 \text{ sq ft} = $1,094 \text{ which is the just compensation due the owner.}$ 

# **Subject Photo Page**

Borrower/Client CLIENT: City of Jonesboro Property Address 707 W Nettleton Ave City Jonesboro

Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott

County Craighead

State AR

Zip Code 72401




# **Subject Front**


707 W Nettleton Ave
Sales Price N/A
Gross Living Area
Total Rooms
Total Bedrooms
Total Bathrooms


Location Urban-Avg View 13,662 sq ft Site


Site Quality Age











# **ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM**

<u>APPARENT</u>\* HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES AND/OR DETRIMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

1	rower/Client CLIENT: City of Jonesboro
	ress 707 W Nettleton Ave  Jonesboro County Craighead State AR Zip code 72401
Lend	
_	*Apparent is defined as that which is visible, obvious, evident or manifest to the appraiser.
	This universal Environmental Addendum is for use with any real estate appraisal. Only the statements which have been checked by the appraiser apply to the property being appraised.
were insp value	addendum reports the results of the appraiser's routine inspection of and inquiries about the subject property and its surrounding area. It also states what assumptions made about the existence (or nonexistence) of any hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions.  The appraiser is not an expert environmental environmental conditions which may have a negative effect on the safety and of the property. It is possible that tests and inspections made by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal the existence of hazardous materials and/or detrimental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.
	ORINKING WATER
X	_Drinking Water is supplied to the subject from a municipal water supply which is considered safe. However the only way to be absolutely certain that the water meets published standards is to have it tested at all discharge pointsDrinking Water is supplied by a well or other non-municipal source. It is recommended that tests be made to be certain that the property is supplied with adequate pure water.
<u>X</u>	Lead can get into drinking water from its source, the pipes, at all discharge points, plumbing fixtures and/or appliances. The only way to be certain that water does not contain an unacceptable lead level is to have it tested at all discharge points.
X	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is an adequate supply of safe, lead-free Drinking Water.
Comm	nents
	SANITARY WASTE DISPOSAL
<u>x</u>	_Sanitary Waste is removed from the property by a municipal sewer systemSanitary Waste is disposed of by a septic system or other sanitary on site waste disposal system. The only way to determine that the disposal system is adequate and in
x	good working condition is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.
	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Sanitary Waste is disposed of by a municipal sewer or an adequate properly permitted alternate treatment system in good condition.
Comm	lerits
	SOIL CONTAMINANTS
xx	There are no apparent signs of Soil Contaminants on or near the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). It is possible that research, inspection and testing by a qualified environmental inspector would reveal existing and/or potential hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or around the property that would negatively affect its safety and value.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the subject property is free of Soil Contaminants.
Comn	nents
	ASBESTOS
NA	_All or part of the improvements were constructed before 1979 when Asbestos was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the property is free of friable and non-friable Asbestos is to have it inspected and tested by a qualified asbestos inspector.
	_The improvements were constructed after 1979. No apparent friable Asbestos was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
NA	The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no uncontained friable Asbestos or other hazardous Asbestos material on the property.
Comm	ents
	PCBs (POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS)
x x	There were no <u>apparent</u> leaking fluorescent light ballasts, capacitors or transformers anywhere on or nearby the property (except as reported in Comments below).  There was no <u>apparent</u> visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of soil or groundwater contamination from PCBs anywhere on the property (except
x	as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no uncontained PCBs on or nearby the property.
Comm	ents
80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	Dincii
X	The appraiser is not aware of any Radon tests made on the subject property within the past 12 months (except as reported in Comments below).  The appraiser is not aware of any indication that the local water supplies have been found to have elevated levels of Radon or Radium.
	The appraiser is not aware of any nearby properties (except as reported in Comments below) that were or currently are used for uranium, thorium or radium extraction or phosphate processing.
	of priospriate processing.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the Radon level is st or below EPA recommended levels.
Comme	ents

# . UST'S (UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS)

There is no apparent visible or documented evidence known to the appraiser of any USTs on the property nor any known historical use of the property that would likely have had USTs.

g 1 1 1 4 4

- There are no apparent petroleum storage and/or delivery facilities (including gasoline stations or chemical manufacturing plants) located on adjacent properties (except as reported in Comments below).
- There are <u>apparent</u> signs of UST's existing now or in the past on the subject property. It is recommended that an inspection by a qualified UST inspector be obtained to determine the location of any USTs together with their condition and proper registration if they are active; and if they are inactive, to determine whether they were deactivated in accordance with sound industry practices.
- The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that any functioning USTs are not leaking and are properly registered and that any abandoned USTs are free from contamination and were properly drained, filled and sealed.

NEARBY HAZARDOUS WASTE SITES  were are no apparent Hazardous Waste Sites on the subject property or nearby the subject property (except as reported in Comments below). Hazardous Waste Site arch by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Hazardous Waste Sites on or nearby the subject property that negatively affect the line or earlety of the property.  Solution of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below), the value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  LEAD PAINT  The improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of pesting or flaking Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  LEAD PAINT  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of pesting or flaking Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The only way to be certain that the property retired of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
LEAD PAINT  LEAD P
arch by a trained environmental engineer may determine that there is one or more Hazardous Waste Sites on or in the area of the subject property.  LEAD PAINT  LEA
LEAD PAINT  LEAD Pairt of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified to comments below). The only way to be certain that the property.
UREA FORMAL DELIYDE (UFFI) INSULATION  It or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  The paint of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
UREA FORMAL DEHYDE (UFFI) INSULATION  It or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  LEAD PAINT  To part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
UREA FORMALDEHYDE (UFFI) INSULATION  It or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  LEAD PAINT  To part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
or part of the improvements were constructed before 1982 when UREA foam insulation was a common building material. The only way to be certain that the operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  The paint of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
operty is free of UREA formaldehyde is to have it inspected by a qualified UREA formaldehyde inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  The value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there is no significant UFFI insulation or other UREA formaldehyde material on the property.  The part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  The improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
in improvements were constructed after 1982. No apparent UREA formaldehyde materials were observed (except as reported in Comments below).  I EAD PAINT  I Comments were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented indence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
LEAD PAINT  or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
LEAD PAINT  or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
or part of the improvements were constructed before 1980 when Lead Paint was a common building material. There is no apparent visible or known documented idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
idence of peeling or flaking Lead Paint on the floors, walls or ceilings (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the property free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualified inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No apparent Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
free of surface or subsurface Lead Paint is to have it inspected by a qualifed inspector.  e improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
e improvements were constructed after 1980. No <u>apparent</u> Lead Paint was observed (except as reported in Comments below).
S
AIR POLLUTION
ere are no apparent signs of Air Pollution at the time of the inspection nor were any reported (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain at the air is free of pollution is to have it tested.
e value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that the property is free of Air Pollution.
S
WETLANDS/FLOOD PLAINS
e site does not contain any apparent Wetlands/Flood Plains (except as reported in Comments below). The only way to be certain that the site is free of Wetlands/
od Plains is to have it inspected by a qualified environmental professional.
e value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Wetlands/Flood Plains on the property (except as reported in Comments below).
S
MISCELLANEOUS ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS
ere are no other <u>apparent</u> miscellaneous hazardous substances and/or detrimental environmental conditions on or in the area of the site except as indicated below:
Excess Noise
Light Pollution
Waste Heat
Acid Mine Drainage
Agricultural Pollution
Geological Hazards
Nearby Hazardous Property Infectious Medical Wastes
Infectious Medical Wastes Pesticides
Others (Chemical Storage + Storage Drums, Pipelines, etc.)
ne value estimated in this appraisal is based on the assumption that there are no Miscellaneous environmental Hazards (except those reported above) that would egatively affect the value of the property.

When any of the environmental assumptions made in this addendum are not correct, the estimated value in this appraisal may not be valid.

**DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE:** The most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller, each acting prudently, knowledgeably and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby: (1) buyer and seller are typically motivated; (2) both parties are well informed or well advised, and each acting in what he considers his own best interest; (3) a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market; (4) payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and (5) the price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions\* granted by anyone associated with the sale.

\* Adjustments to the comparables must be made for special or creative financing or sales concessions. No adjustments are necessary for those costs which are normally paid by sellers as a result of tradition or law in a market area; these costs are readily identifiable since the seller pays these costs in virtually all sales transactions. Special or creative financing adjustments can be made to the comparable property by comparisons to financing terms offered by a third party institutional lender that is not already involved in the property or transaction. Any adjustment should not be calculated on a mechanical dollar for dollar cost of the financing or concession but the dollar amount of any adjustment should approximate the market's reaction to the financing or concessions based on the appraiser's judgement.

#### STATEMENT OF LIMITING CONDITIONS AND APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION

CONTINGENT AND LIMITING CONDITIONS: The appraiser's certification that appears in the appraisal report is subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The appraiser will not be responsible for matters of a legal nature that affect either the property being appraised or the title to it. The appraiser assumes that the title is good and marketable and, therefore, will not render any opinions about the title. The property is appraised on the basis of it being under responsible ownership.
- 2. The appraiser has provided a sketch in the appraisal report to show approximate dimensions of the improvements and the sketch is included only to assist the reader of the report in visualizing the property and understanding the appraiser's determination of its size.
- 3. The appraiser has examined the available flood maps that are provided by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (or other data sources) and has noted in the appraisal report whether the subject site is located in an identified Special Flood Hazard Area. Because the appraiser is not a surveyor, he or she makes no guarantees, express or implied, regarding this determination.
- 4. The appraiser will not give testimony or appear in court because he or she made an appraisal of the property in question, unless specific arrangements to do so have been made beforehand.
- 5. The appraiser has estimated the value of the land in the cost approach at its highest and best use and the improvements at their contributory value. These separate valuations of the land and improvements must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if they are so used.
- 6. The appraiser has noted in the appraisal report any adverse conditions (such as, needed repairs, depreciation, the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) observed during the inspection of the subject property or that he or she became aware of during the normal research involved in performing the appraisal. Unless otherwise stated in the appraisal report, the appraiser has no knowledge of any hidden or unapparent conditions of the property or adverse environmental conditions (including the presence of hazardous wastes, toxic substances, etc.) that would make the property more or less valuable, and has assumed that there are no such conditions and makes no guarantees or warranties, express or implied, regarding the condition of the property. The appraiser will not be responsible for any such conditions that do exist or for any engineering or testing that might be required to discover whether such conditions exist. Because the appraiser is not an expert in the field of environmental hazards, the appraisal report must not be considered as an environmental assessment of the property.
- 7. The appraiser obtained the information, estimates, and opinions that were expressed in the appraisal report from sources that he or she considers to be reliable and believes them to be true and correct. The appraiser does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of such items that were furnished by other parties.
- 8. The appraiser will not disclose the contents of the appraisal report except as provided for in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- 9. The appraiser has based his or her appraisal report and valuation conclusion for an appraisal that is subject to satisfactory completion, repairs, or alterations on the assumption that completion of the improvements will be performed in a workmanlike manner.
- 10. The appraiser must provide his or her prior written consent before the lender/client specified in the appraisal report can distribute the appraisal report (including conclusions about the property value, the appraiser's identity and professional designations, and references to any professional appraisal organizations or the firm with which the appraiser is associated) to anyone other than the borrower; the mortgagee or its successors and assigns; the mortgage insurer; consultants; professional appraisal organizations; any state or federally approved financial institution; or any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or any state or the District of Columbia; except that the lender/client may distribute the property description section of the report only to data collection or reporting service(s) without having to obtain the appraiser's prior written consent. The appraiser's written consent and approval must also be obtained before the appraisal can be conveyed by anyone to the public through advertising, public relations, news, sales, or other media.

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 1 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 10048 6-93

#### APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION: The Appraiser certifies and agrees that:

- 1. I have researched the subject market area and have selected a minimum of three recent sales of properties most similar and proximate to the subject property for consideration in the sales comparison analysis and have made a dollar adjustment when appropriate to reflect the market reaction to those items of significant variation. If a significant item in a comparable property is superior to, or more favorable than, the subject property, I have made a negative adjustment to reduce the adjusted sales price of the comparable and, if a significant item in a comparable property is inferior to, or less favorable than the subject property, I have made a positive adjustment to increase the adjusted sales price of the comparable.
- 2. I have taken into consideration the factors that have an impact on value in my development of the estimate of market value in the appraisal report. I have not knowingly withheld any significant information from the appraisal report and I believe, to the best of my knowledge, that all statements and information in the appraisal report are true and correct.
- 3. I stated in the appraisal report only my own personal, unbiased, and professional analysis, opinions, and conclusions, which are subject only to the contingent and limiting conditions specified in this form.
- 4. I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject to this report, and I have no present or prospective personal interest or bias with respect to the participants in the transaction. I did not base, either partially or completely, my analysis and/or the estimate of market value in the appraisal report on the race, color, religion, sex, handicap, famillal status, or national origin of either the prospective owners or occupants of the subject property or of the present owners or occupants of the properties in the vicinity of the subject property.
- 5. I have no present or contemplated future interest in the subject property, and neither my current or future employment nor my compensation for performing this appraisal is contingent on the appraised value of the property.
- 6. I was not required to report a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client or any related party, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a specific result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event in order to receive my compensation and/or employment for performing the appraisal. I did not base the appraisal report on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation, or the need to approve a specific mortgage loan.
- 7. I performed this appraisal in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice that were adopted and promulgated by the Appraisal Standards Board of The Appraisal Foundation and that were in place as of the effective date of this appraisal, with the exception of the departure provision of those Standards, which does not apply. I acknowledge that an estimate of a reasonable time for exposure in the open market is a condition in the definition of market value and the estimate I developed is consistent with the marketing time noted in the neighborhood section of this report, unless I have otherwise stated in the reconciliation section.
- 8. I have personally inspected the interior and exterior areas of the subject property and the exterior of all properties listed as comparables in the appraisal report. I further certify that I have noted any apparent or known adverse conditions in the subject improvements, on the subject site, or on any site within the immediate vicinity of the subject property of which I am aware and have made adjustments for these adverse conditions in my analysis of the property value to the extent that I had market evidence to support them. I have also commented about the effect of the adverse conditions on the marketability of the subject property.
- 9. I personally prepared all conclusions and opinions about the real estate that were set forth in the appraisal report. If I relied on significant professional assistance from any individual or individuals in the performance of the appraisal or the preparation of the appraisal report, I have named such individual(s) and disclosed the specific tasks performed by them in the reconciliation section of this appraisal report. I certify that any individual so named is qualified to perform the tasks. I have not authorized anyone to make a change to any item in the report; therefore, if an unauthorized change is made to the appraisal report, I will take no responsibility for it.

**SUPERVISORY APPRAISER'S CERTIFICATION:** If a supervisory appraiser signed the appraisal report, he or she certifies and agrees that: I directly supervise the appraiser who prepared the appraisal report, have reviewed the appraisal report, agree with the statements and conclusions of the appraiser, agree to be bound by the appraiser's certifications numbered 4 through 7 above, and am taking full responsibility for the appraisal and the appraisal report.

ARRDECO AE BRADERTY ARRESTED 707 M Nothing Ave James AR 70404

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY APPRAISED TO VENEROUS AV	e, Johnes Doro, AR 72401
APPRAISER:	SUPERVISORY APPRAISER (only if required):
Signature: Name: Bob Gibson, CG0247  Date Signed: December 6, 2001  State Certification #: CG0247  No. CG0247  No. CG0247  No. CG0247  Fixed Control of Certification of License: 6/30/2002	Signature:  Name:  Date Signed:  State Certification #:  or State License #:  State:
Expiration Date of Certification or License: 6/30/2002 minimation	Expiration Date of Certification or License:  Did Did Not Inspect Property

Freddie Mac Form 439 6-93 Page 2 of 2 Fannie Mae Form 1004B 6-93

<b>A</b>				
Borrower CLiENT: City of Jonesboro			File No.	
Property Address 707 W Nettleton Ave				
City Jonesboro	County Craighead	State AR	Zip Code 72401	
Lender City of Jonesboro-Mr. Aubrey Scott				

# APPRAISAL AND REPORT IDENTIFICATION

This Appraisal conforms to <u>one</u> of the following definitions:
<ul> <li>Complete Appraisal         The act or process of estimating value, or an estimate of value, performed without invoking the Departure Provision.     </li> </ul>
Limited Appraisal The act or process of estimating value, or an estimation of value, performed under and resulting from invoking the Departure Provision.
This Report is one of the following types:
<ul> <li>Self Contained Report</li> <li>A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(A) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.</li> </ul>
Summary Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(B) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Restricted Report A written report prepared under Standards Rule 2-2(C) of a complete or limited appraisal performed under Standard 1.
Comments on Appraisal and Report Identification  Note any departures from Standards Rules 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, plus any USPAP-related issues requiring disclosure:

# Additional Certifications to Comply with new requirements of Appraisal Standards Board changes to Standards Rule 2-3.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:


- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.
- The reported analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that
  is the subject of this report, and no personal interest with
  respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reported predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of a predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.

CERTIFIED

No. CG0247

 No one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.

Bob Gibson, CG0247



# QUALIFICATIONS OF BOB L. GIBSON

POSITION: Real Estate Appraiser/Consultant, 420 W. Jefferson, Jonesboro, Arkansas, 72401Telephone: (870) 932-5206.

#### PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE:

Chief Appraiser for Home Federal Savings. 1965 to 1975, Fee Appraiser for area financial and real estate concerns, 1965 to 1980.

President of H.S.C. Service Corporation. Developed three (3) Subdivisions, constructed single-family homes, one hotel, and numerous condominiums from 1975 to 1990.

#### **EDUCATION:**

B.S. Degree in Business Administration and minor in Economics from Arkansas State University in 1965.

Graduate of School of Savings & Loans at University of Indiana, Bloomington, Indiana, 1979 to 1982.

U.S. League of Savings Associations Appraised Study Course, 1965.

Principles of Real Estate Appraising-1968 Audit, Arkansas State University.

National Association of Independent Fee Appraisers, Principles of Residential Real Estate, 1990.

NAIF Income Property Appraising, 1990.

Marshall and Swifts Valuation Guides Seminar - Residential and Commercial Cost Approach, 1990.

The Appraisal Institute - Real Estate Appraisal Methods, 1991.

Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice, 1991.

Techniques of Income Property Appraising, 1991.

Uniform Residential Appraisal Report Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, 1993.

FIRREA: Overview and Practical Application Seminar, IFA, Jonesboro, AR, 1994.

American Disabilities Act Seminar, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas 1993.

HUD Guidelines - Lender Selection of the Appraiser, I.F.A., Little Rock, Arkansas, Dec. 7, 1994 - Member of Lender Appraiser Selection Roster, HUD, Little Rock, Arkansas.

Appraiser Accountability and Legal Liabilities Seminar, Arkansas Appraisal Foundation, Little Rock, Arkansas, May 10, 1995.

Standards of Professional Practice, I.F.A., Jonesboro, Arkansas, 1996.

HUD/FHA Appraiser Training, HUD/FHA, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1996.

Legal Journal, West Memphis, Arkansas, April 30, 1998.

Principles of Condemnation, San Antonio, Texas, June 3, 1999.

Arkansas Appraisal Board Annual Meeting, Little Rock, AR April 18, 2000.

USPAP, Kelton Schools, Jonesboro, Arkansas, May 17, 2000.

#### PROFESSIONAL MEMBERSHIP:

Charter Member of National Society of Environmental Consultants.

Master Senior Appraisers (MSA), National Association of Master Appraisers.

# CERTIFICATION AND DESIGNATION:

State Certified Residential Appraiser #CG0247, December 28, 1991.

State Certified General Appraiser #CG0247, January 6, 1992.

# PARTIAL LIST OF CLIENTS:

Belz-Burrow, Norwest Mortgage, Union Planters Bank of NEA, Regions Bank, Simmons Bank, Heringer Lone Star, Griffin Petroleum Co., Caldwell Construction Co., First Financial Mortgage, Fowler Foods, MidSouth Bank, Matthews Oil Co., Heritage Bank, Nations Bank, Nationsbanc Mortgage, Pocahontas Federal Savings & Loan, and American State Bank.